

# notes on intersection complex

Fu Haoshuo

## 1 definition

Assume  $X$  is a projective complex variety of complex dimension  $n$ , we have it's singularities points  $X_i$  of complex dimension  $\leq i$ . Which means we have a filtration(stratification):

$$X = X_n \supseteq X_{n-1} \supseteq \cdots \supseteq X_1 \supseteq X_0$$

such that  $X_i \setminus X_{i-1}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) is non-singular complex variety(complex manifold).

Now we can define the *intersection complex* of  $X$  to be the complex of sheaves:

$$IC_X = (\tau_{\leq n-1} Ri_{n*} \cdots \tau_{\leq 0} Ri_{1*} \mathbb{Q}_{X-X_{n-1}})[n]$$

where  $i_k : X - X_{n-k} \rightarrow X - X_{n-k-1}$  is the inclusion.

From the definition, we know the degree this complex range from  $-n$  to  $-1$ .

We can define the intersection cohomology of this complex variety as  $IH^{n+i}(X) = H^i(X, IC_X)$ .

## 2 proposition

More specifically, we can show that when  $i > -n$ , we have:

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{supp } \mathcal{H}^i(IC_X) < -i$$

Actually, we know every  $X_k$  is closed in  $X$ . Thus  $Ri_{k*}$  doesn't change  $X - X_{n-k}$  and we have:

$$IC_X|_{X-X_{n-k}} = (\tau_{\leq k-2} Ri_{k-1*} \cdots \tau_{\leq 0} Ri_{1*} \mathbb{Q}_{X-X_{n-1}})[n]$$

which shows that  $\mathcal{H}^{k-1-n}(IC_X)|_{X-X_{n-k}} = 0$  when  $k \geq 2$ , i.e.  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{supp } \mathcal{H}^i(IC_X) < -i$  when  $i > -n$ . And we also get  $IC_X|_{X-X_{n-1}} = \mathbb{Q}_{X-X_{n-1}}[n]$ .

For a specific integer  $k$ , set  $j : F = X_{n-k} - X_{n-k-1} \rightarrow X - X_{n-k-1}$  be the closed inclusion,  $i : U = X - X_{n-k} \rightarrow X - X_{n-k-1}$  be the open inclusion.  $S_{k+1} = IC_X|_{X-X_{n-k-1}}$ ,  $S_k = IC_X|_{X-X_{n-k}}$ , which means  $i^* S_{k+1} = S_k$  and  $S_{k+1} = \tau_{\leq k-1-n} Ri_* S_k$ .

We have a distinguished triangle:

$$R\Gamma_F(S_{k+1}) \rightarrow S_{k+1} \rightarrow R\Gamma_U(S_{k+1}) \xrightarrow{+1}$$

applying the functor  $j^*$ , we have:

$$j^! S_{k+1} \rightarrow j^* S_{k+1} \rightarrow j^* Ri_* i^* S_{k+1} \xrightarrow{+1}$$

i.e.

$$j^! S_{k+1} \rightarrow j^* \tau_{\leq k-1-n} Ri_* S_k \rightarrow j^* Ri_* S_k \xrightarrow{+1}$$

However, we have another distinguished triangle:

$$j^* \tau_{\leq k-1-n} Ri_* S_k \rightarrow j^* Ri_* S_k \rightarrow j^* \tau_{\geq k-n} Ri_* S_k \xrightarrow{+1}$$

which shows that  $j^! S_{k+1} = (j^* \tau_{\geq k-n} Ri_* S_k)[-1]$ .

Now, for any point  $u_y : y \rightarrow X_{n-k} - X_{n-k-1}$ , since  $X_{n-k} - X_{n-k-1}$  is a real  $2(n-k)$  dimension manifold, we have

$$u_y^! j^! S_{k+1} = u_y^* j^! S_{k+1}[-2(n-k)]$$

thus

$$\mathcal{H}_{c,y}^*(IC_X) = \mathcal{H}^*(u_y^! j^! S_{k+1}) = \mathcal{H}^{*-1-2n+2k}(u_y^* j^* \tau_{\geq k-n} Ri_* S_k) = \mathcal{H}_y^{*-1-2n+2k}(\tau_{\geq k-n} Ri_* S_k).$$

When  $* \leq n-k$ , we have  $\mathcal{H}_{c,y}^*(IC_X) = 0$ .

