

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Computational Physics

www.elsevier.com/locate/jcp



Computing solution landscape of nonlinear space-fractional problems via fast approximation algorithm



Bing Yu^a, Xiangcheng Zheng^a, Pingwen Zhang^b, Lei Zhang^{c,*}

^a School of Mathematical Sciences, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China

^b School of Mathematical Sciences, Laboratory of Mathematics and Applied Mathematics, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China

^c Beijing International Center for Mathematical Research, Center for Machine Learning Research, Center for Quantitative Biology, Peking

University, Beijing 100871, China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 16 October 2021 Received in revised form 4 May 2022 Accepted 27 July 2022 Available online 3 August 2022

Keywords: Solution landscape Phase field Variable-order Fractional Laplacian Saddle dynamics Stationary solution

ABSTRACT

The nonlinear space-fractional problems often allow multiple stationary solutions, which can be much more complicated than the corresponding integer-order problems. In this paper, we systematically compute the solution landscapes of nonlinear constant/variable-order space-fractional problems on one- and two-dimensional rectangular domains. A fast approximation algorithm is developed to deal with the variable-order spectral fractional Laplacian by approximating the variable-indexing Fourier modes, and then combined with saddle dynamics to construct the solution landscape of variable-order space-fractional phase field model. Numerical experiments are performed to substantiate the accuracy and efficiency of fast approximation algorithm and elucidate essential features of the stationary solutions of space-fractional phase field model. Furthermore, we demonstrate that the solution landscapes of spectral fractional Laplacian problems can be reconfigured by varying the diffusion coefficients in the corresponding integer-order problems.

© 2022 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Space-fractional partial differential equations (PDEs) provide adequate descriptions for many anomalous processes and complex dynamics, and thus have attracted extensive mathematical and numerical investigations in the past decade [1,4,7, 11,12,17,24,31,32,35,36,39,44,51]. For instance, the space-fractional phase field models for two-phase flows were shown to achieve sharper interface compared to the classical integer-order model by simply varying the fractional order [14,29]. The variable-order space-fractional PDEs, in which the fractional order varies in space to accommodate the influence of variable spatial correlation of medium heterogeneity on tracer dynamics [33,34], improves the modeling capability of constant-order space-fractional PDEs and draws increasing attention in recent years [10,45,49,50,52].

Apart from focusing on modeling and analysis of nonlinear space-fractional PDEs, how to compute all stationary solutions of the corresponding models, which are the solutions of $\nabla E(u) = 0$ for some energy functional *E*, is an important but challenging problem. According to the Morse theory [26], the local stability of a stationary solution can be characterized by its Morse index, which is defined as the maximal dimension of a subspace on which the Hessian operator is negative definite. Compared with computing the stable (index = 0) stationary solutions (i.e., minimizers), finding the unstable (index

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: yubing93@pku.edu.cn (B. Yu), zhengxch@math.pku.edu.cn (X. Zheng), pzhang@pku.edu.cn (P. Zhang), zhangl@math.pku.edu.cn (L. Zhang).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcp.2022.111513 0021-9991/© 2022 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. > 0) stationary solutions (i.e., saddle points) are much more difficult because of their unstable nature [16,47]. Extensive numerical algorithms have been developed to compute multiple stationary solutions such as the eigenvector-following method [13,47], the deflation technique [22] and the homotopy method [3,25]. Recently, a solution landscape approach was proposed in [40] to efficiently find the stationary solutions, including both stable minimizers and unstable saddle points, and their connections. By using the saddle dynamics to compute any-index saddle points [42], the downward and upward search algorithms were implemented to construct the solution landscape of the energy systems [40], which provides a hierarchy structure that starts with a parent state (the highest-index saddle point) and then relates the low-index saddle points down to the minimizers. The solution landscape approach has been successfully applied to study the defect landscape of nematic liquid crystals using a Landau-de Gennes model [18,37], Ericksen-Leslie model [19], and the molecular Onsager model [43].

Despite the aforementioned progresses on finding multiple solutions of nonlinear PDEs, to the best of our knowledge, there is no investigation on solution landscape of the space-fractional problems involving the constant/variable-order fractional Laplacian and the comparisons with the analogous integer-order models in the literature. Due to the nonlocality of the fractional operators and the impact of the variable order, which results in the significant computational consumption, the corresponding fast algorithms are critical for the construction of solution landscape. One difficulty is due to the variable fractional order. Discretization of the variable-order space-fractional operator may generate a dense but non-Toeplitz coefficient matrix. Thus, the efficient computational methods like the fast Fourier transform (FFT) based algorithms cannot be applied directly. In a recent work, Pang and Sun studied a finite difference discretization of a variable-order Riemann-Liouville fractional advection-diffusion equation in one space dimension and approximated the off-diagonal blocks of the resulting stiffness matrices by low-rank matrices [27]. Then a polynomial interpolation based fast algorithm was presented to approximate the coefficient matrix-vector multiplication can be implemented in $O(kN \ln N)$ complexity with N and k being the number of unknowns and the approximant, respectively. Nevertheless, the fast algorithms for the variable-order fractional Laplacian in multiple space dimensions, the definition of which is completely different from the variable-order Riemann-Liouville fractional Laplacian in multiple space dimensions, the definition of which is completely different from the variable-order Riemann-Liouville fractional Laplacian in multiple space dimensions, the definition of which is completely different from the variable-order Riemann-Liouville fractional derivatives, remain untreated.

Furthermore, a natural but unresolved question lies in the relationship between the variable order and the variable coefficient in fractional models [20,33], that is, is that possible to get identical solutions of variable-order fractional problems by varying the coefficients in their integer-order analogues? This concern is particularly critical for the spectral fractional Laplacian problems as they are defined in terms of the eigenpairs of second-order elliptic operators and may thus exhibit similar features of integer-order or local differential operators.

Motivated by these observations and questions, we investigate the solution landscapes of space-fractional problems involving both constant- and variable-order fractional Laplacian via saddle dynamics, and discover their similarities and differences to analogous integer-order models. The main contributions of the paper are enumerated as follows:

- We develop a fast approximation algorithm to deal with the variable-order spectral fractional Laplacian in multiple space dimensions, for which the FFT cannot be applied directly. We approximate the variable-indexing Fourier modes of the fractional Laplacian by the series expansion method and prove that high-order accuracy of the Fourier mode approximation could be obtained using only $O(\ln M)$ terms in the expansion with M being the size of the problems. We accordingly prove that computing the corresponding approximation of the variable-order spectral fractional Laplacian only requires $O(M \ln^2 M)$ operations by employing the FFT, which is much faster than implementing the direct discretization schemes of variable-order spectral fractional Laplacian that need $O(M^2 \ln M)$ operations. We further implement this approximation scheme to saddle dynamics in order to compute any-index saddle points in the solution landscape.
- We systematically construct the solution landscapes for both constant- and variable-order space-fractional phase field models, and compare them with their integer-order counterparts. Numerical experiments show that new stationary solutions emerge when the constant fractional order decreases, resulting in more complex solution landscape than their integer-order analogues. Moreover, due to the impact of variable fractional order, the solution landscape of variable-order fractional model becomes more complicated than the constant-order fractional model. We further demonstrate that the solution landscapes of spectral fractional Laplacian problems can be reconfigured by varying the diffusion coefficients in corresponding integer-order problems, which indicates the locality of spectral fractional Laplacian as integer-order differential operators.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: In Section 2 we go over preliminaries on fractional Laplacian operators and phase field models. In Section 3 we develop and analyze a fast approximation to the variable-order fractional Laplacian and carry out numerical experiments to test its accuracy and efficiency. In Section 4 we apply the fast approximation to saddle dynamics in order to construct the solution landscape of space-fractional phase field models. In Section 5 numerical experiments are performed to investigate the solution landscapes of space-fractional phase field model and make comparisons with their integer-order analogues.

