

1 A Sketch Proof of the right tail limit theorem for $\phi_{0,n}$

Theorem 1.1 *If $F(0) < p_c$ and $Ee^{rt(e)} < \infty$ for some r , then for all $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a constant $\beta(\epsilon, F, d)$, such that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} -\frac{1}{n^d} \log P(\phi_{0,n} \geq n(\mu + \epsilon)) = \beta(\epsilon, F, d).$$

Sketch of proof for $d=2$, and $t(e)=0$ and 1 .

By the max-flow=min-cut theorem, $\phi_{0,n}$ =the number of path(1) in the dual. So, we can get that(Multisubadditive)

$$P(\phi([0, n]^2) \geq n(\mu + \epsilon)) \leq n\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)P(\phi([0, n]^2) \geq n(\mu + \epsilon); x_1, \dots, x_m \text{ in the boundary fixed}),$$

$$P(\phi([0, n] \times [n, 2n]) \geq n(\mu + \epsilon)) \leq n\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)P(\phi([0, n] \times [n, 2n]) \geq n(\mu + \epsilon); x_1, \dots, x_m \text{ in the boundary fixed}),$$

$$P(\phi([n, 2n] \times [0, n]) \geq n(\mu + \epsilon)) \leq n\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)P(\phi([n, 2n] \times [0, n]) \geq n(\mu + \epsilon); x_1, \dots, x_m \text{ in the boundary fixed}),$$

and

$$P(\phi([n, 2n] \times [n, 2n]) \geq n(\mu + \epsilon)) \leq n\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)P(\phi([n, 2n] \times [n, 2n]) \geq n(\mu + \epsilon); x_1, \dots, x_m \text{ in the boundary fixed})$$

Then

$$P(\phi_{0,n} \geq n(\mu + \epsilon)) \leq n^4 \left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^4 P(\phi_{0,2n} \geq 2n(\mu + \epsilon)) \leq n^4 2^{4n} P(\phi_{0,2n} \geq 2n(\mu + \epsilon)).$$

Since $\frac{n^4 2^{4n}}{e^{n^2}} \rightarrow 0$, thus the limit exists.

2 Long Common Subsequences (match pairs)

Chvatal and Sankoff(1975)

Probability Theory and Combinatorial optimization (1997) Steele Siam.

Consider two sequence of

$$X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n, \dots,$$

$$Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n, \dots.$$

of independent identically distributed random variables with values in a finite alphabet.

$L_n = \max\{k : X_{i_1} = Y_{j_1}, X_{i_2} = Y_{j_2}, \dots, X_{i_k} = Y_{j_k}\}$, the maximum is taken over all pairs of subsequences $1 \leq i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \dots \leq i_k \leq n, 1 \leq j_1 \leq j_2 \leq \dots \leq j_k \leq n$.

Example: $HHTHHTHHT, THHTHTTHT, L_n = 7$.

By Kingman's subadditive argument, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{L_n}{n} = \gamma(F)$, a.s. L_1 .

Arratin and Waterman(1994)

$$S(i, j) = \begin{cases} 1 & X_i = Y_j \\ 0 & X_i \neq Y_j \end{cases}.$$

1. one unit to right from (i,j) to (i+1,j) or (i,j+1) which cost time 0.

2. diagonally from (i,j) to (i+1,j+1) which cost time zero if $s(i+1,j+1)=0$ or time -1 if $s(i+1,j+1)=1$.

Then, $-L_n = \inf_{\gamma} \{t(\gamma) : \gamma \text{ is a path from } (0,0) \text{ to } (n,n)\}$.

Theorem 2.1 (Hoeffding-Azumn inequality 1963,1967)

Let $\{M_i\}$ be a martingale sequence, $d_i = M_{i+1} - M_i$ (martingale difference), and $|d_i| \leq c_i$, then

$$P\left(\sum_{i=1}^n d_i \geq \lambda\right) \leq 2 \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda^2}{2 \sum_{i=1}^n c_i^2}\right).$$

Let $Z_i = (X_i, Y_i)$, $\mathcal{F}_0 = \{\emptyset, \Omega\}$, $\mathcal{F}_k = \sigma(Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_k)$, $M_i = E(L_n | \mathcal{F}_i)$ is a martingale sequence.

$d_i = E(L_n | \mathcal{F}_{i+1}) - E(L_n | \mathcal{F}_i)$, $L_n - E(L_n) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} d_i$, and

$$E(L_n | \mathcal{F}_i) = \sum_{z_{i+1}, \dots, z_n} L(Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_i, z_{i+1}, \dots, z_n) P(Z_{i+1} = z_{i+1}, \dots, Z_n = z_n),$$

$$\begin{aligned} E(L_n | \mathcal{F}_{i+1}) &= \sum_{z_{i+2}, \dots, z_n} L(Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_{i+1}, z_{i+2}, \dots, z_n) P(Z_{i+2} = z_{i+2}, \dots, Z_n = z_n) \\ &= \sum_{z_{i+1}, \dots, z_n} L(Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_{i+1}, z_{i+2}, \dots, z_n) P(Z_{i+1} = z_{i+1}, Z_{i+2} = z_{i+2}, \dots, Z_n = z_n). \end{aligned}$$

Since $|L(Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_i, z_{i+1}, \dots, z_n) - L(Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_{i+1}, z_{i+2}, \dots, z_n)| \leq 2$, then $|d_i| \leq 2$.

So we get this theorem:

Theorem 2.2

$$P(|L_n - EL_n| \geq t) \leq 2 \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{8n}\right).$$

Application:

$$1. \text{Var}(L_n) = \int_0^\infty 2tP(|L_n - EL_n| \geq t)dt \leq n.$$

2. $\forall \epsilon \geq 0$, take n, s.t. $\gamma n - \frac{\epsilon}{2}n \leq EL_n \leq n + \frac{\epsilon}{2}n$, so

$$P(L_n \geq n(\gamma + \epsilon)) \leq P(L_n - EL_n \geq \frac{\epsilon}{2}n) \leq P(|L_n - EL_n| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{2}n) \leq 2 \exp\left(-\frac{\epsilon^2 n^2}{32}\right),$$

$$P(L_n \leq n(\gamma - \epsilon)) \leq P(L_n - EL_n \leq -\frac{\epsilon}{2}n) \leq P(|L_n - EL_n| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{2}n) \leq 2 \exp\left(-\frac{\epsilon^2 n^2}{32}\right).$$

but maybe the two inequality is not sharp.

Theorem 2.3 (*First passage percolation, 1993, Kesten, Ann. of Prob. 296-338.*)

If $F(0) \leq 0$, $Ee^{-rt(e)} < \infty$ for some r , there exist $c_1 = c_1(F, d)$, such that

$$P(|\theta_n - E\theta_n| \geq tn^{\frac{1}{2}}) \leq c_1 \exp(-c_2 t^2).$$

where $\theta = a, b, c, \phi$.

Sketch of Kesten's proof:

Order the bonds of Z^d , $\mathcal{F}_0 = \{\emptyset, \Omega\}$, $\mathcal{F}_k = \sigma(t(e_1), t(e_2), \dots, t(e_k))$, $M_i = E(\theta_n | \mathcal{F}_i)$ is a martingale sequence.

$$d_i = E(\theta_n | \mathcal{F}_{i+1}) - E(\theta_n | \mathcal{F}_i), \theta_n - E(\theta_n) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} d_i.$$

Then estimate that $\sum_{k=1}^n d_k^2 \leq Cn$, finally use the Hoeffding-Azumn inequality.