

WEAK EXPANSION PROPERTIES AND A LARGE DEVIATION PRINCIPLE FOR COARSE EXPANDING CONFORMAL SYSTEMS

ZHIQIANG LI AND HANYUN ZHENG

ABSTRACT. Coarse expanding conformal systems were introduced by P. Haïssinsky and K. M. Pilgrim to study the essential dynamical properties of certain rational maps on the Riemann sphere in complex dynamics from the point of view of Sullivan's dictionary.

In this paper, we prove that for a metric coarse expanding conformal system $f: (\mathfrak{X}_1, X) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{X}_0, X)$ with repeller X , the map $f|_X: X \rightarrow X$ is asymptotically h -expansive. Moreover, we show that $f|_X$ is not h -expansive if there exists at least one branch point in the repeller. As a consequence of asymptotic h -expansiveness, for $f|_X$ and each real-valued continuous potential on X , there exists at least one equilibrium state. For such maps, if some additional assumptions are satisfied, we can furthermore establish a level-2 large deviation principle for iterated preimages, followed by an equidistribution result.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
1.1. Background and motivation	2
1.2. Main results	3
1.3. Structure and strategies	5
2. Notation	6
3. Preliminaries	7
3.1. Finite branched covering maps	7
3.2. Topological CXC systems	9
3.3. Metric CXC systems	11
4. The Assumptions	13
5. Asymptotic h -expansive	13
5.1. Basic concepts	13
5.2. Technical lemmas	14
5.3. Proof of Theorems A and B	17
6. Equilibrium states for metric CXC systems	24
6.1. Basic concepts	24
6.2. Existence of equilibrium states	26

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 37F10; Secondary: 37D35, 37F20, 37F15, 37D25, 37B99, 57M12.

Key words and phrases. Coarse expanding conformal systems, asymptotically h -expansive, equilibrium states, large deviation principles, equidistribution.

The authors were partially supported by NSFC Nos. 12101017, 12090010, 12090015, BJNSF No. 1214021, and Peking University Funding No. 7101303303.

7.	Level-2 large deviation principle and equidistribution	26
7.1.	Level-2 large deviation principle	26
7.2.	The Additional Assumptions	27
7.3.	Proof of Theorem D	28
7.4.	Equidistribution with respect to the equilibrium state	35
	References	37

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Background and motivation. Expansiveness is a well-known condition in the study of dynamical systems. In the context of continuous maps on compact metric spaces, there are two weaker notions of expansion, namely *h-expansiveness* and *asymptotic h-expansiveness*, introduced by R. Bowen and M. Misiurewicz, respectively. Both notions play important roles in the study of dynamical systems (see for example, [DN05, DM09, Bur11]). Moreover, any smooth map on a compact Riemannian manifold is asymptotically *h-expansive* [Buz97].

In complex dynamics, the first-named author studied *h-expansiveness* and asymptotic *h-expansiveness* for expanding Thurston maps [Li15]. In this paper we consider in a more general context in complex dynamics. The dynamical systems that we study in this paper are called *coarse expanding conformal (CXC) systems*, introduced by P. Haïssinsky and K. M. Pilgrim [HP09]. CXC systems are a class of topological systems defined in an axiomatic way, generalizing a wide range of maps, including some non-uniformly expanding rational maps on the Riemann sphere $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ and expanding Thurston maps without periodic critical points studied by M. Bonk and D. Meyer [BM10, BM17] dating back to W. P. Thurston's topological characterization of postcritically finite rational maps. Other examples of metric CXC systems (see [HP10]) include

- (1) hyperbolic, subhyperbolic, and semihyperbolic rational maps on the Riemann sphere $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$, acting on their Julia sets (equipped with spherical metric);
- (2) quasiregular maps on Riemannian manifolds whose iterates are uniformly quasiregular;
- (3) smooth expanding maps on smooth compact manifolds when equipped with certain distance functions.

The study of CXC systems is motivated by complex dynamics and is devoted to revealing the analogy between geometric group theory and complex dynamics. Vast literature concerning analogies between Kleinian groups and rational maps is devoted to Sullivan's dictionary, and an enlargement of the dictionary is suggested in the study of CXC systems (see [HP09]).

In this paper we establish the asymptotic *h-expansiveness* of each metric CXC system $f: (\mathfrak{X}_1, X) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{X}_0, X)$ with repeller X , more precisely, of the map $f|_X$. The motivation to investigate asymptotic *h-expansiveness* comes from the theory of thermodynamic formalism. Investigation on concepts such as topological entropy, measure-theoretic entropy, topological pressure, and measure-theoretic pressure is a main theme in thermodynamic formalism. The maximizing measures of measure-theoretic entropy and

measure-theoretic pressure are known as measures of maximal entropy and equilibrium states, respectively. The existence, uniqueness, and various other properties of equilibrium states have been studied in many contexts (see for example, [Bo75, Ru89, Pr90, KH95, MauU03, BS03, Ol03, Yu03, PU10, MayU10]).

M. Misiurewicz showed that asymptotic h -expansiveness of a map f guarantees that the measure-theoretic entropy $\mu \mapsto h_\mu(f)$ is upper semi-continuous [Mi76] with respect to the weak* topology. It implies that for each real-valued continuous function ϕ , the measure-theoretic pressure $\mu \mapsto P_\mu(f, \phi)$ is upper semi-continuous, which guarantees the existence of equilibrium states.

Once we obtain the existence of equilibrium states, it is natural to ask questions regarding uniqueness and other properties. In the context of CXC systems, the uniqueness problem remains unsettled for general continuous potentials ϕ . However, thermodynamic formalism is established in related systems for potentials under some mild regularity assumptions by Das et al. [DPTUZ21] building upon prior works of P. Haïssinsky and K. M. Pilgrim [HP09]. In such a context, they established the uniqueness of equilibrium states for Hölder continuous potentials and CXC systems under additional assumptions. The first-named author has been informed that similar results on equilibrium states have also been obtained independently by P. Haïssinsky.

Based on the existence and uniqueness of equilibrium states, it is natural to investigate how iterated preimages are distributed with respect to such measures. We establish a *level-2 large deviation principle*, and consequently, the equidistribution of iterated preimages with respect to the unique equilibrium state. We use a variant of Y. Kifer's result [Ki90] formulated by H. Comman and J. Rivera-Letelier [CRL11], recorded in Theorem 7.1. We refer the reader to [CRL11, Section 2.5] and the references therein for a more systematic introduction to the theory of large deviation principles. The equidistribution result follows from the corresponding level-2 large deviation principle.

1.2. Main results. In this paper, we study some weak expansion properties of metric CXC systems and apply them to prove some statistical properties. The main results are listed below.

First, we establish the asymptotic h -expansiveness for metric CXC systems (see Subsection 3.3 for the definition).

Theorem A (Asymptotic h -expansiveness). *Let $f: (\mathfrak{X}_1, X) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{X}_0, X)$ be a metric CXC system with repeller X . Then $f|_X: X \rightarrow X$ is asymptotically h -expansive.*

We also prove that if a metric CXC system has a branch point in its repeller, then it is not h -expansive.

Theorem B. *Let $f: (\mathfrak{X}_1, X) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{X}_0, X)$ be a metric CXC system. If there exists a branch point $x_0 \in X$, then $f|_X$ is not h -expansive.*

Note that each expanding Thurston map $f: S^2 \rightarrow S^2$ without periodic critical points is a metric CXC system (if we equip the sphere with a visual metric) with all branch points in X . So Theorems A and B strengthen Theorem 1.1 in [Li15] in the case where f has no periodic critical points. In contrast, if an expanding Thurston map has a periodic critical point, then it is not a metric CXC system (or even a topological CXC

system, see Subsection 3.2), and is known to be not asymptotically h -expansive (see [Li15, Theorem 1.1]).

One major difference between a Thurston map and a CXC system is that the former is defined on a topological 2-sphere, while the latter considers more general topological spaces, and that the former requires the set of forward iterated images of critical points to be finite, while the latter does not require such a strong assumption. This so-called *postcritical finiteness* assumption is crucial in the work of M. Bonk and D. Meyer [BM10, BM17] for the construction of certain forward invariant Jordan curves that induce Markov partitions for (sufficiently high iterations of) an expanding Thurston map (see also the work of J. W. Cannon, W. J. Floyd, and W. R. Parry [CFP07]). The proof of [Li15, Theorem 1.1] depends heavily on the combinatorial structures induced by the forward invariant Jordan curves and the corresponding Markov partitions, which are not available in the general setting of CXC systems. Our strategy in this paper builds upon the construction of *bouquets* (see Definition 5.6) from the more flexible *good open covers*.

As a consequence of Theorem A, we establish the existence of equilibrium states for the map $f|_X$ and each continuous potential ϕ .

Theorem C (Existence of equilibrium states). *Let $f: (\mathfrak{X}_1, X) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{X}_0, X)$ be a metric CXC system with repeller X . Then for each real-valued continuous function $\phi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, there exists at least one equilibrium state for the map $f|_X$ and potential ϕ . In particular, there exists at least one measure of maximal entropy for $f|_X$.*

Applying some additional assumptions, we furthermore establish a level-2 large deviation principle (see Subsection 7.1 for the definition) for metric CXC systems.

Theorem D (Level-2 large deviation principle). *Let $f: (\mathfrak{X}_1, X) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{X}_0, X)$ be a metric CXC system and denote $f_X := f|_X$. Assume that the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (1) \mathfrak{X}_0 and \mathfrak{X}_1 are strongly path-connected.
- (2) The branch set B_f is finite.