2. Preliminaries

In this section we present brief reviews for the constant-order and variable-order fractional Laplacian operators and the corresponding phase field model.

2.1. FFT-based approximation to fractional Laplacian

Let \mathbb{Z} be the set of integers, N > 0 be an even number, $0 \le m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{N} := \{z \in \mathbb{Z} : -N/2 \le z \le N/2 - 1\}$, $\Omega = [0, 1]^2$, $L^2(\Omega)$ be the space of square-integrable functions on Ω with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$, and $H^m(\Omega)$ be the space of L^2 functions with L^2 derivatives up to order m [2]. A function $u \in L^2(\Omega)$ may be expanded as

$$u(x, y) = \sum_{k,l \in \mathbb{Z}} u_{k,l} e^{2\pi \mathbf{i}(kx+ly)}$$

where **i** stands for the imaginary unit and $\{u_{k,l}\}$ are the Fourier coefficients of u given as

$$u_{k,l} = \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} u(x, y) e^{-2\pi \mathbf{i}(kx+ly)} dy dx.$$

Then the fractional Laplacian $(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}u(x, y)$ of order $0 < \alpha \le 2$ is defined by [6,48]

$$(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} u(x, y) = \sum_{k,l \in \mathbb{Z}} \left[4\pi^2 (k^2 + l^2) \right]^{\alpha/2} u_{k,l} e^{2\pi \mathbf{i} (kx + ly)}.$$
(1)

In practical computations, we only evaluate the truncation $(-\Delta)_N^{\alpha/2} u$ of $(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} u$ defined by

$$(-\Delta)_{N}^{\alpha/2}u(x,y) := \sum_{k,l\in\mathbb{N}} \left[4\pi^{2}(k^{2}+l^{2})\right]^{\alpha/2} u_{k,l}e^{2\pi\mathbf{i}(kx+ly)}.$$
(2)

Define a uniform partition of Ω by $0 = x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_N = 1$ and $0 = y_0 < y_1 < \cdots < y_N = 1$ with $x_k = kh$ and $y_l = lh$ for $0 \le k, l \le N$ and h = 1/N. Then the Fourier coefficients $\{u_{k,l}\}_{k,l \in \mathbb{N}}$ could be approximated by

$$u_{k,l} \approx \hat{u}_{k,l} := h^2 \sum_{0 \le i, j < N} u(x_i, y_j) e^{-2\pi \mathbf{i}(kx_i + ly_j)}.$$
(3)

Then we replace $u_{k,l}$ by $\hat{u}_{k,l}$ in (2) to find a further approximation $(-\Delta)_{N,h}^{\alpha/2} u$ of $(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} u$ given by

$$(-\Delta)_{N,h}^{\alpha/2} u(x, y) := \sum_{k,l \in \mathbb{N}} \left[4\pi^2 (k^2 + l^2) \right]^{\alpha/2} \hat{u}_{k,l} e^{2\pi \mathbf{i} (kx + ly)}.$$
(4)

The estimates of these discretizations are standard and we refer [30] for details. It is known that either the discrete Fourier transform (3) or the inversion procedure (4) could be efficiently carried out by means of FFT, which significantly reduces the computational consumption compared with the direct method.

2.2. Variable-order fractional Laplacian

Variable-order fractional Laplacian operator of order $0 < \alpha(x, y) \le 2$ is defined as [5,21,34,45,52]

$$(-\Delta)^{\alpha(x,y)/2}u(x,y) := \sum_{k,l\in\mathbb{Z}} \left[4\pi^2 (k^2 + l^2)\right]^{\alpha(x,y)/2} u_{k,l} e^{2\pi \mathbf{i}(kx+ly)}.$$
(5)

Remark 2.1. The definition at $(x, y) = (\tilde{x}, \tilde{y})$ may be rewritten as

$$(-\Delta)^{\alpha(\tilde{x},\tilde{y})/2}u(\tilde{x},\tilde{y}) = \left(\sum_{k,l\in\mathbb{Z}} \left[4\pi^2(k^2+l^2)\right]^{\alpha(\tilde{x},\tilde{y})/2} u_{k,l}e^{2\pi\mathbf{i}(kx+ly)}\right)\Big|_{(x,y)=(\tilde{x},\tilde{y})},$$

that is, it is equivalent to the constant-order fractional Laplacian (1) with $\alpha = \alpha(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y})$ evaluated at $(x, y) = (\tilde{x}, \tilde{y})$.

We could follow (1)-(4) to approximate $(-\Delta)^{\alpha(x,y)/2}u$ as

$$(-\Delta)_{N,h}^{\alpha(x,y)/2} u(x,y) = \sum_{k,l \in \mathbb{N}} \left[4\pi^2 (k^2 + l^2) \right]^{\alpha(x,y)/2} \hat{u}_{k,l} e^{2\pi \mathbf{i} (kx+ly)}.$$
(6)

However, due to the variability of the fractional order, we could no longer apply the FFT for the efficient implementation, while the direct method is computationally expensive. To circumvent this difficulty, we develop a fast approximation for the variable-order fractional Laplacian operator based on the series expansion of the variable Fourier multiplier $[4\pi^2(k^2 + l^2)]^{\alpha(x,y)/2}$ in Section 3.

2.3. Space-fractional phase field model

Phase field models have been developed for modeling the microstructure evolution precesses and interfacial problems such as grain growth, dislocation dynamics and phase transformation [8,9,46]. For a mixture of two fluids, an order parameter $-1 \le u \le 1$ is introduced to identify the regions occupied by the two fluids. A simple form of the Ginzburg-Laudau free energy with a double-well potential is given by

$$E(u) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{\kappa}{2} |\nabla u(x)|^2 + \frac{1}{4} (1 - u^2(x))^2 dx, \tag{7}$$

and the related phase field (Allen-Cahn) equation is

$$\dot{u} = -\frac{\delta E}{\delta u} = \kappa \,\Delta u + u - u^3,\tag{8}$$

where κ is the diffusion coefficient, a critical parameter determining the complexity of the system.

In this paper, we consider the following variable-order space-fractional phase field equation

$$\dot{u} = F(u) := -\kappa (-\Delta)^{\alpha(x,y)/2} u + u - u^3$$
(9)

equipped with the periodic boundary condition, in which the Laplace operator $-\Delta$ in the classical phase field model (8) is replaced by the variable-order space-fractional Laplace operator (5) to accommodate the heterogeneity of the surroundings. It is worth mentioning that when the order α is not a constant, the dynamical system (9) is a non-gradient system without the energy functional E(u).

3. Fast approximation to variable-order fractional Laplacian

We propose and analyze a fast scheme to the variable-order fractional Laplacian (5), and test its accuracy and efficiency in this section.

