Two Adaptive Matching Learning Algorithms for Independent Component Analysis^{*}

Jinwen Ma, Fei Ge, and Dengpan Gao

Department of Information Science, School of Mathematical Sciences, And LMAM, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China jwma@math.pku.edu.cn

Abstract. Independent component analysis (ICA) has been applied in many fields of signal processing and many ICA learning algorithms have been proposed from different perspectives. However, there is still a lack of a deep mathematical theory to describe the ICA learning algorithm or problem, especially in the cases of both super- and sub-Gaussian sources. In this paper, from the point of view of the one-bit-matching principle, we propose two adaptive matching learning algorithms for the general ICA problem. It is shown by the simulation experiments that the adaptive matching learning algorithms can efficiently solve the ICA problem with both super- and sub-Gaussian sources and outperform the typical existing ICA algorithms in certain aspects.

1 Introduction

The independent component analysis (ICA) [1,2] aims to blindly separate the independent sources s from their linear mixture $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{As}$ via

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{W}\mathbf{x}, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m, \quad \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \mathbf{W} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n},$$
 (1)

where **A** is a mixing matrix, and **W** is the de-mixing matrix to be estimated. In the general case, the number of mixed signals equals to the number of source signals, i.e., m = n, and **A** is $n \times n$ nonsingular matrix. Although the ICA problem has been studied from different perspectives [3, 4, 5], it can be typically solved by minimizing the following objective function:

$$J = -H(\mathbf{y}) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int p_{\mathbf{W}}(y_i; \mathbf{W}) \log p_i(y_i) dy_i,$$
(2)

where $H(\mathbf{y}) = -\int p(\mathbf{y}) \log p(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y}$ is the entropy of \mathbf{y} , $p_i(y_i)$ is the predetermined model probability density function (pdf), and $p_{\mathbf{W}}(y_i; \mathbf{W})$ is the probability distribution on $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{W}\mathbf{x}$.

In the literature, how to choose the model pdfs $p_i(y_i)$ is still a key issue for the Eq.(2) based ICA algorithms [6,7]. In fact, there has not existed any

 $^{^{\}star}$ This work was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China for Project 60471054.

Y. Hao et al. (Eds.): CIS 2005, Part I, LNAI 3801, pp. 915–920, 2005.

[©] Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2005

efficient method for the cases that sources of both super-Gaussian and sub-Gaussian coexist in an unknown manner. In order to solve this difficult problem, Xu, Cheung and Amari [7] summarized the one-bit-matching conjecture which states that "all the sources can be separated as long as there is a one-to-one same-sign-correspondence between the kurtosis signs of all source pdf's and the kurtosis signs of all model pdf's". Clearly, this conjecture is important since, if it is true, the complicated task of learning the underlining distribution of each source can be greatly simplified to the task of learning only its kurtosis sign.

Since there have been many studies supporting the one-bit-matching conjecture, e.g. [8,9], it is widely believed in the ICA community. Recently, Liu et al. [10] proved that under certain assumptions, the global minimum of the objective function with the one-bit-matching condition leads to a feasible solution of the ICA problem. Ma et al. [11] further proved that under the same assumptions, all the local minimums of the objective function on the two-source ICA problem with the one-bit-matching condition lead to the feasible solutions of the ICA problem. Moreover, many simulation experiments also showed that the ICA problem can be solved successfully via minimizing the objective function under the one-bit-matching condition. So, we can believe that the minimization of the objective function with the one-bit-matching condition can lead to a feasible solution of the ICA problem. On the other hand, if we can parametrize the model pdfs such that they can become super-Gaussian or sub-Gaussian adaptively and make them match the source pdfs according to the kurtosis signs during the learning process, the minimization of the objective function can also lead to a feasible solution of the ICA problem. Xu et al. [6] have designed a model pdf with mixer of Gaussians and have shown its capability to estimate the source distribution. However, their model is complicated.

In this paper, we parametrize the model pdfs in two simple ways and propose two adaptive matching learning algorithms for the general ICA problem. That is, if we continuously change the parameter of model pdf, the kurtosis can continuously shift between positive and negative values. In the adaptation process, we learn the parameters of the model pdfs and the de-mixing matrix together via minimizing the objective function. It is shown by the simulation experiments that these adaptive matching learning algorithms can efficiently solve the ICA problem with both super- and sub-Gaussian sources and outperform the typical existing ICA algorithms in certain aspects.