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $W_n: X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$ be the continuous function defined by

$$W_n(x) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \delta_{f^i(x)},$$

and denote $S_n\psi(x) := \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \psi(f^i(x))$ for $\psi \in C(X)$ and $x \in X$. Let ϕ be a real-valued Hölder continuous function on X , and define

$$(1.1) \quad I^\phi(\mu) := \begin{cases} P(f_X, \phi) - \int \phi d\mu - h_\mu(f_X) & \text{if } \mu \in \mathcal{M}(X, f_X); \\ +\infty & \text{if } \mu \in \mathcal{P}(X) \setminus \mathcal{M}(X, f_X). \end{cases}$$

Then for each sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of points in X , the sequence $\{\Omega_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of Borel probability measures on $\mathcal{P}(X)$ given by

$$\Omega_n := \sum_{y \in f^{-n}(x_n)} \frac{\deg(f^n; y) \cdot \exp(S_n\phi(y))}{\sum_{z \in f^{-n}(x_n)} \deg(f^n; z) \cdot \exp(S_n\phi(z))} \delta_{W_n(y)}$$

satisfies a level-2 large deviation principle with rate function I^ϕ , and it converges in the weak* topology to the Dirac measure supported on the unique equilibrium state for f and potential ϕ . Furthermore, for each convex open subset \mathfrak{G} of $\mathcal{P}(X)$ containing some invariant measure, we have

$$(1.2) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log(\Omega_n(\mathfrak{G})) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log(\Omega_n(\overline{\mathfrak{G}})) = -\inf_{\mathfrak{G}} I^\phi = -\inf_{\overline{\mathfrak{G}}} I^\phi.$$

Under proper assumptions, there exists a unique equilibrium state for the map $f|_X$ and each Hölder continuous potential. It follows from the level-2 large deviation principle that iterated preimages are equidistributed with respect to the equilibrium state.

Theorem E (Equidistribution). *Let $f: (\mathfrak{X}_1, X) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{X}_0, X)$ be a metric CXC system. Assume that the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (1) \mathfrak{X}_0 and \mathfrak{X}_1 are strongly path-connected.
- (2) The branch set B_f is finite.

Let ϕ be a real-valued Hölder continuous function on X , and μ_ϕ be the unique equilibrium state.

Let $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of points in X . Consider the following sequence of Borel probability measures on X :

$$\nu_n := \sum_{y \in f^{-n}(x_n)} \frac{\deg(f^n; y) \cdot \exp(S_n \phi(y))}{\sum_{z \in f^{-n}(x_n)} \deg(f^n; z) \cdot \exp(S_n \phi(z))} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \delta_{f^i(y)},$$

where S_n is defined as in Theorem D. Then ν_n converges to μ_ϕ in the weak* topology as n tends to infinity.

Our results in this paper partially extend results in [HP09, BM10, BM17, Li15].

1.3. Structure and strategies. We will now give a brief description of the structure of this paper and our strategies.

In Section 2, we fix some notations in this paper.

In Section 3, we review the definitions of topological CXC systems and metric CXC systems, along with some basic properties recorded from [HP09]. We direct the reader to [HP09, Chapter 2] for a more detailed study.

In Section 4, we state the assumptions under which we establish our results. We will repeatedly refer to the assumptions as *the Assumptions in Section 4*. Note that the Assumptions in Section 4 serve as a summary of the assumptions adopted in the definition of CXC systems. We summarize them for clarity and convenience.

In Section 5, we investigate the weak expansion properties of metric CXC systems.

We first introduce some basic concepts in Subsection 5.1. We review the notion of topological conditional entropy $h(g|\eta)$ of a continuous map $g: Z \rightarrow Z$ given an open cover η of Z , and the notion of topological tail entropy $h^*(g)$. We then define h -expansiveness and asymptotic h -expansiveness using these notions.

In Subsection 5.2, we prove several lemmas that will be used in the proof of the asymptotic h -expansiveness. In particular, Lemma 5.9 gives a cover $E_m(U_0^m, \dots, U_{n-1}^m; U_n^m)$ of each set of the form $\bigcap_{i=0}^n f^{-i}(U_i^m \cap X)$ by level- $(m+n)$ good open sets, where $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$,

$n \in \mathbb{N}$, and each $U_i^m \in \mathcal{U}_m$ is a level- m good open set. In Lemma 5.10, we cover level- m good open sets with level- $(m+k)$ good open sets, where $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Subsections 5.3 consists of the proofs of Theorems A and B, respectively. To prove Theorem A, for each set in the form $\bigcap_{i=0}^n f^{-i}(U_i^m \cap X)$, we give an upper bound for $\text{card}(E_m(U_0^m, \dots, U_{n-1}^m; U_n^m))$ that depends only on m, n . Combining Lemma 5.10, we get that for an arbitrary $A \in \bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} f^{-i}(\mathcal{W}_m)$ where $\mathcal{W}_m := \{U \cap X : U \in \mathcal{U}_m\}$, the number of sets in the open cover $\bigvee_{j=0}^{n-1} f^{-j}(\mathcal{W}_l)$ needed to cover A can be bounded from above, and such an upper bound leads to the conclusion that $h^*(f) = 0$. To prove Theorem B, given a sufficiently large $m \in \mathbb{N}$ we construct recursively a sequence $\{A_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of subsets of X such that each A_n is contained in an element of $\bigcap_{i=0}^n f^{-i}(U_i^m \cap X)$. We prove by induction that for each sufficiently large $l \in \mathbb{N}$, the number of elements in $\bigvee_{j=0}^{n-1} f^{-j}(\mathcal{W}_l)$ needed to cover A_n is bounded from below, and such lower bound leads to the conclusion that $h(f|\mathcal{W}_m) > 0$.

In Section 6, we show the existence of equilibrium states for a metric CXC system and a continuous potential, which is a consequence of the asymptotic h -expansiveness.

In Section 7, with some additional assumptions, we study a level-2 large deviation principle and an equidistribution result for iterated preimages.

In Subsection 7.1, we briefly review a level-2 large deviation principle in our context, and record in Theorem 7.1 a theorem of Y. Kifer [Ki90], reformulated by H. Comman and J. Rivera-Letelier [CRL11], on level-2 large deviation principles. This result will be applied later to our context.

In Subsection 7.2, we state the additional assumptions, which will be repeatedly referred to as *the Additional Assumptions in Subsection 7.2*.

Subsection 7.3 consists of the proof of Theorem D. We first recall the geometric coding tree and the semiconjugacy from a shift map to a CXC system. Then, with this idea, we generalize some characterization of topological pressure in Lemma 7.18. This characterization of topological pressure allows us to verify the conditions in Theorem 7.1, and thus apply Theorem 7.1 to show a level-2 large deviation principle for iterated preimages.

Subsection 7.4 includes a proof of Theorem E. In Corollary 7.19, we obtain a characterization of the measure-theoretic pressure in terms of the infimum of certain limits involving iterated preimages. Such a characterization is then used in the proof of the equidistribution results.

2. NOTATION

In this section, we set up some notations for this paper. Let \mathbb{Z} be the set of integers, $\mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ be the set of positive integers, and $\mathbb{N}_0 := \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$. The symbols \log and \exp denote the logarithm and exponential function to the base e , respectively. The symbol $\text{card}(A)$ denotes the cardinality of a set A . For $x \in \mathbb{R}$, define $\lceil x \rceil := \min\{n \in \mathbb{Z} : n \geq x\}$ and $\lfloor x \rfloor := \max\{n \in \mathbb{Z} : n \leq x\}$.

For a map $f: X \rightarrow Y$, we denote the restriction of f to a subset Z by $f|_Z$.

For a metric space (X, d) , the distance between a and b is denoted by $|a-b|$ when the metric is clear from the context. The diameter of a subset A is denoted by $\text{diam } A := \sup\{d(a, b) : a, b \in A\}$. The closure of a subset A is denoted by \overline{A} .

Let $C(X)$ be the space of continuous functions from X to \mathbb{R} . For $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, $C^{0,\alpha}(X)$ is the space of α -Hölder continuous function from (X, d) to \mathbb{R} . Let $\mathcal{P}(X)$ be the set of Borel probability measures on X . For $g : X \rightarrow X$, let $\mathcal{M}(g, X)$ be the set of g -invariant Borel probability measures on X . For a point $x \in X$, we denote the Dirac measure supported on $\{x\}$ by δ_x .

Consider a map $f : X \rightarrow X$ on a set X . The inverse map of f is denoted by f^{-1} . We write f^n for the n -th iterate of f , and $f^{-n} := (f^n)^{-1}$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We set f^0 , the identity map on X . For a real-valued function $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we write

$$(2.1) \quad S_n \varphi(x) := \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \varphi(f^j(x))$$

for $x \in X$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

3. PRELIMINARIES

We review the definitions of finite branched covering (abbr: FBC) maps and metric coarse expanding conformal (abbr: metric CXC) systems, and we give some basic properties of metric CXC systems.

3.1. Finite branched covering maps. We define here the notion of finite branched covering maps. FBC maps generalize some rational maps on the Riemann sphere, capturing their essential topological properties. Here we refer to [HP09], where the reader can find a more detailed introduction.

Suppose that X and Y are locally compact Hausdorff spaces, and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a finite-to-one continuous map. The *degree* of f is

$$(3.1) \quad \deg(f) := \sup \{ \text{card} (f^{-1}(y)) : y \in Y \}.$$

For $x \in X$, the *local degree* of f at x is

$$(3.2) \quad \deg(f; x) := \inf_U \{ \sup \{ \text{card} (f^{-1}(z) \cap U) : z \in f(U) \} \},$$

where U ranges over all neighborhoods of x .