3.1. One-dimensional illustration

We propose the key idea of the fast approximation by the one-dimensional (1D) analogue of (5)

$$(-\Delta)^{\alpha(x)/2} u(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(4\pi^2 k^2 \right)^{\alpha(x)/2} u_k e^{2\pi \mathbf{i} k x}$$
(10)

where the Fourier coefficients $\{u_k\}$ and their discretizations $\{\hat{u}_k\}$ are given by

$$u_k = \int_0^1 u(x)e^{-2\pi i k x} dx, \ \hat{u}_k = h \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} u(x_i)e^{-2\pi i k x_i}.$$
(11)

Then we follow (1)-(4) to approximate $(-\Delta)^{\alpha(x)/2}u$ as

$$(-\Delta)_{N,h}^{\alpha(x)/2} u(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left(4\pi^2 k^2 \right)^{\alpha(x)/2} \hat{u}_k e^{2\pi \mathbf{i} k x}.$$
(12)

We treat $(4\pi^2 k^2)^{\alpha(x)/2}$ as a power function $g_k(z) := (4\pi^2 k^2)^{z/2}$ for $k \neq 0$ and $0 < z \le 2$ such that $g_k(\alpha(x)) = (4\pi^2 k^2)^{\alpha(x)/2}$, and expand g_k at z = 1 as follows

$$g_{k}(z) = \sum_{s=0}^{S} \frac{g_{k}^{(s)}(1)}{\Gamma(s+1)} (z-1)^{s} + \frac{g_{k}^{(S+1)}(\xi)}{\Gamma(S+2)} (z-1)^{S+1}$$

$$= \sum_{s=0}^{S} \frac{(4\pi^{2}k^{2})^{1/2}}{\Gamma(s+1)} \frac{\ln^{s}(4\pi^{2}k^{2})}{2^{s}} (z-1)^{s}$$

$$+ \frac{(4\pi^{2}k^{2})^{\xi/2}}{\Gamma(S+2)} \frac{\ln^{S+1}(4\pi^{2}k^{2})}{2^{S+1}} (z-1)^{S+1} =: G_{k}(z) + R_{k}(z).$$
(13)

Here ξ lies in between 1 and z and $\Gamma(\cdot)$ represents the Gamma function. Then we could substitute $g_k(\alpha(x))$ by $G_k(\alpha(x))$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}/\{0\}$ in (12) and notice that $g_0(\alpha(x)) = 0$ to reach a further approximation

$$(-\Delta)_{N,h,F}^{\alpha(x)/2} u(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}/\{0\}} G_k(\alpha(x)) \hat{u}_k e^{2\pi \mathbf{i}kx}$$

= $\sum_{s=0}^{S} (\alpha(x) - 1)^s \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}/\{0\}} \frac{(4\pi^2 k^2)^{1/2}}{\Gamma(s+1)} \frac{\ln^s (4\pi^2 k^2)}{2^s} \hat{u}_k e^{2\pi \mathbf{i}kx}.$ (14)

To derive error estimates, we first analyze the truncation error $R_k(z)$ in the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. For $0 < m \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $S = \lfloor e^{\mu+1} \ln(\pi N) - 1 \rfloor$ with μ satisfying

$$\mu e^{\mu+1} \ge m+2. \tag{15}$$

Then the truncation error in (13) can be bounded by

 $|R_k(z)| \le N^{-m}, \ k \in \mathbb{N}/\{0\}, \ 0 < z \le 2.$

Remark 3.2. This theorem implies that by selecting $S = O(\ln N)$, the truncation error $R_k(z)$ could be sufficiently small with high-order decay.

Proof. We apply $|k| \le N/2$, $|z - 1| \le 1$ and the Stirling's formula

$$\Gamma(S+2) > \frac{(S+1)^{S+3/2}}{e^{S+1}}$$

to bound the truncation error as follows

$$|R_{k}(z)| = \left| \frac{\left(4\pi^{2}k^{2}\right)^{\frac{k}{2}}}{\Gamma(S+2)} \frac{\ln^{S+1}(4\pi^{2}k^{2})}{2^{S+1}} (z-1)^{S+1} \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{4\pi^{2}k^{2}}{(S+1)^{S+3/2}e^{-(S+1)}} \frac{\ln^{S+1}(4\pi^{2}k^{2})}{2^{S+1}}$$

$$\leq 4\pi^{2}k^{2} \left(\frac{e\ln(4\pi^{2}k^{2})}{2(S+1)}\right)^{S+1} \leq \pi^{2}N^{2} \left(\frac{e\ln(\pi^{2}N^{2})}{2(S+1)}\right)^{S+1}.$$
(16)

Therefore, S may be chosen such that the following inequality holds

$$\pi^2 N^2 \left(\frac{e \ln(\pi^2 N^2)}{2(S+1)}\right)^{S+1} \le N^{-m},\tag{17}$$

which is equivalent to

$$\ln \pi^{2} + (S+1) \ln \frac{e \ln(\pi^{2} N^{2})}{2(S+1)} \leq -(m+2) \ln N$$

$$= -\frac{m+2}{2} \ln(\pi^{2} N^{2}) + \frac{m+2}{2} \ln(\pi^{2}).$$
(18)

Thus it suffices to find S such that

$$(S+1)\ln\frac{e\ln(\pi^2 N^2)}{2(S+1)} \le -\frac{m+2}{2}\ln(\pi^2 N^2).$$
(19)

To further simplify this equation, we introduce the substitution

$$S + 1 = \frac{e^{\mu + 1}}{2} \ln(\pi^2 N^2) \text{ for } S > \frac{e^2}{2} \ln(\pi^2 N^2) - 1$$
(20)

and for some $\mu > 1$. Invoking this transformation in (19) yields

$$(S+1)(-\mu) \le -\frac{m+2}{2}\ln(\pi^2 N^2).$$
(21)

Combining (20) and (21) leads to

$$\frac{e^{\mu+1}}{2}\ln(\pi^2 N^2) \ge \frac{m+2}{2\mu}\ln(\pi^2 N^2),\tag{22}$$

that is, $\mu e^{\mu+1} \ge m+2$, which is exactly (15). We thus conclude that by selecting

B. Yu, X. Zheng, P. Zhang et al.

$$S = \left\lceil \frac{e^{\mu+1}}{2} \ln(\pi^2 N^2) - 1 \right\rceil$$

with μ satisfying (15), the truncation error in (13) can be bounded by $|R_k(z)| \leq N^{-m}$, which completes the proof.

Based on Theorem 3.1, we bound the error between the fast approximate scheme (14) and the standard approximate scheme (12) as

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| (-\Delta)_{N,h}^{\alpha(x)/2} u - (-\Delta)_{N,h,F}^{\alpha(x)/2} u \right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \\ &= \left\| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}/\{0\}} R_k(\alpha(x)) \hat{u}_k e^{2\pi \mathbf{i} k x} \right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \le N^{-m} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}/\{0\}} |\hat{u}_k|. \end{aligned}$$

We invoke (11) to further estimate the error in terms of the discrete L^1 norm $||u||_{\hat{I}^1(\Omega)} := h \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} |u(x_i)|$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| (-\Delta)_{N,h}^{\alpha(x)/2} u - (-\Delta)_{N,h,F}^{\alpha(x)/2} u \right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \\ &\leq N^{-m} h \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}/\{0\}} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} |u(x_i)| = N^{-(m-1)} \|u\|_{\hat{L}^{1}(\Omega)}. \end{aligned}$$

We then present the computational costs of two approximate schemes in the following theorem.

Theorem 3.3. The implementation of (14) at $x = x_i$ for $0 \le i < N$ requires $O(N \ln^2 N)$ operations via the FFT, which is much faster than the evaluation of (12) at $x = x_i$ for $0 \le i < N$ that needs $O(N^2 \ln N)$ operations.