2 The Adaptive Matching Learning Algorithms

We make two flexible pdf models, which can continuously shift between super-Gaussian and sub-Gaussian. One is a linear mixer of a super-Gaussian pdf and a sub-Gaussian pdf. The other is made of two translated super-Gaussian pdfs.

2.1 The Linearly Mixed Super- and Sub-Gaussian Model Pdf

We consider the following flexible parametric mixture pdf:

$$p_i(y_i) = \alpha_i p_{\text{super}}(y_i) + \beta_i p_{\text{sub}}(y_i), \qquad (3)$$

where p_{super} is a super-Gaussian pdf, while p_{sub} is a sub-Gaussian pdf. α_i, β_i are parameters, with $\alpha_i, \beta_i \geq 0$, $\alpha_i + \beta_i = 1$. If α_i is greater than some constant value α_0 (determined by the two fixed pdfs), $p_i(y_i)$ is super-Gaussian. Otherwise, if $\alpha_i < \alpha_0, p_i(y_i)$ is sub-Gaussian.

We select the fixed pdf as

$$p_{\text{super}}(u) = \frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{sech}(u), \qquad p_{\text{sub}}(u) = \frac{1}{2} [p_{\mathcal{N}(1,1)}(u) + p_{\mathcal{N}(-1,1)}(u)],$$

where $p_{N(\mu,\sigma^2)}$ denotes the Normal distribution.

In order to ensure that α_i, β_i satisfy the constraints, we use the following transformation:

$$\alpha_i = \frac{\exp(\gamma_{i1})}{\exp(\gamma_{i1}) + \exp(\gamma_{i2})}, \quad \beta_i = \frac{\exp(\gamma_{i2})}{\exp(\gamma_{i1}) + \exp(\gamma_{i2})},$$

so that α_i and β_j are equivalently expressed by free variables γ_{i1} and γ_{i2} . We can denote this flexible parametric mixture pdf by $p_i(y_i, \gamma_i)$ where $\gamma_i = (\gamma_{i1}, \gamma_{i2})$.

First, we must update \mathbf{W} to learn a de-mixing matrix. We compute the derivatives of the objective function $J = J(\mathbf{W}, \gamma)$ with respect to \mathbf{W} , and apply the natural gradient algorithm to modify \mathbf{W} in each step. The derivation is the same as in [4, 6, 8] and \mathbf{W} is modified by

$$\Delta \mathbf{W} = \eta \left[\mathbf{I} + \boldsymbol{\Phi}(\mathbf{y}) \mathbf{y}^T \right] \mathbf{W}.$$
(4)

where η is the learning rate, $\Phi(\mathbf{y}) = [\phi_1(y_1), \cdots, \phi_n(y_n)]^T$, and

$$\phi_i(y_i) = \frac{p_i'(y_i, \gamma_i)}{p_i(y_i, \gamma_i)} = \frac{\alpha_i p_{\text{super}}'(y_i) + \beta_i p_{\text{sub}}'(y_i)}{\alpha_i p_{\text{super}}(y_i) + \beta_i p_{\text{sub}}(y_i)}$$
(5)

Meanwhile, we need to update the parameters of the model pdfs via the derivatives of $J(\mathbf{W}, \gamma)$ with respect to γ_{i1} and γ_{i2} . In fact, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \gamma_{i1} &= \eta \frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma_{i1}} \left(\sum_{l=1}^{n} \log p_l(y_l, \gamma_l) \right) \\ &= \eta \frac{p_{\text{super}}(y_i) - p_{\text{sub}}(y_i)}{\alpha_i p_{\text{super}}(y_i) + \beta_i p_{\text{sub}}(y_i)} \cdot \frac{\exp(\gamma_{i1}) \exp(\gamma_{i2})}{(\exp(\gamma_{i1}) + \exp(\gamma_{i2}))^2} \\ &= \eta \frac{(p_{\text{super}}(y_i) - p_{\text{sub}}(y_i))\alpha_i \beta_i}{\alpha_i p_{\text{super}}(y_i) + \beta_i p_{\text{sub}}(y_i)} \end{aligned}$$

With the same derivation we can found out that $\Delta \gamma_{i2} = -\Delta \gamma_{i1}$.