Definition 3.1 (Finite branched covering maps). Suppose that X and Y are locally compact Hausdorff spaces, and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a finite-to-one continuous map. The map f is a *finite branched covering* (abbr: FBC) map if $\deg(f) < +\infty$ and the following conditions are satisfied.

(i) The identity

$$\sum_{x \in f^{-1}(y)} \deg(f; x) = \deg(f)$$

holds for all $y \in Y$.

(ii) For every $x_0 \in X$, there are compact neighborhoods U and V of x_0 and $f(x_0)$, respectively, such that the identity

$$\sum_{x \in U, f(x)=z} \deg(f; x) = \deg(f; x_0)$$

holds for all $z \in V$.

Remark. We note two consequences of (ii):

- (a) Let $W := f^{-1}(V) \cap U$. The restriction $f|_W: W \rightarrow V$ is proper and surjective.
- (b) $f^{-1}(f(x_0)) \cap U = \{x_0\}$.

Here are some basic concepts related to FBC maps.

Definition 3.2 (Principal value, branch set, and branch value). For an FBC map $f: X \rightarrow Y$, a point $y \in Y$ is a *principal value* if $\text{card}(f^{-1}(y)) = \text{deg}(f)$. The *branch set* is defined as $B_f := \{x \in X : \text{deg}(f; x) > 1\}$. The set of *branch values* is defined as $V_f := f(B_f)$.

We give some basic properties of FBC maps below. Further details can be found in Section 2.1 of [HP09].

The composition of two FBC maps is a FBC map. The degrees and local degrees multiply under composition. Condition (ii) in Definition 3.1 implies that if a sequence of points $\{x_n\}$ converges to x_0 , then $\text{deg}(f; x_n) \leq \text{deg}(f; x_0)$ for all sufficiently large $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It follows that the branch set B_f is closed. The set of principal values is $Y \setminus V_f$.

We record two properties of FBC maps below. See [HP09, Lemmas 2.1.2 and 2.1.3].

Proposition 3.3 (Haïssinsky & Pilgrim [HP09]). *Let X and Y be locally compact Hausdorff spaces, and $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be an FBC map of degree d . Then f is open, closed, surjective, and proper. Furthermore, B_f and V_f are nowhere dense.*

Remark. Recall that a map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is called proper if, for each compact subset V of Y , the inverse image $f^{-1}(V)$ is compact.

Proposition 3.4 (Haïssinsky & Pilgrim [HP09]). *Suppose that X and Y are connected, locally connected, locally compact Hausdorff spaces. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be an open, closed, surjective FBC map. Then the following statements hold:*

- (i) *If $V \subseteq Y$ is open and connected, and $U \subseteq X$ is a connected component of $f^{-1}(V)$, then $f|_U: U \rightarrow V$ is also an FBC map.*
- (ii) *If $y \in Y$ and $f^{-1}(y) = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k\}$, then there exist arbitrarily small connected open neighborhood V of y and mutually disjoint connected open neighborhoods U_i of x_i for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, such that $f^{-1}(V) = U_1 \cup U_2 \cup \dots \cup U_k$ and that $f|_{U_i}: U_i \rightarrow V$ is an FBC of degree $\text{deg}(f; x_i)$.*
- (iii) *If $f(x) = y$, $\{V_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of nested open connected sets with $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} V_n = \{y\}$, and if \tilde{V}_n is the component of $f^{-1}(V_n)$ containing x , then $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \tilde{V}_n = \{x\}$.*

Here we prove two technical properties of finite-to-one, open, closed, and surjective continuous maps.

Proposition 3.5. *Let X and Y be topological spaces, and $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a finite-to-one, open, closed, and surjective continuous map. If $V \subseteq Y$ is open, and $U = f^{-1}(V)$, then $f|_U: U \rightarrow V$ is finite-to-one, open, closed, and surjective.*

Proof. Since V is open in Y , U is open in X . Then, by the assumption on f , it can be checked that $f|_U$ is finite-to-one, open, and surjective.

Next, we show that $f|_U$ is closed. Let $A \subseteq U$ be closed in U , then

$$A = \overline{A} \cap U = \overline{A} \cap f^{-1}(V),$$

where \overline{A} is the closure of A in X . It follows that $f(A) = f(\overline{A}) \cap V$. Since f is closed, $f(\overline{A})$ is closed in Y , then $f(A)$ is closed in V . Thus $f|_U$ is closed. \square

Proposition 3.6. *Let X and Y be locally connected Hausdorff spaces, and $f: X \rightarrow Y$ a finite-to-one, open, closed, and surjective continuous map. If $V \subseteq Y$ is open and connected, and $U \subseteq X$ is a connected component of $f^{-1}(V)$, then U is open and $f|_U: U \rightarrow V$ is finite-to-one, open, closed, and surjective.*

Proof. Since f is continuous, $f^{-1}(V)$ open in X . Then since X is locally connected, for each $x \in U$, there exists a connected neighborhood U_x of x such that $U_x \subseteq f^{-1}(V)$, hence $U_x \subseteq U$. So U is open in X . Then it can be checked that $f|_U$ is finite-to-one and open. To show that $f|_U$ is closed, let $A \subseteq U$ be closed in U , then

$$A = \overline{A} \cap U = \overline{A} \cap f^{-1}(V),$$

where \overline{A} is the closure of A in X . It follows that $f(A) = f(\overline{A}) \cap V$. Since f is closed, $f(A)$ is closed in V . Since $f(U)$ is open and closed in V , $f(U) = V$. Thus $f|_U$ is surjective. \square

3.2. Topological CXC systems. In this subsection, we recall *topological CXC systems*. We refer the reader to Section 2.2 in [HP09] for more details.

3.2.1. Basic assumptions and the definition. Let \mathfrak{X}_0 and \mathfrak{X}_1 be topological spaces satisfying the following assumptions:

- (i) \mathfrak{X}_0 and \mathfrak{X}_1 are Hausdorff, locally compact, locally connected spaces, each with finitely many connected components.
- (ii) \mathfrak{X}_1 is a open subset of \mathfrak{X}_0 and $\overline{\mathfrak{X}_1}$ is compact in \mathfrak{X}_0 .

Let $f: \mathfrak{X}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_0$ be an FBC map of degree $\deg(f) = d \geq 2$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ we define

$$\mathfrak{X}_{n+1} := f^{-1}(\mathfrak{X}_n)$$

and the *repellor* is defined as

$$X := \{x \in \mathfrak{X}_1 : f^n(x) \in \mathfrak{X}_1 \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}.$$

In addition, we make the following technical assumption

- (iii) $f|_X: X \rightarrow X$ is an FBC map of degree d . For convenience, we denote $f_X := f|_X$. Referring to Section 2.2 of [HP09], the following properties hold for X and \mathfrak{X}_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

- (1) $f|_{\mathfrak{X}_{n+1}}: \mathfrak{X}_{n+1} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_n$ is an FBC map of degree d .
- (2) $\overline{\mathfrak{X}_{n+1}} \subseteq \mathfrak{X}_n$, and $\overline{\mathfrak{X}_{n+1}}$ is compact in \mathfrak{X}_n since f is proper.
- (3) X is totally invariant, i.e., $f^{-1}(X) = X = f(X)$.
- (4) For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $X = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \overline{\mathfrak{X}_n} = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \overline{\mathfrak{X}_{kn}}$, and as a consequence f and $f^k|_{\mathfrak{X}_k}: \mathfrak{X}_k \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_0$ have the same repellor X .

- (5) The definition of the repellor X and the compactness of $\overline{\mathfrak{X}_1}$ implies that given any open set $Y \supseteq X$, $\mathfrak{X}_n \subseteq Y$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ sufficiently large.

Remark. In this paper, following the terminology in [HP09], a *preimage* under f of a connected set A is defined as a connected component of $f^{-1}(A)$.

The following is the essential part of the definition of topological CXC systems.

Let \mathcal{U}_0 be a finite cover of X by open connected subsets of \mathfrak{X}_1 . We assume that for each $U \in \mathcal{U}_0$, $U \cap X \neq \emptyset$. Inductively, we define, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$,

$$\mathcal{U}_{n+1} := \{\tilde{U} : \text{there exists } U \in \mathcal{U}_n \text{ such that } \tilde{U} \text{ is a preimage of } U\}.$$

The elements of \mathcal{U}_n are connected components of $f^{-n}(U)$, where U ranges over \mathcal{U}_0 . We note that, by Proposition 3.6, for each $\tilde{U} \in \mathcal{U}_{n+1}$ and $U \in \mathcal{U}_n$, if \tilde{U} is a preimage of U , then $f|_{\tilde{U}}: \tilde{U} \rightarrow U$ is surjective, and that $f^k(U) \in \mathcal{U}_{n-k}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $k \leq n$. We can see that \mathcal{U}_n is a finite open cover of X by connected open sets in \mathfrak{X}_{n+1} . Note that since $\overline{\mathfrak{X}_1}$ is compact in \mathfrak{X}_0 , each U in $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \mathcal{U}_n$ is bounded.