Proof. For the direct implementation by (12), although $\{\hat{u}_k\}_{k=-N/2}^{N/2-1}$ could be efficiently computed via the FFT and stored, at each x_i all Fourier modes in (12) should be updated and the inverse FFT needs to be performed due to the varying of the fractional order $\alpha(x)$. Therefore, totally $N \ln N + N \cdot O(N \ln N) = O(N^2 \ln N)$ operators are required, which proves the computational cost of (12).

We then consider the computational cost of the fast scheme (14). By Theorem 3.1, *S* has only the magnitude of $O(\ln N)$ and for each $0 \le s \le S$, the inner summation with $0 \le i < N$ can be evaluated efficiently via the FFT and inverse FFT, which requires $O(N \ln N)$ operators. Therefore, the total computational cost of the fast scheme (14) is $O(N \ln^2 N)$, which completes the proof. \Box

Remark 3.4. If we directly evaluate the sum on the right-hand side of (12) for each *i* without using the inverse Fourier transform, the total computational cost of (12) for $0 \le i < N$ is $O(N^2)$, which is a bit smaller than $O(N^2 \ln N)$ proved in the above theorem. However, this could introduce numerical inaccuracies and inconveniences compared with employing the inverse Fourier transform. Furthermore, improving the computational efficiency by a logarithmic factor $\ln N$ does not bring essential differences.

3.2. Accuracy and efficiency tests

We numerically test both the accuracy and the computational consumptions (i.e., the CPU times) of the fast method (14) by comparing it with the direct method in both constant-order and variable-order cases. Here the direct method for constant-order fractional Laplacian means evaluating the 1D analogue of (4) by the FFT and its inversion, and for the variable-order case, the direct method means evaluating it pointwisely like a constant-order fractional Laplacian.

For the accuracy test, we choose $u(x) = x^2(1 - x)^2$ or $u(x) = \sin(6\pi x)$ under $N = 2^{12}$ or $N = 2^{18}$ and $\alpha(x) = 1.5$ or $\alpha(x) = 1.5 + 0.4 \sin(2\pi x)$, and the L^2 errors between the fast method and the direct method are presented in Fig. 1. We find that the machine accuracy was reached in all three plots when S > 25, and a significant increment of N (e.g., N becomes 2^{18} from 2^{12} in Fig. 1(B)) only has slight affects on the threshold value of S (that is, S should be bigger than 25 in Fig. 1(B) instead of 22 in Fig. 1(A) such that the machine accuracy could be reached). These observations are consistent with the analysis in Theorem 3.1 in that the S does not heavily depend on N and demonstrate the effectiveness of the fast method (14).

We then test the efficiency of the fast method. Motivated by the observations, we take S = 25 and choose $u(x) = x^2(1-x)^2$ and $\alpha(x) = 1.5$ or $\alpha(x) = 1.5 + 0.4 \sin(2\pi x)$, and the results are presented in Fig. 2. In Fig. 2(A), in which the α is a constant, the CPU times of both the direct method and the fast method grow linearly as their operations are $O(N \ln N)$ and $O(N \ln^2 N)$, respectively. In particular, the CPU times of the fast method are roughly *S* multiples of the corresponding CPU times of the direct method due to the series expansion. However, in the case of variable fractional order in Fig. 2(B), the CPU times of the direct method grow quadratically while the fast method still keeps a linear growth of the CPU time, as their operations are $O(N \ln^2 N)$, respectively, as proved in Theorem 3.3. These tests fully substantiate the efficiency of the fast method.



Fig. 1. Plots of L^2 errors under (A) $\alpha = 1.5$ and $N = 2^{12}$; (B) $\alpha = 1.5$ and $N = 2^{18}$ and (C) $\alpha = 1.5 + 0.4 \sin(2\pi x)$ and $N = 2^{12}$.



Fig. 2. Plots of CPU times under (A) $\alpha = 1.5$ and (B) $\alpha = 1.5 + 0.4 \sin(2\pi x)$.

3.3. Two-dimensional (2D) extension

For the 2D approximated operator (6), we could similarly consider the mode $(4\pi^2(k^2+l^2))^{\alpha(x,y)/2}$ as a power function $g_{k,l}(z) := (4\pi^2(k^2 + l^2))^{z/2}$ for $(k, l) \neq (0, 0)$ and expand this function at z = 1 like (13) to find the following approximation to $(-\Delta)_{N,h}^{\alpha(x,y)/2}u(x,y)$

$$(-\Delta)_{N,h,F}^{\alpha(x_{i},y_{j})/2}u(x_{i},y_{j}) := \sum_{s=0}^{S} (\alpha(x_{i},y_{j})-1)^{s} \times \sum_{k,l\in\mathbb{N},(k,l)\neq(0,0)} \frac{(4\pi^{2}(k^{2}+l^{2}))^{1/2}}{\Gamma(s+1)} \frac{\ln^{s}(4\pi^{2}(k^{2}+l^{2}))}{2^{s}} \hat{u}_{kl}e^{2\pi \mathbf{i}(kx_{i}+ly_{j})}$$

$$(23)$$

for $0 \le i, j < N$, where, similar to the derivations in Section 3.1, we require

$$S = \left\lceil e^{\mu+1} \ln(\sqrt{2\pi}N) - 1 \right\rceil$$

with μ satisfying (15) in order that the error of approximating $(4\pi^2(k^2+l^2))^{\alpha(x,y)/2}$ can be bounded by N^{-m} . It is worth mentioning that the higher dimension of the problem is, the more computational cost we could save. This is due to the fact that the number of performing FFT and its inversion could be reduced from M in the direct method to $S = O(\ln M)$ in the fast method where M represents the size of the problem. Therefore, the high dimension will lead to a very large M and consequently the fast method will significantly reduce the computational costs.

4. Construction of solution landscape

In this section we combine the saddle dynamics with fast approximation algorithm of the variable-order fractional Laplacian to construct the solution landscapes of the variable-order space-fractional problem.

4.1. Saddle dynamics

The saddle dynamics is designed for searching the saddle points of a dynamical system $\dot{\boldsymbol{u}} = \boldsymbol{F}(\boldsymbol{u})$, where $\boldsymbol{F} : \mathbb{R}^M \to \mathbb{R}^M$ for $0 < M \in \mathbb{Z}$ is a twice continuously differentiable function and $\boldsymbol{u} \in \mathbb{R}^M$ [38]. For a gradient system with an energy function $E(\boldsymbol{u})$, \boldsymbol{F} equals to the negative gradient of $E(\boldsymbol{u})$, and the Morse index of a stationary solution \boldsymbol{u}^* is equal to the number of negative eigenvalues of the Hessian $G(\boldsymbol{u}^*) = \nabla^2 E(\boldsymbol{u}^*)$. Denote the eigenvectors corresponding to negative eigenvalues as $\{\boldsymbol{v}_i\}_{i=1}^k$ and define the unstable subspace as $\mathcal{W}^u := \operatorname{span}\{\boldsymbol{v}_1, \cdots, \boldsymbol{v}_k\}$. Then \boldsymbol{u}^* is a local maximum on the linear manifold $\boldsymbol{u}^* + \mathcal{W}^u$ and a local minimum on $\boldsymbol{u}^* + (\mathcal{W}^u)^{\perp}$. Based on this property, the saddle dynamics for an index-*k* saddle point of the gradient system [42] is written as