Finally, we get to the following adaptive matching learning algorithm. At iteration k with an input \mathbf{x} , we can calculate \mathbf{y} via $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{W}\mathbf{x}$. Then, \mathbf{W} and γ are modified by

$$\mathbf{W}^{(k+1)} = \mathbf{W}^{(k)} + \Delta \mathbf{W}, \qquad \gamma_{ij}^{(k+1)} = \gamma_{ij}^{(k)} + \Delta \gamma_{ij}. \tag{6}$$

2.2 Mixed Translated Super-Gaussian Model Pdf

Another flexible model pdf is constructed by two symmetrically translated pdfs:

$$p_i(y_i) = \frac{1}{4} \operatorname{sech}^2(y_i + \theta_i) + \frac{1}{4} \operatorname{sech}^2(y_i - \theta_i),$$
(7)

where $\theta_i \geq 0$ is the model parameter. As θ increase, the kurtosis decrease and the model pdf change from super-Gaussian to sub-Gaussian.

The derivation of the learning algorithm is quite similar to that in the previous subsection. We replace θ_i with e^{γ_i} in order to keep it positive. The procedure to update **W** is the same as Eq. (4) with

$$\phi_i(y_i) = \frac{-2\mathrm{sech}^2(y_i + \theta_i) \tanh(y_i + \theta_i) + 2\mathrm{sech}^2(-y_i + \theta_i) \tanh(-y_i + \theta_i)}{\mathrm{sech}^2(y_i + \theta_i) + \mathrm{sech}^2(y_i - \theta_i)}$$

Also, we need to update the parameters γ_i , and it turns out that

$$\begin{split} \Delta \gamma_i &= \eta \frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma_i} \left(\sum_{l=1}^n \log \left\{ \frac{1}{4} \mathrm{sech}^2(y_i + \mathrm{e}^{\gamma_i}) + \frac{1}{4} \mathrm{sech}^2(y_i - \mathrm{e}^{\gamma_i}) \right\} \right) \\ &= -2\eta \theta_i \frac{\mathrm{sech}^2(y_i + \theta_i) \tanh(y_i + \theta_i) + \mathrm{sech}^2(-y_i + \theta_i) \tanh(-y_i + \theta_i)}{\mathrm{sech}^2(y_i + \theta_i) + \mathrm{sech}^2(y_i - \theta_i)}. \end{split}$$

3 Experimental Results and Comparisons

First, we consider the ICA problem of seven independent sources including four super-Gaussian sources (generated from the exponential distribution E(0.5), the Chisquare distribution $\chi^2(6)$, the gamma distribution $\gamma(1, 4)$ and the F distribution F(10, 50), respectively) and three sub-Gaussian sources (generated from the beta distributions $\beta(2, 2)$, $\beta(0.5, 0.5)$, and the uniform distribution U([0, 1]), respectively). For each source, 100000 i.i.d. samples were generated and further normalized with zero mean and unit variance. The mixing matrix **A** was randomly chosen.

We set the learning rate $\eta = 0.001$. W was initially set as an identity matrix, and the initial model parameters were chosen such that the initial kurtosis of each mixture pdf $p_i(y_i, \gamma_i)$ is nearly zero.

The result of the adaptive matching learning algorithm using two model pdfs, respectively given by Eq. (3) and Eq. (7) are shown below, with \mathbf{W}_1 denoting the final \mathbf{W} got using the first model pdf and \mathbf{W}_2 using the second one.

$$\mathbf{W}_{1}\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} -0.0125 & -0.0100 & -0.0283 & -0.0027 & -0.0041 & -0.0145 & -1.4867 \\ -0.0143 & 0.0021 & 0.0087 & -1.5540 & 0.0034 & -0.0366 & -0.0077 \\ \hline 1.7166 & -0.0193 & 0.0159 & -0.0190 & -0.0074 & 0.0333 & 0.0066 \\ -0.0179 & 0.0006 & 1.6702 & -0.0203 & 0.0387 & 0.0075 & -0.0111 \\ 0.0149 & 1.5592 & -0.0202 & 0.0055 & 0.0024 & 0.0090 & 0.0168 \\ -0.0009 & -0.0204 & -0.0336 & 0.0018 & -1.4433 & -0.0060 & 0.0083 \\ -0.0188 & -0.0048 & -0.0157 & -0.0015 & -0.0143 & -1.4397 & -0.0162 \end{bmatrix}$$

							0.0193
	-0.0190	-0.0021	-0.0117	-0.0161	-1.4425	-0.0165	-0.0045
	-0.0046	-0.0027	-0.0072	0.0052	-0.0062	-0.0150	-1.7547
$\mathbf{W}_{2}\mathbf{A} =$	-0.0061						
					0.0186		
							-0.0095
	0.0271	0.9799	-0.0113	0.0030	-0.0008	-0.0176	-0.0510

For a feasible solution of the ICA problem, the obtained **W** should make $\mathbf{WA} = \mathbf{AP}$ satisfied or approximately satisfied to a certain extent, where $\mathbf{AP} = diag[\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n]$ with each $\lambda_i \neq 0$, and P is a permutation matrix. We can see, that our adaptive matching learning algorithm can solve this ICA problem of both super- and sub-Gaussian sources efficiently.