We say that $f: (\mathfrak{X}_1, X) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{X}_0, X)$ is a *topological coarse expanding conformal system* (abbr: topological CXC) with repellor X if there exists a sequence of finite open cover $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ of X constructed as above, such that the following axioms hold:

1. **Expansion Axiom** (abbr: **[Expans]**): For each finite open cover \mathcal{V} of X by open sets of \mathfrak{X}_0 , there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for each integer $n \geq N$ and each $U \in \mathcal{U}_n$, there exists $V \in \mathcal{V}$ with $U \subseteq V$.
2. **Irreducibility Axiom** (abbr: **[Irred]**): For each $x \in X$ and each neighborhood W of x in \mathfrak{X}_0 , there exists some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $f^n(W) \supseteq X$.
3. **Degree Axiom** (abbr: **[Deg]**): The set of degrees of maps of the form $f^k|_{\tilde{U}}: \tilde{U} \rightarrow U$, where $U \in \mathcal{U}_n$, $\tilde{U} \in \mathcal{U}_{n+k}$ and $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ are arbitrary, has a finite maximum, denoted by p .

The cover \mathcal{U}_n will be referred to as the *level- n good open cover* (induced by \mathcal{U}_0). The elements of \mathcal{U}_n will be referred to as *level- n good open sets*.

3.2.2. *Elementary properties.* Below, we give some properties of topological CXC systems.

Proposition 3.7. *Let $f: \mathfrak{X}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_0$ be an FBC map with $\deg(f) \geq 2$. Suppose that $f_X := f|_X$ is an FBC map such that $\deg(f_X) = \deg(f)$. Then for each $x \in X$, we have $\deg(f; x) = \deg(f_X; x)$. Furthermore, $\deg(f^n; x) = \deg(f_X^n; x)$ holds for all $x \in X$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. Fix an arbitrary $x \in X$ and let $y := f(x) = f_X(x)$. By the definition of FBC maps, we have

$$(3.3) \quad \sum_{z \in f^{-1}(y)} \deg(f; z) = \deg(f) = \deg(f_X) = \sum_{z \in f_X^{-1}(y)} \deg(f_X; z).$$

By the total invariance of X , we have $f^{-1}(y) = f_X^{-1}(y)$. Since $\deg(f_X; z) \leq \deg(f; z)$ holds for each $z \in X$, we get from (3.3) that $\deg(f; z) = \deg(f_X; z)$ holds for each $z \in f^{-1}(y)$. Thus $\deg(f; x) = \deg(f_X; x)$.

Since local degrees multiply under composition and $\deg(f; z) = \deg(f_X; z)$ holds for each $z \in X$, it follows that $\deg(f^n; x) = \deg(f_X^n; x)$ holds for all $x \in X$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. \square

The following proposition shows that the repeller X has fractal properties (see [HP09, Proposition 2.4.2]).

Proposition 3.8 (Haïssinsky & Pilgrim [HP09]). *Let $f: (\mathfrak{X}_1, X) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{X}_0, X)$ be a topological CXC system, and $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ be a sequence of good open covers.*

Fix an arbitrary $x \in X$ and a neighborhood W of x . Then for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and each $U \in \mathcal{U}_n$, there exist $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\tilde{U} \in \mathcal{U}_{n+m}$ such that the following statements hold:

- (i) $f^m(\tilde{U}) = U$ and $\tilde{U} \subseteq W$.
- (ii) $\deg(f^m|_{\tilde{U}}) \leq \frac{p}{\deg(f^n|_U)}$, where p is the constant from [Deg].

For a topological CXC system $f: (\mathfrak{X}_1, X) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{X}_0, X)$, the *post-branch set* is defined to be

$$P_f := X \cap \overline{\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} V_{f^n}},$$

and we denote

$$\text{post}(f) := X \cap \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} V_{f^n}.$$

The following property of the post-branch set is established in [HP09, Proposition 2.4.3].

Proposition 3.9 (Haïssinsky & Pilgrim [HP09]). *Let $f: (\mathfrak{X}_1, X) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{X}_0, X)$ be a topological CXC system, and $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ be a sequence of good open covers. Then the post-branch set P_f is a nowhere dense subset of X .*

3.3. Metric CXC systems.

3.3.1. The definition. With topological CXC systems recalled above, we give a brief introduction to *metric CXC systems*. We refer the reader to Section 2.5 in [HP09] for more details.

First, we recall the notion of *roundness*. Let Z be a metric space and A be a bounded proper subset of Z with a nonempty interior. For each $a \in \text{int}(A)$, denote

$$\begin{aligned} L(A, a) &:= \sup\{|b - a| : b \in A\}, \\ l(A, a) &:= \sup\{r \in (0, +\infty) : B(a, r) \subseteq A\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then the *roundness* of A is defined as

$$\text{Round}(A, a) := L(A, a)/l(A, a).$$

Note that $\text{Round}(A, a) \in [1, +\infty)$.

For a number $K > 0$, we say that A is *K -almost round* if $\text{Round}(A, a) \leq K$ for some $a \in A$. If A is *K -almost round*, then there exists a real number $s > 0$ such that $B(a, s) \subseteq A \subseteq \overline{B(a, Ks)}$.

Suppose that we have a topological CXC system $f: \mathfrak{X}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_0$ with repeller X and a sequence of good open covers $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$. Assume that \mathfrak{X}_0 is endowed with a metric

compatible with its topology. Then f is called a *metric CXC system* if it satisfies the following two axioms:

- 4. Roundness Distortion Axiom** (abbr: **[Round]**): There exist continuous increasing embeddings $\rho_+ : [1, +\infty) \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$ and $\rho_- : [1, +\infty) \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$ such that for all $n, k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $U \in \mathcal{U}_n$, $\tilde{U} \in \mathcal{U}_{n+k}$, $y \in U$, and $\tilde{y} \in \tilde{U}$, if $f^k(\tilde{U}) = U$ and $f^k(\tilde{y}) = y$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Round}(\tilde{U}, \tilde{y}) &< \rho_-(\text{Round}(U, y)), \\ \text{Round}(U, y) &< \rho_+(\text{Round}(\tilde{U}, \tilde{y})). \end{aligned}$$

- 5. Diameter Distortion Axiom** (abbr: **[Diam]**): There exist increasing homeomorphisms $\delta_+ : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ and $\delta_- : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that for all $n_0, n_1, k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $U \in \mathcal{U}_{n_0}$, $U' \in \mathcal{U}_{n_1}$, $\tilde{U} \in \mathcal{U}_{n_0+k}$, and $\tilde{U}' \in \mathcal{U}_{n_1+k}$, if $\tilde{U}' \subseteq \tilde{U}$, $U' \subseteq U$, $f^k(\tilde{U}) = U$, and $f^k(\tilde{U}') = U'$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{diam } \tilde{U}' / \text{diam } \tilde{U} &< \delta_-(\text{diam } U' / \text{diam } U), \\ \text{diam } U' / \text{diam } U &< \delta_+(\text{diam } \tilde{U}' / \text{diam } \tilde{U}). \end{aligned}$$

3.3.2. Regularity of metric CXC systems. In this subsection, we recall some properties of metric CXC systems. The results are recorded below, and more details can be found in Section 2.6 of [HP09].

Proposition 3.10 (Haïssinsky & Pilgrim [HP09]). *Let $f : (\mathfrak{X}_1, X) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{X}_0, X)$ be a metric CXC system, and $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ be a sequence of good open covers. Then there exists a constant $K > 1$ and non-increasing sequences $\{c_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ and $\{d_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ of positive real numbers converging to 0 such that the following statements hold for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $x \in X$:*

- (i) $0 < c_n \leq \inf\{\text{diam } U : U \in \mathcal{U}_n\} \leq \sup\{\text{diam } U : U \in \mathcal{U}_n\} \leq d_n$.
- (ii) *There exists $U \in \mathcal{U}_n$ such that U is K -almost round with respect to x .*
- (iii) *If $U \in \mathcal{U}_n$, then there exists $\tilde{x} \in X$ such that $\text{Round}(U, \tilde{x}) < K$.*
- (iv) *If $0 < r < \frac{c_n}{2K}$, then there exist $U \in \mathcal{U}_n$ and $s > r$ such that*

$$B(x, r) \subseteq B(x, s) \subseteq U \subseteq B(x, Ks).$$

In particular, let δ_n be the Lebesgue number of \mathcal{U}_n , then $\delta_n \geq \frac{c_n}{2K}$.

Proposition 3.11 (Haïssinsky & Pilgrim [HP09]). *Let $f : (\mathfrak{X}_1, X) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{X}_0, X)$ be a metric CXC system, and $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ be a sequence of good open covers. Then there exist constants $\lambda, \theta \in (0, 1)$, and $C' > 0$ such that for all $n, k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $U' \in \mathcal{U}_{n+k}$, $U \in \mathcal{U}_n$, if $U \cap U' \cap X \neq \emptyset$, then the following statements hold:*

- (i) $\text{diam } U' / \text{diam } U \leq C'\theta^k$.
- (ii) $\lambda < \text{diam } U' / \text{diam } U < \lambda^{-1}$ if $k = 1$.

In particular, we may assume that $d_n = C'd_0\theta^n$, where d_n is given in Proposition 3.10.

4. THE ASSUMPTIONS

We state below the assumptions under which we will develop our theory in most parts of this article. We will repeatedly refer to such assumptions later.

The Assumptions.

- (1) \mathfrak{X}_0 and \mathfrak{X}_1 are Hausdorff, locally compact, locally connected spaces, each of which has finitely many connected components. \mathfrak{X}_0 is endowed with a metric compatible with its topology.
- (2) \mathfrak{X}_1 is an open subset of \mathfrak{X}_0 and $\overline{\mathfrak{X}_1}$ is compact in \mathfrak{X}_0 .
- (3) $f: \mathfrak{X}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_0$ is an FBC map of degree $\deg(f) =: d \geq 2$ with repeller X , and $f|_X: X \rightarrow X$ is an FBC map of degree d .
- (4) \mathcal{U}_0 is a cover of X by open, connected subsets of \mathfrak{X}_1 whose intersection with X is nonempty. $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ is the sequence of open covers of X , where \mathcal{U}_n is the collection of preimages of elements in \mathcal{U}_{n-1} . Axioms **[Expans]**, **[Irred]**, **[Deg]**, **[Round]**, and **[Diam]** hold.