For  $*$  fixed,  $k \geq n - *$ ,  $y \in \bigcup_{k \geq n-*} (X_{n-k} - X_{n-k-1}) = X - X_{*-1}$ , we have  $\mathcal{H}_{c,y}^*(IC_X) = 0$ , i.e.  $\text{supp } \mathcal{H}_c^*(IC_X) \subseteq X_{*-1}$ . This shows that  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{supp } \mathcal{H}_c^i(IC_X) < i$  when  $i < n$ .

### 3 characterize

For a projective complex variety  $X$ , the intersection complex  $IC_X$  is uniquely determined by the following proposition:

1.  $IC_X$  is constructible and  $IC_X|_{X-X_{n-1}} = \mathbb{Q}_{X-X_{n-1}}[n]$ ;
2.  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{supp } \mathcal{H}^i(IC_X) < -i$  when  $i > -n$ ;
3.  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{supp } \mathcal{H}_c^i(IC_X) < i$  when  $i < n$ .

Actually, if we set  $S_k = IC_X|_{X-X_{n-k}}$ , from the proof above, we can see that  $S_{k+1}$  must be the form  $\tau_{\leq k-1-n} Ri_* S_k$ , where  $i : X - X_{n-k} \rightarrow X - X_{n-k-1}$  is the inclusion. Thus  $IC_X$  must be the form we constructed at the beginning.

As we can see, the condition 2 and 3 are dual to each other by Verdier duality. Thus by the uniqueness, we can see the dual of  $IC_X$  is precisely  $IC_X$  itself. Taking cohomology, we find  $IH^i(X) = IH^{2n-i}(X)$ .

### 4 example

Let  $C$  be a non-singular curve in  $\mathbb{P}^n$  of genus  $g$ . It's a topological manifold with cohomology groups:

$$H^0(C) = \mathbb{Q}, \quad H^1(C) = \mathbb{Q}^{2g}, \quad H^2(C) = \mathbb{Q}$$

Now we consider it's projective cone  $X$ . Topologically, we know  $X = C \times S^2/C \times \{1\}$ , whose cohomology groups are:

$$H^0(X) = \mathbb{Q}, \quad H^1(X) = 0, \quad H^2(X) = \mathbb{Q}, \quad H^3(X) = \mathbb{Q}^{2g}, \quad H^4(X) = \mathbb{Q}$$

Of course  $X$  is not a topological manifold, thus Poincaré duality doesn't hold.

However,  $X$  is a complex variety, we have its stratification  $X_1 = X_0 = P$  being the cone vertex and its intersection complex  $(\tau_{\leq 1} Ri_{2*} \mathbb{Q}_{X-X_0})[2]$ .

We know  $Ri_{2*} \mathbb{Q}_{X-X_0}|_{X-X_0} = \mathbb{Q}_{X-X_0}$  and

$$(Ri_{2*} \mathbb{Q}_{X-X_0})_P = \varinjlim_{P \in U} \Gamma(U, Ri_{2*} \mathbb{Q}_{X-X_0}) = \varinjlim_{P \in U} R\Gamma(U - P, \mathbb{Q}_{X-X_0})$$

We can choose a well-behaved neighbourhood  $U$  to represent this colimit, i.e.  $(Ri_{2*} \mathbb{Q}_{X-X_0})_P = R\Gamma(U - P, \mathbb{Q}_{U-P})$  where  $U - P$  is homotopy to  $C$  with a non-trivial  $S^1$ -fibration. Using Serre spectral sequence, we can calculate it's cohomology:

Thus we know  $(Ri_{2*} \mathbb{Q}_{X-X_0})_P = (\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^{2g} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^{2g} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q})$  and  $\mathcal{H}^{-2}(IC_X) = \mathbb{Q}_X, \mathcal{H}^{-1}(IC_X) = \mathbb{Q}_P^{2g}$ .