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\boldsymbol{u}} = \left(I - 2\sum_{j=1}^{k} \boldsymbol{v}_{j} \boldsymbol{v}_{j}^{\top}\right) \boldsymbol{F}(\boldsymbol{u}), \\ \dot{\boldsymbol{v}}_{i} = -\left(I - \boldsymbol{v}_{i} \boldsymbol{v}_{i}^{\top} - 2\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \boldsymbol{v}_{j} \boldsymbol{v}_{j}^{\top}\right) \boldsymbol{G}(\boldsymbol{u}) \boldsymbol{v}_{i}, \quad i = 1, \cdots, k, \end{cases}$$

$$(24)$$

with initial conditions

$$\boldsymbol{u}(0) = \boldsymbol{u}^0 \in \mathbb{R}^M, \quad \boldsymbol{v}_i(0) = \boldsymbol{v}_i^0 \in \mathbb{R}^M, \quad \boldsymbol{v}_i^\top \boldsymbol{v}_j = \delta_{ij}, \quad i, j = 1, \cdots, k.$$
(25)

Here \boldsymbol{u} is a state variable and $\{\boldsymbol{v}_i\}_{i=1}^k$ are k direction variables that are used to approximate an orthogonal basis of the unstable subspace $\mathcal{W}(\boldsymbol{u})$. The dynamics of \boldsymbol{u} in (24) represents a transformed gradient flow

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{u}} = -\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{W}^{u}(\boldsymbol{u})}\boldsymbol{F}(\boldsymbol{u}) + \mathcal{P}_{(\mathcal{W}^{u}(\boldsymbol{u}))^{\perp}}\boldsymbol{F}(\boldsymbol{u}) = (l - 2\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{W}^{u}(\boldsymbol{u})})\boldsymbol{F}(\boldsymbol{u}),$$
(26)

while the dynamics of v_i in (24) is to find the unit eigenvector of the *i*-th smallest eigenvalue of G(u) with the knowledge of v_1, \dots, v_{i-1} .

For a general non-gradient system, the Jacobian $J(\mathbf{u}) = \nabla F(\mathbf{u})$ should be used to substitute the self-joint Hessian in a gradient system. The index of a stationary point should be defined as the number of eigenvalues with positive real parts. The corresponding saddle dynamics for the non-gradient system [41] reads

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\boldsymbol{u}} = \left(I - 2\sum_{j=1}^{k} \boldsymbol{v}_{j} \boldsymbol{v}_{j}^{\top}\right) \boldsymbol{F}(\boldsymbol{u}), \\ \dot{\boldsymbol{v}}_{i} = \left(I - \boldsymbol{v}_{i} \boldsymbol{v}_{i}^{\top}\right) J(\boldsymbol{u}) \boldsymbol{v}_{i} - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \boldsymbol{v}_{j} \boldsymbol{v}_{j}^{\top} \left(J(\boldsymbol{u}) + J^{\top}(\boldsymbol{u})\right) \boldsymbol{v}_{i}, \quad i = 1, \cdots, k. \end{cases}$$

$$(27)$$

It was shown in [41, Theorem 2.1] that a linearly stable solution of (27) is an index-k stationary point of the system $\dot{u} = F(u)$.

The numerical discretizations for (24) and (27) could have a uniform form as follows

$$\begin{cases} \boldsymbol{u}^{m+1} = \boldsymbol{u}^m + \tau \left(I - 2 \sum_{j=1}^k \boldsymbol{v}_j^m (\boldsymbol{v}_j^m)^\top \right) \boldsymbol{F}(\boldsymbol{u}^m), \\ \tilde{\boldsymbol{v}}_i^{m+1} = \tilde{\boldsymbol{v}}_i^m + \sigma \frac{\boldsymbol{F}(\boldsymbol{u}^{m+1} + l\boldsymbol{v}_i^m) - \boldsymbol{F}(\boldsymbol{u}^{m+1} - l\boldsymbol{v}_i^m)}{2l}, \quad i = 1, \cdots, k, \\ [\boldsymbol{v}_1^{m+1}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{v}_k^{m+1}] = \operatorname{orth}[\tilde{\boldsymbol{v}}_1^{m+1}, \cdots, \tilde{\boldsymbol{v}}_k^{m+1}]. \end{cases}$$
(28)

Here l > 0 is a small constant and τ , $\sigma > 0$ are step sizes. A dimer centered at u^{m+1} with the direction v_i and length 2l

$$\frac{\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{u}^{m+1}+l\mathbf{v}_i^m)-\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{u}^{m+1}-l\mathbf{v}_i^m)}{2l}$$
(29)

is applied to approximate $J(\mathbf{u}^{m+1})\mathbf{v}_i$, and orth(·) represents the normalized orthogonalization function, which could be realized by a Gram-Schmidt procedure.

When F involves the variable-order fractional Laplacian (5), we can implement the fast evaluation schemes (14) or (23) in the numerical discretization of saddle dynamics (28). For instance, in the space-fractional phase field model (7), we could formulate the corresponding saddle dynamics and apply (23) to evaluate

$$\begin{split} F(u)|_{(x,y)=(x_i,y_j)} &\approx F_{i,j} \\ &:= -\kappa (-\Delta)_{N,h,F}^{\alpha(x_i,y_j)/2} u(x_i,y_j) + u(x_i,y_j) - u^3(x_i,y_j), \ \ 0 \leq i,j < N. \end{split}$$

4.2. Algorithm of solution landscape

Using the saddle dynamics in Section 4.1, solution landscape of the aforementioned dynamical system can be constructed systematically via the downward and upward search algorithms [41].

The downward search algorithm enables us to search lower-index saddles and stable states from a high-index saddle point. Given an index-*k* saddle point and its *k* unstable directions denoted by $(\hat{u}, \hat{v}_1, \dots, \hat{v}_k)$ as a parent state, we could choose index-*m* saddles with $0 \le m < k$ as our targets. In practice, a perturbed \hat{u} along the direction \hat{v}_i for some $m < i \le k$ with the directions $\{\hat{v}_j\}_{j=1}^m$, that is, $(\hat{u} \pm \epsilon \hat{v}_i, \hat{v}_1, \dots, \hat{v}_m)$, will serve as the initial conditions in (25) in the implementation of the discrete saddle dynamics (28). By repeating this procedure on the newly found saddles, the pathway map under the given index-*k* saddle could be constructed completely.

Inversely, the upward searching is adopted when the parent state is unknown or multiple parent states exist. To search an index-*m* saddle point (m > k) from an index-*k* saddle point $(\hat{u}, \hat{v}_1, \dots, \hat{v}_k)$, we need to compute *m* eigendirections by the dynamics of v_i in (28) as ascent searching directions, where the first *k* directions have been given by the index-*k* saddle. Then we could start from the initial states $(\hat{u} \pm \epsilon \hat{v}_i, \hat{v}_1, \dots, \hat{v}_m)$ for some $k < i \le m$ to search the index-*m* saddle point. Combining the downward and upward search algorithms, we could navigate up and down on the solution landscape and find all connected saddle points and stable states.

Remark 4.1. Intuitively, we may solve F(u) = 0 as a numerical differential equation by iteration solvers, e.g., the Newton method. However, such methods depend heavily on the selection of the initial values and neglect the relations among different saddle points, which may lead to significant computational costs and incomplete solution landscapes. The proposed method accommodates these issues and is thus more efficient and accurate in practical computations.

5. Numerical experiments

We perform numerical simulations to construct the solution landscapes of constant-order and variable-order space-fractional phase field models (8) in one and two space dimensions and compare them with their integer-order analogues.