Assumptions (1) through (5) reformulate the definition of metric CXC systems.

We note that, in this article, the terminology *preimage* under f of a connected set A refers to a connected component of $f^{-1}(A)$.

5. ASYMPTOTIC h -EXPANSIVE

5.1. Basic concepts. In this part, we review some concepts from dynamical systems. We refer the reader to [PU10, Chapter 3], [Wa82, Chapter 9] or [KH95, Chapter 20] for more detailed studies of these concepts.

Let Z be a compact metric space and $g: Z \rightarrow Z$ a continuous map.

Let $\xi = \{A_j : j \in J\}$ and $\eta = \{B_k : k \in K\}$ be two covers of Z . We say that ξ is a *refinement* of η if for each $A_j \in \xi$, there exists $B_k \in \eta$ such that $A_j \subseteq B_k$. For two covers ξ and η , the *common refinement* $\xi \vee \eta$ of ξ and η is defined as

$$\xi \vee \eta := \{A_j \cap B_k : j \in J, k \in K\},$$

which is also a cover of Z . Note that if ξ and η are both open covers, then $\xi \vee \eta$ is also an open cover. Define $g^{-1}(\xi) := \{g^{-1}(A_j) : j \in J\}$, and we denote for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\xi_g^n := \bigvee_{j=0}^{n-1} g^{-j}(\xi) = \xi \vee g^{-1}(\xi) \vee \cdots \vee g^{-(n-1)}(\xi).$$

Definition 5.1 (Refining sequences of open covers). A sequence of open cover $\{\xi_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ of a compact metric space Z is a *refining sequence of open covers* if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) ξ_{i+1} is a refinement of ξ_i for each $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$.
- (ii) For each open cover η of Z , there exists $j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for each integer $i \geq j$, ξ_i is a refinement of η .

The topological tail entropy was first introduced by M. Misiurewicz under the name of “topological conditional entropy” in [Mi73, Mi76]. Here we adopt the terminology in [Do11] (see [Do11, Remark 6.3.18]).

Definition 5.2 (Topological conditional entropy and topological tail entropy). Let Z be a compact metric space and $g: Z \rightarrow Z$ be a continuous map. For each pair of open covers ξ and η of Z , we denote

$$(5.1) \quad H(\xi|\eta) = \log \left(\max_{A \in \eta} \{ \min \{ \text{card } \xi_A : \xi_A \subseteq \xi, A \subseteq \bigcup \xi_A \} \} \right).$$

For a given open cover η , the *topological conditional entropy* $h(g|\eta)$ of g is defined as

$$(5.2) \quad h(g|\eta) := \lim_{l \rightarrow +\infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} H \left(\bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} g^{-i}(\xi_l) \mid \bigvee_{j=0}^{n-1} g^{-j}(\eta) \right),$$

where $\{\xi_l\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ is an arbitrary refining sequence of open covers.

The *topological tail entropy* $h^*(g)$ of g is defined by

$$(5.3) \quad h^*(g) := \lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \lim_{l \rightarrow +\infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} H \left(\bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} g^{-i}(\xi_l) \mid \bigvee_{j=0}^{n-1} g^{-j}(\eta_m) \right),$$

where $\{\xi_l\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ and $\{\eta_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ are two arbitrary refining sequences of open covers.

We note that the limits in (5.2) and (5.3) always exist, and both quantities do not depend on the choices of the refining sequences of open covers.

It should be noted that h^* is well-behaved under iterations, as it satisfies

$$(5.4) \quad h^*(g^n) = n h^*(g)$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and each continuous map $g: Z \rightarrow Z$ on compact metric space Z .

The concept of h -expansiveness was introduced by R. Bowen in [Bo72]. We adopt the formulation in [Mi76] (see also [Do11]).

Definition 5.3 (h -expansive). A continuous map $g: Z \rightarrow Z$ on compact metric space Z is *h -expansive* if there exists a finite open cover η of Z such that $h(g|\eta) = 0$.

A weaker property called “asymptotic h -expansiveness” was then introduced by M. Misiurewicz in [Mi73] (see also [Mi76, Do11]).

Definition 5.4 (Asymptotic h -expansive). A continuous map $g: Z \rightarrow Z$ on a compact metric space Z is *asymptotically h -expansive* if $h^*(g) = 0$.

5.2. Technical lemmas. In this subsection, we state and prove some technical lemmas.

Lemma 5.5. *Assume that $f: (\mathfrak{X}_1, X) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{X}_0, X)$ and $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ satisfy the Assumptions in Section 4. Then there exists a constant $C'' > 0$ such that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\inf_{U \in \mathcal{U}_n} \text{diam } U \geq C'' \lambda^n.$$

Here $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ is a constant from Proposition 3.11. In particular, we may let $c_n = C'' \lambda^n$ in Proposition 3.10.

Proof. Fix arbitrary $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $U' \in \mathcal{U}_{n+1}$, there exists $U \in \mathcal{U}_n$ such that $U \cap U' \cap X \neq \emptyset$. Thus by Proposition 3.11 (i),

$$\lambda < \text{diam } U' / \text{diam } U.$$

Thus we have

$$\text{diam } U' > \lambda \text{diam } U \geq \lambda \inf_{V \in \mathcal{U}_n} \text{diam } V.$$

Since $U' \in \mathcal{U}_{n+1}$ is arbitrary, we have

$$\inf_{V' \in \mathcal{U}_{n+1}} \text{diam } V' \geq \lambda \inf_{V \in \mathcal{U}_n} \text{diam } V.$$

Thus, by induction, we get

$$\inf_{V \in \mathcal{U}_n} \text{diam } V \geq \lambda^n \inf_{V^0 \in \mathcal{U}_0} \text{diam}(V^0).$$

Define $C'' := \lambda^{-1} \cdot \inf_{V^0 \in \mathcal{U}_0} \text{diam}(V^0)$, and the proof is complete. \square

Remark. It should be noted that since $c_n \leq d_n$, we have $0 < \lambda \leq \theta < 1$, where the constant $\theta \in (0, 1)$ is from Proposition 3.11.

Now we define the notion of (m, k) -bouquet, which is the union of some elements in \mathcal{U}_m and \mathcal{U}_{m+k} , and we show that the diameter of a bouquet can be bounded from above exponentially.

Definition 5.6 (Bouquet). Assume that $f: (\mathfrak{X}_1, X) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{X}_0, X)$ and $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ satisfy the Assumptions in Section 4. For each pair of $m, k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and each $U^m \in \mathcal{U}_m$, we denote

$$(5.5) \quad \mathcal{B}_m^k(U^m) := \{U^m\} \cup \{U^{m+k} \in \mathcal{U}_{m+k} : U^{m+k} \cap U^m \cap X \neq \emptyset\}.$$

The (m, k) -bouquet centered at U^m is defined as

$$(5.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_m^k(U^m) &:= \bigcup \mathcal{B}_m^k(U^m) \\ &= U^m \cup \bigcup \{U^{m+k} \in \mathcal{U}_{m+k} : U^{m+k} \cap U^m \cap X \neq \emptyset\}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5.7 (An upper bound for the diameter of bouquet). Assume that $f: (\mathfrak{X}_1, X) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{X}_0, X)$ and $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ satisfy the Assumptions in Section 4. Then for each pair $m, k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and each $U \in \mathcal{U}_m$, we have

$$(5.7) \quad \text{diam}(\mathcal{B}_m^k(U)) < 2(C' + 1)^2 d_0 \theta^m.$$

Here the constants $d_0 > 0$, $C' > 0$, and $\theta \in (0, 1)$ are the constants from Propositions 3.10 and 3.11.

Proof. Fix arbitrary $m, k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $U \in \mathcal{U}_m$, and $a, b \in \mathcal{B}_m^k(U)$. Then the following statements hold:

- (i) If $a, b \in U$, then $|a - b| \leq \text{diam } U$.
- (ii) If there exists $U' \in \mathcal{U}_{m+k}$ with $U \cap U' \cap X \neq \emptyset$ such that $a \in U$, $b \in U'$, then by Proposition 3.11 (i),

$$\text{diam } U' / \text{diam } U \leq C' \theta^k,$$

and consequently $|a - b| \leq (C' \theta^k + 1) \text{diam } U$.

- (iii) If there exist $U', U'' \in \mathcal{U}_{m+k}$ with $U \cap U' \cap X \neq \emptyset$ and $U \cap U'' \cap X \neq \emptyset$, such that $a \in U', b \in U''$, then by a similar argument as in (ii), we get $|a - b| \leq 2(C'\theta^k + 1) \text{diam } U$.

Therefore, $\text{diam}(B_m^k(U)) \leq 2(C'\theta^k + 1) \text{diam } U < 2(C' + 1)^2 d_0 \theta^m$. \square

Assume that $f: (\mathfrak{X}_1, X) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{X}_0, X)$ and $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ satisfy the Assumptions in Section 4. We consider a sequence of open covers $\{\mathcal{W}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ of X , defined as

$$(5.8) \quad \mathcal{W}_n := \{U \cap X : U \in \mathcal{U}_n\},$$

and show some properties of subsets of the form

$$(5.9) \quad A = \bigcap_{i=0}^n f_X^{-i}(W_i^m) = \{x \in W_0^m : f_X^i(x) \in W_i^m, i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}\},$$

where $W_i^m \in \mathcal{W}_m$ for each $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$. The lemma below is a straightforward consequence of the definitions.