Next, we use audio data to perform the tests. Eight sound clips¹, each containing 100000 samples (at 22050Hz sample rate), were normalized and then mixed using an 8×8 random matrix. We process the mixed signals with our adaptive matching learning algorithms. We rearrange the output signals so that each output y_i matches the recovered source s_i . Figure 2 shows the wave forms of four of the eight sources and their corresponding recovered signals obtained by the algorithm given in Section 2.1.



Fig. 1. Wave forms of 4 audio sources (left) and recovered signals (right)

For comparision, we performed experiments using the Extended Infomax algorithm[8] and the Fast-ICA algorithm[5]. Then we calculate signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) to evaluate each recovered signal. The results are summarized in Table 1. We can find that on the average, our two adaptive matching algorithms perform better than the Extended Infomax and the Fast-ICA algorithms in this test.

¹ wav files downloaded from http://www-bcl.cs.may.ie/~bap/demos.html

	Signal-to-Noise Ratio (dB)									
Audio Source	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Med.	Avg.
Adaptive Alg. 1	22.65	16.24	19.10	15.37	29.67	20.43	21.89	32.71	21.16	22.26
Adaptive Alg. 2	23.97	16.32	20.03	16.00	27.01	18.65	21.29	32.09	20.66	21.92
Extended Infomax	19.59	16.37	19.79	17.56	23.39	15.91	16.72	28.74	18.57	19.76
Fast-ICA	20.65	14.17	17.68	10.37	33.99	12.61	18.62	38.50	18.15	20.82

Table 1. The SNR of recovered sources by each method

4 Conclusions

We have investigated the ICA problem from the point of view of the one-bitmatching principle and established two adaptive matching learning algorithms via two simple ways of parametrization of the model pdfs. It is demonstrated by the simulation experiments that the two adaptive learning algorithm solves the general ICA problem efficiently and even outperforms the typical existing algorithms in certain aspects.

References

- Tong, L., Inouye, Y., Liu, R.: Waveform-preserving blind estimation of multiple independent sources. IEEE Trans. on Signal Processing, 41 (1993) 2461-2470
- 2. Comon, P.: Independent component analysis–a new concept? Signal Processing, **36** (1994) 287-314
- 3. Bell, A., Sejnowski, T.: An information-maximization approach to blind separation and blind deconvolution. Neural Computation, **7** (1995) 1129-1159
- Amari, S. I., Cichocki, A., Yang, H.: A new learning algorithm for blind separation of sources. Advances in Neural Information Processing, 8 (1996) 757-763
- 5. Hyvärinen, A.: Fast and Robust Fixed-Point Algorithms for Independent Component Analysis. IEEE Trans. on Neural Networks, **10** (1999) 626-634
- Xu, L., Cheung, C. C., Amari, S. I.: Learned parametric mixture based ica algorithm. Neurocomputing, 22 (1998) 69-80
- Xu, L., Cheung, C. C., Amari, S. I.: Further results on nonlinearity and separation capability of a linear mixture ICA method and learned LPM. In C. Fyfe (Ed.), Proceedings of the I&ANN'98, (1998) 39-45
- 8. Lee, T. W., Girolami, M., Sejnowski, T. J.: Independent component analysis using an extended infomax algorithm for mixed subgaussian and supergaussian sources. Neural Computation, **11** (1999) 417-441
- 9. Welling, M., Weber, M.: A constrained EM algorithm for independent component analysis. Neural Computation, **13** (2001) 677-689
- Liu, Z. Y., Chiu, K. C., Xu, L.: One-bit-matching conjecture for independent component analysis. Neural Computation, 16 (2004) 383-399
- 11. Ma, J., Liu, Z., Xu, L.: A further result on the ICA one-bit-matching conjecture. Neural Computation, **17** (2005) 331-334