And the other dimension cohomology sheaves are all zero, which actually satisfies the support condition. Then we can calculate  $X$ 's intersection cohomology group by the spectral sequence  $E_2^{p,q} = H^p(X, \mathcal{H}^q(IC_X)) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(X, IC_X)$ :

$$IH^0(X) = \mathbb{Q}, \quad IH^1(X) = \mathbb{Q}^{2g}, \quad IH^2(X) = \mathbb{Q}, \quad IH^3(X) = \mathbb{Q}^{2g}, \quad IH^4(X) = \mathbb{Q}$$

Now the Poincaré duality holds. Let  $f : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  be the blow-up of  $X$ , it's easy to see that  $\tilde{X}$  is the  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle on  $C$ , thus  $\tilde{X}$  is a complex manifold and  $IC_{\tilde{X}} = \mathbb{Q}_{\tilde{X}}[2]$  Since  $f$  is proper we know  $Rf_* \mathbb{Q}_{\tilde{X}} = (\mathbb{Q}_X \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_P^{2g} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_P)$  and we have a splitting exact sequence of perverse sheaves:

$$0 \rightarrow IC_X \rightarrow Rf_* IC_{\tilde{X}} \rightarrow (H^2(C))_P \rightarrow 0$$

where this exact sequence comes from the distinguished triangle

$$\tau_{\leq 1} R\Gamma(C, \mathbb{Q}_C)[2] \rightarrow R\Gamma(C, \mathbb{Q}_C)[2] \rightarrow \tau_{\geq 2} R\Gamma(C, \mathbb{Q}_C)[2] \xrightarrow{+1}$$

Because this triangle splits, we know the previous one also split.

## 5 another example

Let  $C$  be the same as before. let  $Y = C \times S^2 / C \times \{1\}$ . We have same stratification as before. However, the case is different because  $U - P$  is homotopy to  $C$  with a trivial  $S^1$ -fibration.

Thus we have  $(Ri_* \mathbb{Q}_{Y-P})_P = (\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^{2g+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^{2g+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q})$ . After truncated, we know

$$(\mathcal{H}^{-1}(IC_Y))_P = \mathbb{Q}^{2g+1} = H^1(C) \oplus H^0(S^1).$$

In this case, the spectral sequence of  $E_2^{p,q} = H^p(Y, \mathcal{H}^q(IC_Y)) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(Y, IC_Y)$  changed:

$$\begin{array}{c}
q \\
\uparrow \\
\mathbb{Q}^{2g+1} \\
\downarrow \\
\mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{0} \mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{E_2^{p,q}} \mathbb{Q}^{2g} \xrightarrow{\quad} \mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{\quad} p
\end{array}$$

Now the intersection cohomology becomes:

$$IH^0(Y) = \mathbb{Q}, \quad IH^1(Y) = \mathbb{Q}^{2g}, \quad IH^2(Y) = 0, \quad IH^3(Y) = \mathbb{Q}^{2g}, \quad IH^4(Y) = \mathbb{Q}$$

From this, we can also find the Poincaré duality remains true.

Now, let  $\tilde{Y} = C \times S^2$ , we have the quotient map  $f : \tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$ . Since  $f$  is proper and  $IC_{\tilde{Y}} = \mathbb{Q}[2]$ , we have  $Rf_*\mathbb{Q}_{\tilde{Y}} = (\mathbb{Q}_Y \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_P^{2g} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_P)$ .

In this time, we do not have a splitting exact sequence. Instead, we only have  $Rf_*IC_{\tilde{Y}}$  be an extension of  $IC_Y$  and other sheaves:

$$0 \rightarrow \ker \rightarrow Rf_*IC_{\tilde{Y}} \rightarrow (H^2(C))_P \rightarrow 0$$

$$0 \rightarrow IC_Y \rightarrow \ker \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_P \rightarrow 0$$

where the second exact sequence does not split.