Lemma 5.8 (Inverse images of W^n). *Assume that $f: (\mathfrak{X}_1, X) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{X}_0, X)$ and $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ satisfy the Assumptions in Section 4. Denote $f_X := f|_X$. Then for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and each $W^n \in \mathcal{W}_n$, if $W^n = U^n \cap X$ for some $U^n \in \mathcal{U}_n$, then*

$$(5.10) \quad f_X^{-1}(W^n) = f^{-1}(U^n) \cap X = X \cap \bigcup \{U^{n+1} \in \mathcal{U}_{n+1} : f(U^n) = U^{n+1}\}.$$

The lemma below shows that the subsets of the form

$$A = \bigcap_{i=0}^n f_X^{-i}(W_i^m) = \{x \in W_0^m : f_X^i(x) \in W_i^m, i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}\}$$

can be covered by certain elements in \mathcal{W}_{m+n} .

First, for all $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and for an arbitrary choice of $U_i^m \in \mathcal{U}_m$ for each $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$, we denote

$$(5.11) \quad \begin{aligned} & E_m(U_0^m, \dots, U_{n-1}^m; U_n^m) \\ & := \{U^{m+n} \in \mathcal{U}_{m+n} : f^n(U^{m+n}) = U_n^m \text{ and } f^i(U^{m+n}) \cap U_i^m \cap X \neq \emptyset \\ & \quad \text{for each } i \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then the following lemma holds.

Lemma 5.9. *Assume that $f: (\mathfrak{X}_1, X) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{X}_0, X)$ and $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ satisfy the Assumptions in Section 4. Denote $f_X := f|_X$. Then for all $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and an arbitrary choice of $U_i^m \in \mathcal{U}_m$ for each $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$, we have*

$$(5.12) \quad \bigcap_{i=0}^n f_X^{-i}(U_i^m \cap X) \subseteq \bigcup_{U^{m+n} \in E_m(U_0^m, \dots, U_{n-1}^m; U_n^m)} U^{m+n} \cap X.$$

Proof. For each $x \in \bigcap_{i=0}^n f_X^{-i}(U_i^m \cap X) = \{z \in U_0^m \cap X : f_X^i(z) \in U_i^m \cap X \text{ for each } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}\}$, there exists $U^{m+n} \in \mathcal{U}_{m+n}$ such that $x \in U^{m+n}$ and $f^n(U^{m+n}) = U_n^m$. It follows immediately that $f^i(x) \in f^i(U^{m+n}) \cap U_i^m \cap X$ and thus $f^i(U^{m+n}) \cap U_i^m \cap X \neq \emptyset$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Therefore $U^{m+n} \in E_m(U_0^m, \dots, U_{n-1}^m; U_n^m)$ and the proof is now complete. \square

The lemma below gives an upper bound for the number of elements in \mathcal{W}_{n+k} needed to cover an element in \mathcal{W}_n .

Lemma 5.10. *Assume that $f: (\mathfrak{X}_1, X) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{X}_0, X)$ and $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ satisfy the Assumptions in Section 4. Denote $\mathcal{W}_n := \{U \cap X : U \in \mathcal{U}_n\}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then there exists a constant $T_0 \geq 1$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and $W^n \in \mathcal{W}_n$, there exists $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{W}_{n+k}$ with $\text{card}(\mathcal{I}) \leq (pT_0)^k$ such that*

$$W^n \subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{I},$$

where p is the constant from [Deg].

Proof. By Lemma 5.7 there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for each $U^N \in \mathcal{U}_N$, there exists $U^0 \in \mathcal{U}_0$ such that $B_N^1(U^N) \subseteq U^0$.

Fix arbitrary integer $n \geq N$ and $U^n \in \mathcal{U}_n$, and consider $B_n^1(U^n)$. We have

$$f^{n-N}(B_n^1(U^n)) \subseteq B_N^1(f^{n-N}(U^n)) \subseteq U^0$$

for some $U^0 \in \mathcal{U}_0$. Since U^n is a preimage under f^{n-N} of $f^{n-N}(U^n)$, there exists a preimage under f^{n-N} of U^0 , denoted by $U^{n-N} \in \mathcal{U}_{n-N}$, such that $U^n \subseteq U^{n-N}$. For each $U^{n+1} \in \mathcal{B}_n^1(U^n) \cap \mathcal{U}_{n+1}$, there exists $U' \in \mathcal{U}_{n-N}$ such that $U^{n+1} \subseteq U'$. Since $U^{n+1} \cap U^n \neq \emptyset$ and different preimages of U^0 are disjoint, we have $U' = U^{n-N}$, thus $B_n^1(U^n) \subseteq U^{n-N}$.

Consider $f|_{U^{n-N}}: U^{n-N} \rightarrow U^0$. By [Deg], this map is at most p to 1, thus we have

$$\text{card}(B_n^1(U^n)) \leq p \cdot \text{card}(\mathcal{B}_N^1(f^{n-N}(U^n))).$$

Let $T'_0 := \max_{0 \leq i \leq N} \sup \{\text{card}(\mathcal{B}_i^1(U)) : U \in \mathcal{U}_i\}$, then we have

$$\text{card}(B_n^1(U^n)) \leq pT'_0.$$

Define $W^n := U^n \cap X$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} W^n &\subseteq X \cap \bigcup \{U^{n+1} \in \mathcal{U}_{n+1} : U^{n+1} \in \mathcal{B}_n^1(U^n)\} \\ &= \{X \cap U^{n+1} \in \mathcal{W}_{n+1} : U^{n+1} \in \mathcal{B}_n^1(U^n)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus each element of \mathcal{W}_n can be covered by at most pT'_0 many elements in \mathcal{W}_{n+1} . Inductively, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, each element in \mathcal{W}_n can be covered by $(pT'_0)^k$ many elements in \mathcal{W}_{n+k} . We have established the lemma under the additional assumption that $n \geq N$.

Finally, it is now easy to check that the lemma holds for general $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ by setting $T_0 := 1 + d^{N+1}$ since $T_0 \geq \max_{0 \leq i \leq N+1} \text{card} \mathcal{U}_i \geq T'_0$. \square

5.3. Proof of Theorems A and B. In this subsection, we will establish the asymptotic h -expansiveness of the map $f|_X: X \rightarrow X$. Our strategy is to prove the result under slightly stronger assumptions, then we show that for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $(f|_X)^N$ satisfies the stronger assumptions, resulting in $h^*((f|_X)^N) = Nh^*(f|_X) = 0$.

First, we note that X is indeed a compact metric space. By $X = \bigcap_n \overline{\mathfrak{X}_n}$, X is closed in $\overline{\mathfrak{X}_1}$. Since $\overline{\mathfrak{X}_1}$ is compact, X is compact in $\overline{\mathfrak{X}_1}$, thus compact with respect to its own subspace topology.

Lemma 5.11. *Let $f: (\mathfrak{X}_1, X) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{X}_0, X)$ and $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ satisfy the Assumptions in Section 4. Assume that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, \mathcal{U}_{n+1} is a refinement of \mathcal{U}_n . Then $\{\mathcal{W}_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ is a refining sequence of open covers of X .*

Here $\mathcal{W}_n := \{U \cap X : U \in \mathcal{U}_n\}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ is defined (5.8).

Proof. By the hypothesis on \mathcal{U}_n , \mathcal{W}_{n+1} is a refinement of \mathcal{W}_n for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. For each open cover \mathcal{Y} of X , set $\mathcal{Y} = \{V \cap X : V \in \mathcal{V}\}$ where \mathcal{V} is a cover of X by open subsets of \mathfrak{X}_1 . Since X is compact, we may assume \mathcal{V} to be a finite cover. Then by [Expans], when $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is sufficiently large, for each $U \in \mathcal{U}_n$ there exists $V \in \mathcal{V}$ such that $U \subseteq V$. Thus for each $W \in \mathcal{W}_n$, there is $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$ such that $W \subseteq Y$. So \mathcal{W}_n is a refinement of \mathcal{Y} . By Definition 5.1, therefore, $\{\mathcal{W}_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ is a refining sequence of open cover of X . \square

Theorem 5.12. *Let $f: (\mathfrak{X}_1, X) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{X}_0, X)$ and $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ satisfy the Assumptions in Section 4. Denote $f_X := f|_X$. Assume that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, \mathcal{U}_{n+1} is a refinement of \mathcal{U}_n . Then $f|_X: X \rightarrow X$ is asymptotically h -expansive.*

Proof. By Lemma 5.11, $\{\mathcal{W}_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ (defined in (5.8)) is a refining sequence of open cover of X .

Fix arbitrary $m, l, n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $A \in \bigvee_{i=0}^n f_X^{-i}(\mathcal{W}_m)$ with $m < l < n$. We construct an upper bound for the set

$$\min \left\{ \text{card } \xi_A : \xi_A \subseteq \bigvee_{j=0}^n f_X^{-j}(\mathcal{W}_l), A \subseteq \bigcup \xi_A \right\},$$

Step 1. Cover elements in $\bigvee_{i=0}^n f_X^{-i}(\mathcal{W}_m)$ with elements in \mathcal{W}_{m+n} . Choose $W_i^m \in \mathcal{W}_m$ for each $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ such that

$$A = \bigcap_{i=0}^n f_X^{-i}(W_i^m) = \{x \in W_0^m : f_X^i(x) \in W_i^m, i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}\}.$$

Then for each $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$, $W_i^m = U_i^m \cap X$ for some $U_i^m \in \mathcal{U}_m$.