5.1. One-dimensional problem

We first consider 1D space-fractional phase field equation (9) to understand the global structures of this model. The problem is restricted on the space interval [0, 1] and the mesh size $h = 2^{-7}$ is chosen for spatial discretization. For any $\kappa > 0$ and periodic fractional order $\alpha(x)$, three homogeneous phases, namely, $u_1 \equiv 1$, $u_{-1} \equiv -1$ and $u_0 \equiv 0$, remain to be stationary solutions of (9). By analyzing the linear stability of the corresponding Jacobian operator, both u_1 and u_{-1} are steady states of (8), while u_0 is a saddle point and its index increases as κ or α decreases. Using u_0 as the parent state, we construct the solution landscape by downward search via saddle dynamics in Section 4.

5.1.1. Constant-order case

First, we fix the diffusion coefficient $\kappa = 0.02$ and vary the fractional order α to compute the corresponding solution landscapes in Fig. 3. Each image in Fig. 3 denotes a stationary solution, and each column shows the solution landscape with different α . Each solid arrow represents a saddle dynamics. Because of the periodic boundary condition, a translation of an inhomogeneous stationary solution is still a stationary solution, and only one phase is shown in the solution landscape. In addition, if \hat{u} is a stationary solution of (8), so is $-\hat{u}$. As we can see in Fig. 3, $u_1 \equiv 1$ and $u_{-1} \equiv -1$ remain to be steady states with the changing of α . The index-1 saddle points at different α show a single peak, but the interface becomes sharper as α is smaller. Furthermore, when α decreases, the index of the homogeneous state u_0 becomes larger, leading to the emergence of more high-index saddle points.

The bifurcation graph in Fig. 4(A) is plotted to explain the jump of the index of u_0 and the appearance of new stationary solutions. With the order α decreasing, the non-homogeneous states with larger wave number will appear one by one through pitchfork bifurcations (denoted by dash lines), and then amplify their amplitudes. We also present the index of u_0 against α at different $\kappa = 0.02, 0.015, 0.01$ in Fig. (4)(B). The three curves show similar behaviors. Decreasing α leads to the increase of the index of u_0 , and smaller κ results in the higher-index of u_0 . Furthermore, a coarse graph of the index of u_0 on the parameter space (κ, α), shown in Fig. 4(C), demonstrates how α and κ affect the index of u_0 . It is worth mentioning that decreasing α and κ has similar affects on the index of u_0 .

Motivated by the finding in Fig. 4(C), we can choose suitable κ in the integer-order phase field model (8) by matching the index of u_0 at different α and compare their solution landscapes to reveal the relationship between the fractional Laplacian and the integer-order Laplacian. In Fig. 5(A), we compute the solution landscapes with different κ at the integer-oder $\alpha = 2$. By matching the index order of u_0 , the solution landscapes with different κ are very similar to the solution landscapes with different α in Fig. 3. To be more specific, we compare the index-1 saddle points with different κ and α in Fig. 5(B) and (C), respectively. It shows similar interfaces between two phases and they become sharper with κ or α decreasing. There is a subtle difference between the fractional order and the integer order. The maxima (minima) of saddle points with fractional order α could not reach +1(-1), which is different from their integer-order analogues. Nevertheless, comparison of these solution landscapes shows that the spectral fractional Laplacian operator exhibits similar properties as the integer-order



Fig. 3. Solution landscapes of 1D space-fractional phase field model (9) with different constant fractional order α at $\kappa = 0.02$.



Fig. 4. (A) Bifurcation graph with respect to the fractional order α at $\kappa = 0.02$. (B) Index of u_0 against α at $\kappa = 0.02$, 0.015, 0.01. (C) Index of u_0 on the space (κ, α). (For interpretation of the colors in the figures, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

Laplacian by choosing the suitable diffusion coefficient. In fact, because the spectral fractional Laplacian is defined in terms of the eigenfunctions of the integer-order Laplacian, it may perform similar locality properties as integer-order differential operators.



Fig. 5. (A) Solution landscapes of 1D integer-order phase field model (8) with different κ at $\alpha = 2$. (B) The index-1 saddle points with different κ at $\alpha = 2$. (C) The index-1 saddle points with different α at $\kappa = 2 \times 10^{-2}$.

5.1.2. Variable-order case

We next compute the solution landscapes of the variable-order space-fractional phase field model. The following three trigonometric functions with different amplitudes

$$1.2 + 0.1\cos(2\pi x), 1.3 + 0.2\cos(2\pi x), 1.55 + 0.45\cos(2\pi x)$$

are used as the variable fractional order $\alpha(x)$ (cf. the top line of Fig. 6). Due to the loss of symmetry of the variable fractional order $\alpha(x)$, translations of saddle points are no longer stationary solutions as in the constant fractional order case in general.

In Fig. 6(A)-(C), $\alpha(x)$ varies from the constant order $\alpha = 1.2$ gradually and thus the corresponding solution landscapes differ from that of the constant order $\alpha = 1.2$. More low-index saddle points emerge through pitchfork bifurcations, and the interfaces in the region of smaller $\alpha(x)$ are sharper than others. Furthermore, the index of u_0 decreases when the amplitude of $\alpha(x)$ becomes larger, resulting in some high-index saddle points disappear, which is consistent to the constant order case by increasing α (cf. Fig. 3).

To answer the question that whether it is possible to get similar solutions of variable-order fractional problems by varying the coefficients in their integer-order analogues, we compute the following variable-coefficient phase field model,

$$\dot{u} = \kappa \left(x \right) \Delta u + u - u^3, \tag{30}$$

with

B. Yu, X. Zheng, P. Zhang et al.

Journal of Computational Physics 468 (2022) 111513



Fig. 6. (A)-(C) Solution landscapes of 1D variable-order phase field model with different $\alpha(x)$. (D) Solution landscape of the integer-order phase field model (30) with variable coefficient.

$$\kappa(x) = 3 \times 10^{-3} + 2 \times 10^{-3} \cos(2\pi x)$$

This specific $\kappa(x)$ is chosen by matching the index of u_0 under the $\alpha(x)$ in Fig. 6(B) for comparison. In Fig. 6(D), we compute the solution landscape for the variable-coefficient case. Comparing the solution landscapes in Fig. 6 (B) and (D), we find that all solutions of the variable-order fractional phase field model could be approximately reconstructed by adjusting the variable coefficient in the integer-order phase field model. In a similar manner, the solution landscapes in Fig. 6(A) and (C) can be reconstructed from the model (30) by choosing $\kappa(x) = 1.25 \times 10^{-3} + 2.5 \times 10^{-4} \cos(2\pi x)$ and $1.05 \times 10^{-2} + 9.5 \times 10^{-3} \cos(2\pi x)$, respectively. Numerical simulations show that, similar to the constant fractional order case, the variable-order spectral fractional Laplacian operator exhibits similar behaviors as the integer-order Laplacian with a suitable variable-coefficient. This again demonstrates that the variable-order spectral fractional Laplacian is close to a local differential operator from the view point of solution landscape.

5.2. Two-dimensional problems

To show the efficiency of numerical methods, we further test 2D space-fractional phase field model (8) on a square domain $[0, 1]^2$ with the mesh grid $N = 2^6$ in each direction for spatial discretization.

The solution landscapes for both constant-order and variable-order cases are shown in Fig. 7. When $\alpha = 2$ in Fig. 7(A), which is an integer-order case, the homogeneous state $u_0 \equiv 0$ is an index-5 saddle point of the system, and connects a

B. Yu, X. Zheng, P. Zhang et al.



Fig. 7. Solution landscapes of 2D space-fractional phase field model with the constant order $\alpha = 2$ (A), $\alpha = 1.7$ (B), $\alpha = 1.5$ (C), and the variable-order $\alpha(x, y) = 1.6 + 0.1 \cos(2\pi x) \cos(2\pi y)$ (D).

2-saddle square state, two 1-saddle stripe states and two homogeneous steady states $u_1 \equiv 1$ and $u_{-1} \equiv -1$. In Fig. 7(B) and (C), when α decreases, the index of u_0 increases and various states, which are some mixtures of 1D solutions in some way, appear through pitchfork bifurcations. We need to point out that, similar stationary solutions in Fig. 7(B) and (C) could be discovered with $\kappa = 0.01, 0.006$ at integer order $\alpha = 2$ [41], which again demonstrates that the parameters α and κ have comparable affects on the solution landscapes of the space-fractional phase field model.

Furthermore, we choose the variable fractional order as

$$\alpha(x, y) = 1.6 + 0.1 \cos(2\pi x) \cos(2\pi y),$$

and the corresponding solution landscape is shown in Fig. 7(D). More interesting saddle points are identified in the solution landscape of the variable-order phase field model. The results indicate that, by designing suitable variable fractional orders, specific stationary solutions may be achieved for particular applications.

5.3. Extension to logarithmic double-well potential

In previous examples, we presented the phase field model with classical double-well potential $\frac{1}{4}(1-u^2)^2$. Now we investigate the phase field model with the logarithmic double-well potential, e.g., the reaction-diffusion equation with the Flory–Huggins potential function and the Peng–Robinson equation of state [15,28], a widely used realistic equation of state for hydrocarbon fluid in the petroleum industry. The logarithmic double-well potential takes the following form

$$f_{\log}(u) = (1+u)\ln(1+u) + (1-u)\ln(1-u) - cu^2, \quad u \in (-1,1),$$
(31)

where the constant c > 1 determines the shape of the potential function as presented in Fig. 8(A). With this potential, the fractional phase field model in 1D reads

$$\dot{u} = -\kappa (-\Delta)^{\alpha(x)/2} u + \ln \frac{1-u}{1+u} + 2cu.$$
(32)

In Fig. 8(B), we display the index-3 saddle points with different *c* at constant order $\alpha = 1.3$ and $\kappa = 0.02$. Compared with those under the classical double-well potential, f_{log} introduces a new parameter *c* to adjust the tilt of the interface of saddles and the position of the homogeneous phases.



Fig. 8. (A) Plots of $f_{log}(u)$ with different *c*. (B) The index-3 saddle points with different *c* ($\alpha = 1.3$, $\kappa = 0.02$). (C) Solution landscapes of 1D fractional phase field model with the logarithmic double-well potential with $\alpha = 1.3$ and $\kappa = 0.02$ (left), variable order $\alpha(x) = 1.3 + 0.2 \cos(2\pi x)$ and $\kappa = 0.02$ (middle) and variable coefficient $\kappa(x) = 3 \times 10^{-3} + 2 \times 10^{-3} \cos(2\pi x)$ and $\alpha = 1.3$ (right).

Fig. 8(C) presents three solution landscapes under different parameters. We find that the solution landscape of fractional phase field models with logarithmic double-well potential is similar with the one with classical double-well potential. And one could recover the solution landscape of the variable-order fractional phase field model by adjusting the variable coefficient in the constant-order fractional phase field model. This again demonstrates that the variable-order spectral fractional Laplacian is close to a local differential operator from the view point of solution landscape.

6. Conclusions

In this paper we develop and analyze a fast approximation algorithm for the variable-order space-fractional Laplacian, and implement it in saddle dynamics for efficient construction of the solution landscapes of nonlinear variable-order space-fractional phase field model. By approximating the variable-indexing Fourier modes of the fractional Laplacian by the series

expansion method, we prove that the high-order accuracy of the Fourier mode approximation could be obtained using only $O(\ln M)$ terms in the expansion. We then construct the solution landscape of both constant- and variable-order nonlinear space-fractional evolution problems via saddle dynamics. Numerical experiments are performed to substantiate the accuracy and the efficiency of fast approximation algorithm and elucidate the global structures of the stationary solutions of nonlinear space-fractional problems. We further demonstrate the similarities and differences between the spectral fractional Laplacian problems and their integer-order analogues from the viewpoint of solution landscape. We conclude from the perspective of the solution landscapes that the stationary solutions of spectral fractional Laplacian problems can be reconfigured by choosing the suitable diffusion coefficients in corresponding integer-order problems, which indicates the locality of the spectral fractional Laplacian operator as the integer-order differential operators. In the future, we may extend the developed approach to investigate the variable-order fractional problems defined in terms of singular integrals on a general multi-dimensional domain via the methods in, e.g., [23], and compare the properties of different definitions of variable-order fractional landscape.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Bing Yu: Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Visualization, Writing – original draft. **Xiangcheng Zheng:** Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – original draft. **Pingwen Zhang:** Funding acquisition, Supervision. **Lei Zhang:** Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Methodology, Supervision, Writing – review & editing.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Acknowledgements

This work was partially supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of China 2021YFF1200500, the National Natural Science Foundation of China No. 12050002 and 21790340, the International Postdoctoral Exchange Fellowship Program (Talent-Introduction Program) No. YJ20210019, the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation No. 2021TQ0017 and 2021M700244.