By Lemma 5.9, we get

$$(5.13) \quad A \subseteq \bigcup_{U^{m+n} \in E_m(U_0^m, \dots, U_{n-1}^m; U_n^m)} U^{m+n} \cap X.$$

Then we give an upper bound of the cardinality of the set $E_m(U_0^m, \dots, U_{n-1}^m; U_n^m)$. For each $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ let

$$\mathcal{L}_k := \{f^k(U) : U \in E_m(U_0^m, \dots, U_{n-1}^m; U_n^m)\}.$$

By the definition of the set $E_m(U_0^m, \dots, U_{n-1}^m; U_n^m)$ in (5.11), we know that $\mathcal{L}_k \subseteq \mathcal{U}_{m+n-k}$, and in particular, $\mathcal{L}_0 = E_m(U_0^m, \dots, U_{n-1}^m; U_n^m)$ and $\mathcal{L}_n = \{U_n^m\}$. By Definition 5.6 and the definition of the set $E_m(U_0^m, \dots, U_{n-1}^m; U_n^m)$ in (5.11), we have

$$\bigcup \mathcal{L}_k \subseteq B_m^{n-k}(U_k^m)$$

for each $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$.

Put

$$(5.14) \quad M_m := \left\lfloor m \log_\lambda \theta + \log_\lambda \left(\frac{4K(C' + 1)^2 d_0}{C''} \right) - 1 \right\rfloor,$$

where the constants $K > 1$ and $d_0 > 0$ are from Proposition 3.10, $\lambda, \theta \in (0, 1)$, and $C' > 0$ are from Proposition 3.11, and $C'' > 0$ is from Proposition 5.5.

We can check that $\{M_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ is increasing to $+\infty$ and that

$$(5.15) \quad 2(C' + 1)^2 d_0 \theta^m < C'' \lambda^{M_m} / (2K).$$

By Lemma 5.7, Proposition 3.10 (iv), and $c_{M_n} = C'' \lambda^{M_n}$ (see Lemma 5.5), for each $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ we have

$$\text{diam } B_m^{n-k}(U_k^m) < C'' \lambda^{M_n} / (2K) \leq \delta_{M_m},$$

where δ_{M_m} is the Lebesgue number of \mathcal{U}_{M_m} , which is from Proposition 3.10 (iv). Thus there exists $\widehat{U}_k \in \mathcal{U}_{M_m}$ such that

$$\bigcup \mathcal{L}_k \subseteq B_m^{n-k}(U_k^m) \subseteq \widehat{U}_k.$$

For each $0 \leq k \leq n$ and each $0 \leq t \leq \min\{n - k, M_m\}$, consider $f^t|_{\widehat{U}_k} : \widehat{U}_k \rightarrow f^t(\widehat{U}_k)$. By [Deg], the map is at most p to 1, so $\text{card}(\mathcal{L}_k) \leq p \cdot \text{card}(\mathcal{L}_{k+t})$.

Inductively we have $\text{card}(\mathcal{L}_0) \leq p^{\frac{n}{M_m}+1} \cdot \text{card}(\mathcal{L}_n)$, which is equivalent to

$$(5.16) \quad \text{card}(E_m(U_0^m, \dots, U_{n-1}^m; U_n^m)) \leq p^{\frac{n}{M_m}+1}.$$

Define $\mathcal{I}_A := \{U \cap X : U \in E_m(U_0^m, \dots, U_{n-1}^m; U_n^m)\} \subseteq \mathcal{W}_{m+n}$. Then $\text{card}(\mathcal{I}_A) \leq p^{\frac{n}{M_m}+1}$, and $A \subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{I}_A$.

Step 2. Cover elements in \mathcal{I}_A with elements in \mathcal{W}_{l+n} .

By Lemma 5.10, for each $W^{m+n} \in \mathcal{I}_A$ there exists $\mathcal{I}_{W^{m+n}} \subseteq \mathcal{W}_{l+n}$ with $\text{card}(\mathcal{I}_{W^{m+n}}) \leq (pT_0)^{l-m}$ such that $W^{m+n} \subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{I}_{W^{m+n}}$, where p is the constant in [Deg] and T_0 is from Lemma 5.10.

Step 3. Each element in \mathcal{W}_{l+n} can be covered by some element in $\bigvee_{j=0}^n f_X^{-j}(\mathcal{W}_l)$.

For each $W^{l+n} \in \mathcal{W}_{l+n}$, suppose that $W^{l+n} = U^{l+n} \cap X$, $U^{l+n} \in \mathcal{U}_{l+n}$. By the hypothesis in the statement of Theorem 5.12, that $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ is refining, for each $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$, there exists $U^{l+j} \in \mathcal{U}_{l+j}$ such that $U^{l+n} \subseteq U^{l+j}$. Since $U^{l+j} \subseteq f^{-j}(U_j^l)$ for some $U_j^l \in \mathcal{U}_l$, we have $U^{l+n} \subseteq U^{l+j} \subseteq f^{-j}(U_j^l)$. As a consequence

$$(5.17) \quad \begin{aligned} W^{l+n} &= X \cap U^{l+n} \subseteq X \cap \bigcap_{j=0}^n f^{-j}(U_j^l) \\ &= \bigcap_{j=0}^n (X \cap f^{-j}(U_j^l)) = \bigcap_{j=0}^n f^{-j}(X \cap U_j^l) \in \bigvee_{j=0}^n f^{-j}(\mathcal{W}_l). \end{aligned}$$

By Steps 1 through 3, there exists $\mathcal{J}_A \subseteq \bigvee_{j=0}^n f_X^{-j}(\mathcal{W}_l)$ with $\text{card}(\mathcal{J}_A) \leq p^{\frac{n}{M_m}+1} (pT_0)^{l-m}$, such that $A \subseteq \mathcal{J}_A$. It follows that

$$\min \left\{ \text{card } \xi_A : \xi_A \subseteq \bigvee_{j=0}^n f_X^{-j}(\mathcal{W}_l), A \subseteq \bigcup \xi_A \right\} \leq p^{\frac{n}{M_m}+1} (pT_0)^{l-m}.$$

Since A is an arbitrary element of $\bigvee_{i=0}^n f_X^{-i}(\mathcal{W}_m)$, by (5.1) in Definition 5.2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} & H\left(\bigvee_{j=0}^n f_X^{-j}(\mathcal{W}_l) \left| \bigvee_{i=0}^n f_X^{-i}(\mathcal{W}_m)\right.\right) \\ &= \log\left(\max_{A \in \bigvee_{i=0}^n f_X^{-i}(\mathcal{W}_m)} \left\{ \min\left\{ \text{card } \xi_A : \xi_A \subseteq \bigvee_{j=0}^n f_X^{-j}(\mathcal{W}_l), A \subseteq \bigcup \xi_A \right\} \right\}\right) \\ &\leq \log(p^{\frac{n}{M_m}+1}(pT_0)^{l-m}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus by (5.3) in Definition 5.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (5.18) \quad h^*(f_X) &= \lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \lim_{l \rightarrow +\infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} H\left(\bigvee_{j=0}^{n-1} f_X^{-j}(\mathcal{W}_l) \left| \bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} f_X^{-i}(\mathcal{W}_m)\right.\right) \\ &\leq \lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \lim_{l \rightarrow +\infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log\left(p^{\frac{n-1}{M_m}+1}(pT_0)^{l-m}\right) \\ &= \lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log p}{M_m} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

The theorem follows, therefore, from (5.18) and Definition 5.4. \square

Lemma 5.13. *Let $f: (\mathfrak{X}_1, X) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{X}_0, X)$ and $\{\mathcal{U}_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ satisfy the Assumptions in Section 4. Assume $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $f^n|_{\mathfrak{X}_n}: (\mathfrak{X}_n, X) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{X}_0, X)$ and $\{\mathcal{U}_{kn}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ satisfy the Assumptions in Section 4. Moreover, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mathcal{U}_{(k+1)N}$ is a refinement of \mathcal{U}_{kN} for each $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$.*

Proof. We first verify the last statement. By [**Expans**], there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for each $V' \in \mathcal{U}_N$ there exists $V \in \mathcal{U}_0$ such that $V' \subseteq V$. Then for each $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and each $U' \in \mathcal{U}_{(k+1)N}$, there exists $U_0 \in \mathcal{U}_0$ such that $f^{kN}(U') \subseteq U_0$. Since U' is connected, there exists $U \in \mathcal{U}_{kN}$ such that U is a connected component of $f^{-kN}(U_0)$ and $U' \subseteq U$. Thus $\mathcal{U}_{(k+1)N}$ is a refinement of \mathcal{U}_{kN} .

Next, we check the Assumptions in Section 4 for $f^n|_{\mathfrak{X}_n}: (\mathfrak{X}_n, X) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{X}_0, X)$ and $\{\mathcal{U}_{kn}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ one by one.

(1) \mathfrak{X}_n is Hausdorff since \mathfrak{X}_0 is Hausdorff.

For each $x \in \mathfrak{X}_n$, choose a compact neighborhood U_x of x in \mathfrak{X}_0 . Since f is proper, $f^{-n}(U_x)$ is a compact neighborhood of x in \mathfrak{X}_n , so \mathfrak{X}_n is locally compact.