References

- [1] G. Acosta, J.P. Borthagaray, A fractional Laplace equation: regularity of solutions and finite element approximations, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 55 (2017) 472–495.
- [2] R.A. Adams, J.J.F. Fournier, Sobolev Spaces, Elsevier, San Diego, 2003.
- [3] E.L. Allgower, K. Georg, Introduction to Numerical Continuation Methods, SIAM, 2003.
- [4] H. Antil, C.N. Rautenberg, Sobolev spaces with non-Muckenhoupt weights, fractional elliptic operators, and applications, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 51 (2019) 2479–2503.
- [5] H. Antil, A. Ceretani, C. Rautenberg, The spatially variant fractional Laplacian, arXiv:2106.11471 [math.AP], 2021.
- [6] M. Ainsworth, Z. Mao, Analysis and approximation of a fractional Cahn-Hilliard equation, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 55 (2017) 1689–1718.
- [7] A. Bonito, J. Borthagaray, R. Nochetto, E. Otárola, A. Salgado, Numerical methods for fractional diffusion, Comput. Vis. Sci. 19 (2018) 19-46.
- [8] L. Chen, Phase-field models for microstructure evolution, Annu. Rev. Mater. Res. 32 (2002) 113-140.
- [9] P. Colli, G. Gilardi, Well-posedness, regularity and asymptotic analyses for a fractional phase field system, Asymptot. Anal. 114 (2019) 93-128.
- [10] M. D'Elia, C. Glusa, A fractional model for anomalous diffusion with increased variability: Analysis, algorithms and applications to interface problems, Numer. Methods Partial Differ. Eq. (2022), https://doi.org/10.1002/num.22865.
- [11] M. D'Elia, Q. Du, C. Glusa, M. Gunzburger, X. Tian, Z. Zhou, Numerical methods for nonlocal and fractional models, Acta Numer. 29 (2020) 1–124.
- [12] W. Deng, J. Hesthaven, Local discontinuous Galerkin methods for fractional diffusion equations, ESAIM: M2AN 47 (2013) 1845–1864.
- [13] J. Doye, D. Wales, Saddle points and dynamics of Lennard-Jones clusters, solids, and supercooled liquids, J. Chem. Phys. 116 (2002) 3777–3788.
- [14] S. Duo, H. Wang, A fractional phase-field model using an infinitesimal generator of α stable Lévy process, J. Comput. Phys. 384 (2019) 253–269.
- [15] Q. Du, L. Ju, X. Li, Z. Qiao, Maximum bound principles for a class of semilinear parabolic equations and exponential time-differencing schemes, SIAM Rev. 63 (2021) 317–359.
- [16] W. E, X. Zhou, The gentlest ascent dynamics, Nonlinearity 24 (2011) 1831-1842.
- [17] V.J. Ervin, Regularity of the solution to fractional diffusion, advection, reaction equations in weighted Sobolev spaces, J. Differ. Equ. 278 (2021) 294–325.
- [18] Y. Han, J. Yin, P. Zhang, A. Majumdar, L. Zhang, Solution landscape of a reduced Landau-de Gennes model on a hexagon, Nonlinearity 34 (2021) 2048-2069.
- [19] Y. Han, J. Yin, Y. Hu, A. Majumdar, L. Zhang, Solution landscapes of the simplified Ericksen-Leslie model and its comparison with the reduced Landau-de Gennes model, Proc. R. Soc. A 477 (2021) 20210458.
- [20] C.F. Lorenzo, T.T. Hartley, Variable order and distributed order fractional operators, Nonlinear Dyn. 29 (2002) 57–98.
- [21] M. Farquhar, T. Moroney, Q. Yang, I. Turner, K. Burrage, Computational modelling of cardiac ischaemia using a variable-order fractional Laplacian, arXiv:1809.07936v1.
- [22] P.E. Farrell, Á. Birkisson, S.W. Funke, Deflation techniques for finding distinct solutions of nonlinear partial differential equations, SIAM J. Sci. Comput. 37 (2015) A2026–A2045.
- [23] F. Lanzara, V. Maz'ya, G. Schmidt, Fast computation of the multidimensional fractional Laplacian, Appl. Anal. (2021) 1–17.
- [24] Z. Mao, J. Shen, Hermite spectral methods for fractional PDEs in unbounded domains, SIAM J. Sci. Comput. 39 (2017) A1928–A1950.
- [25] D. Mehta, Finding all the stationary points of a potential-energy landscape via numerical polynomial-homotopy-continuation method, Phys. Rev. E 84 (2011) 025702.

- [26] J.W. Milnor, Morse Theory, Princeton University Press, 1963.
- [27] H. Pang, H. Sun, A fast algorithm for the variable-order spatial fractional advection-diffusion equation, J. Sci. Comput. 87 (2021) 15.
- [28] Z. Qiao, S. Sun, Two-phase fluid simulation using a diffuse interface model with Peng-Robinson equation of state, SIAM J. Sci. Comput. 36 (2014) 708-728.
- [29] F. Song, C. Xu, G. Karniadakis, A fractional phase-field model for two-phase flows with tunable sharpness: algorithms and simulations, Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Eng. 305 (2016) 376–404.
- [30] J. Shen, T. Tang, L. Wang, Spectral Methods: Algorithms, Analysis and Applications, Springer Ser. Comput. Math., vol. 41, Springer, Heidelberg, 2011.
- [31] C. Sheng, J. Shen, T. Tang, L. Wang, H. Yuan, Fast Fourier-like mapped Chebyshev spectral-Galerkin methods for PDEs with integral fractional Laplacian in unbounded domains, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 58 (2020) 2435–2464.
- [32] F. Song, C. Xu, Spectral direction splitting methods for two-dimensional space fractional diffusion equations, J. Comput. Phys. 299 (2015) 196–214.
- [33] H. Sun, W. Chen, Y. Chen, Variable-order fractional differential operators in anomalous diffusion modeling, Phys. A, Stat. Mech. Appl. 388 (2009) 4586-4592.
- [34] H. Sun, Y. Zhang, W. Chen, D. Reeves, Use of a variable-index fractional-derivative model to capture transient dispersion in heterogeneous media, J. Contam. Hydrol. 157 (2014) 47–58.
- [35] T. Tang, L. Wang, H. Yuan, T. Zhou, Rational spectral methods for PDEs involving fractional Laplacian in unbounded domains, SIAM J. Sci. Comput. 42 (2020) A585–A611.
- [36] X. Tian, Q. Du, Asymptotically compatible schemes for robust discretization of parametrized problems with applications to nonlocal models, SIAM Rev. 62 (2020) 199–227.
- [37] W. Wang, L. Zhang, P. Zhang, Modeling and computation of liquid crystals, Acta Numer. 30 (2021) 765-851.
- [38] S. Wiggins, Introduction to Applied Nonlinear Dynamical Systems and Chaos, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2003.
- [39] K. Xu, E. Darve, Isogeometric collocation method for the fractional Laplacian in the 2D bounded domain, Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Eng. 364 (2020) 112936.
- [40] J. Yin, Y. Wang, J. Chen, P. Zhang, L. Zhang, Construction of a pathway map on a complicated energy landscape, Phys. Rev. Lett. 124 (2020) 090601.
- [41] J. Yin, B. Yu, L. Zhang, Searching the solution landscape by generalized high-index saddle dynamics, Sci. China Math. 64 (2021) 1801–1816.
- [42] J. Yin, L. Zhang, P. Zhang, High-index optimization-based shrinking dimer method for finding high-index saddle points, SIAM J. Sci. Comput. 41 (2019) A3576-A3595.
- [43] J. Yin, L. Zhang, P. Zhang, Solution landscape of the Onsager model identifies non-axisymmetric critical points, Phys. D, Nonlin. Phenom. 430 (2022) 133081.
- [44] M. Zayernouri, G.E. Karniadakis, Fractional Sturm-Liouville eigen-problems: theory and numerical approximation, J. Comput. Phys. 252 (2013) 495–517.
- [45] F. Zeng, Z. Zhang, G. Karniadakis, A generalized spectral collocation method with tunable accuracy for variable-order fractional differential equations, SIAM J. Sci. Comput. 37 (2015) A2710–A2732.
- [46] L. Zhang, L. Chen, Q. Du, Morphology of critical nuclei in solid-state phase transformations, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98 (2007) 265703.
- [47] L. Zhang, Q. Du, Z. Zheng, Optimization-based shrinking dimer method for finding transition states, SIAM J. Sci. Comput. 38 (2016) A528-A544.
- [48] H. Zhang, X. Jiang, F. Zeng, G. Karniadakis, A stabilized semi-implicit Fourier spectral method for nonlinear space-fractional reaction-diffusion equations, J. Comput. Phys. 405 (2020) 109141.
- [49] X. Zheng, H. Wang, Variable-order space-fractional diffusion equations and a variable-order modification of constant-order fractional problems, Appl. Anal. 101 (2022) 1848–1870.
- [50] X. Zheng, H. Wang, An optimal-order numerical approximation to variable-order space-fractional diffusion equations on uniform or graded meshes, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 58 (2020) 330–352.
- [51] X. Zheng, V.J. Ervin, H. Wang, Optimal Petrov-Galerkin spectral approximation method for the fractional diffusion, advection, reaction equation on a bounded interval, J. Sci. Comput. 86 (2021) 29.
- [52] P. Zhuang, F. Liu, V. Anh, I. Turner, Numerical methods for the variable-order fractional advection-diffusion equation with a nonlinear source term, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 47 (2009) 1760–1781.