Since $\mathfrak{X}_n = f^{-n}(\mathfrak{X}_0)$ is open, for each $x \in \mathfrak{X}_n$ and each neighborhood U of x in \mathfrak{X}_n , U is an open subset of \mathfrak{X}_0 . By the local connectivity of \mathfrak{X}_0 , there exists a connected neighborhood V of x such that $V \subseteq U \subseteq \mathfrak{X}_n$, thus \mathfrak{X}_n is locally connected.

Let \mathfrak{C}_n be an arbitrary connected component in \mathfrak{X}_n and \mathfrak{C}_0 be the connected component in \mathfrak{X}_0 which contains $f^n(\mathfrak{C}_n)$. Then \mathfrak{C}_n is a connected component of $f^{-n}(\mathfrak{C}_0)$. By Proposition 3.5, $f^n|_{\mathfrak{X}_n}: \mathfrak{X}_n \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_0$ is finite-to-one, open, closed and surjective. Since \mathfrak{X}_0 is locally connected, \mathfrak{C}_0 is open. Then by Proposition 3.6, $f^n|_{\mathfrak{C}_n}: \mathfrak{C}_n \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_0$ is surjective. Since \mathfrak{X}_0 has finitely many connected components and $\deg(f^n) < +\infty$, we get that \mathfrak{X}_n has finitely many connected components.

(2) Since f is continuous, $\mathfrak{X}_n = f^{-(n-1)}(\mathfrak{X}_1)$ is open. Since $\overline{\mathfrak{X}_n}$ is compact in \mathfrak{X}_{n-1} , $\overline{\mathfrak{X}_n}$ is compact in \mathfrak{X}_0 .

(3) Let $\deg(f) = d > 1$. The composition of two FBC maps is an FBC map, and the degree multiplies, so $\deg(f^n) = d^n$.

Since for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $f|_{\mathfrak{X}_k} : \mathfrak{X}_k \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_{k-1}$ is an FBC map of degree d , $f^n|_{\mathfrak{X}_n}$ is an FBC map of degree d^n . The repeller of $f^n|_{\mathfrak{X}_n} : \mathfrak{X}_n \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_0$ is $X = \bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \overline{\mathfrak{X}_k} = \bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \overline{\mathfrak{X}_{kn}}$. Since $f|_X : X \rightarrow X$ is an FBC map of degree d , $f^n|_X = (f|_X)^n$ is an FBC map of degree d^n .

(4) By definition \mathcal{U}_n is a finite cover of X by connected open subsets of \mathfrak{X}_n and for each $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ the elements of $\mathcal{U}_{(k+1)n}$ are preimages under f^n of elements in \mathcal{U}_{kn} . Axioms **[Expans]**, **[Irred]**, **[Deg]**, **[Round]**, and **[Diam]** hold for the sequence $\{\mathcal{U}_{kn}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, which is a straightforward consequence of the definitions. \square

Finally, we give a proof of Theorem A.

Proof of Theorem A. Let $f : (\mathfrak{X}_1, X) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{X}_0, X)$ and $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ satisfy the Assumptions in Section 4.

Since $h^*((f|_X)^n) = nh^*(f|_X)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (see (5.4)), by Definition 5.4 it suffice to prove $h^*((f|_X)^N) = 0$ for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$.

By Lemma 5.13, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f^N|_{\mathfrak{X}_N} : (\mathfrak{X}_N, X) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{X}_0, X)$ and $\{\mathcal{U}_{kN}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ satisfy the Assumptions in Section 4, and moreover, $\{\mathcal{U}_{kN}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfies the property that for each $U' \in \mathcal{U}_{(k+1)N}$ there exists $U \in \mathcal{U}_{kN}$ such that $U' \subseteq U$.

Then by Theorem 5.12, $(f|_X)^N = f^N|_X$ is asymptotically h -expansive. By Definition 5.4, $h^*((f|_X)^N) = 0$. Therefore $h^*(f|_X) = 0$ by (5.4), and the theorem follows from Definition 5.4. \square

Next, we prove that a metric CXC system is not h -expansive if there exists at least one branch point in the repeller.

We recall the following lemma about h -expansiveness; see [Li15, Lemma 5.12].

Lemma 5.14. *Let $g : Z \rightarrow Z$ be a continuous map on a compact metric space (Z, d) . If g is h -expansive then so is g^n for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof of Theorem B. Let $f : (\mathfrak{X}_1, X) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{X}_0, X)$ and $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ satisfy the Assumptions in Section 4, and $x_0 \in X \cap B_f$. Denote $f_X := f|_X$.

First, without loss of generality, we make some assumptions.

- (i) By Lemma 5.14, it suffice to prove that $(f|_X)^n$ is not h -expansive for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By Lemma 5.13, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $f^n : (\mathfrak{X}_n, X) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{X}_0, X)$ and $\{\mathcal{U}_{nk}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ satisfy the Assumptions in Section 4, and when n is sufficiently large, $\mathcal{U}_{n(k+1)}$ is a refinement of \mathcal{U}_{nk} for each $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Thus, we can assume that \mathcal{U}_{n+1} is a refinement of \mathcal{U}_n for each n .
- (ii) By **[Deg]**, for each $x \in X$, the forward orbit $\{f^n(x)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ contains at most $\lceil \log_2 p \rceil$ many branch points. So we can assume that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and each $x \in f^{-n}(x_0)$, $\deg(f; x) = 1$.

Denote $\mathcal{W}_n := \{U \cap X : U \in \mathcal{U}_n\}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. By Lemma 5.11, $\{\mathcal{W}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ is a refining sequence of open covers of X . Thus it follows from (5.1) and (5.2) in

Definition 5.2 that it suffices to prove that

$$h(f_X | \mathcal{W}_m) = \lim_{l \rightarrow +\infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} H \left(\bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} f_X^{-i}(\mathcal{W}_l) \middle| \bigvee_{j=0}^{n-1} f_X^{-j}(\mathcal{W}_m) \right) > 0$$

for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ sufficiently large.

Let $k := \deg(f; x_0)$. Then $k > 1$. By **[Expans]**, for sufficiently large $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $U_0^m \in \mathcal{U}_m$ such that $x_0 \in U_0^m$ and that $f|_{U_0^m} : U_0^m \rightarrow U_0^{m-1}$ is an FBC map of degree k . Fix such m and U_0^m , and denote $U_0^{m-1} := f(U_0^m)$.

Fix $y_0 \in U_0^{m-1}$ such that $y_0 \in X \setminus \text{post}(f)$. Such y_0 exists since $\text{post}(f)$ is nowhere dense in X (see Proposition 3.9). Since

$$\sum_{y \in U_0^m, f(y)=y_0} \deg(f; y) = k,$$

there exists k inverse images of y_0 under f in U_0^m . We enumerate $f^{-1}(y_0) \cap U_0^m = \{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k\}$. By Proposition 3.10 (i), we can choose a sufficiently large integer $m' \in \mathbb{N}$ and a level m' good open set $U_0^{m'} \in \mathcal{U}_{m'}$ such that the following properties hold:

- (1) $y_0 \in U_0^{m'}$ and $U_0^{m'} \subseteq U_0^{m-1}$.
- (2) For all $U, U' \in \mathcal{U}_{m'+1}$, if $f(U) = f(U') = U_0^{m'}$ and $z_i \in U, z_j \in U'$ for some $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ with $i \neq j$, then $d(U, U') := \inf\{d(x, y) : x \in U, y \in U'\} > 0$.

For each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, choose $\tilde{U}_i \in \mathcal{U}_{m'+1}$ such that $f(\tilde{U}_i) = U_0^{m'}$ and that $z_i \in \tilde{U}_i$. Then $d(\tilde{U}_i, \tilde{U}_j) > 0$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq k$. We denote

$$(5.19) \quad \delta := \min\{d(\tilde{U}_i, \tilde{U}_j) : 1 \leq i < j \leq k\} > 0.$$

Fix an arbitrary number

$$l > \log_\theta \frac{\delta}{C' d_0},$$

where $C' > 0, d_0 > 0$, and $\theta \in (0, 1)$ are the constants from Proposition 3.11.

By Proposition 3.8, there exists $t \in \mathbb{N}$ and $V^{m+t} \in \mathcal{U}_{m+t}$, such that $f^t(V^{m+t}) = U_0^m$, and that $V^{m+t} \subseteq U_0^{m'}$. We denote $V^{m+r} := f^{t-r}(V^{m+t})$ for each $r \in \{0, 1, \dots, t-1\}$. In particular, $V^m = U_0^m$.

We will construct a sequence $\{A_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ of subsets of X such that the following conditions hold for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$:

- (1) $A_n \subseteq A$ for some $A \in \bigvee_{i=0}^n f^{-i}(\mathcal{U}_m)$.
- (2) $f(A_n) = A_{n-1}$ if $n > 0$.
- (3) $\text{card}(A_n) = k^{\lfloor \frac{n}{t+1} \rfloor}$.
- (4) $A_n \subseteq V^{m+r}$ if $n = (t+1)s + r$, where $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $r \in \{0, 1, \dots, t\}$.
- (5) For $B \in \bigvee_{i=0}^n f^{-i}(\mathcal{U}_l)$ and $x, y \in A_n$ with $x \neq y$, we have $\{x, y\} \not\subseteq B$.

We now construct A_n recursively.

Let $A_0 = \{x_0\}$. Clearly A_0 satisfies conditions (1) through (5).

Make the induction hypothesis that A_n is defined and satisfies conditions (1) through (5) for each $n \in \{0, 1, \dots, (t+1)s + r\}$, where $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $r \in \{0, 1, \dots, t\}$. We construct

$A_{(t+1)s+r+1}$ in the following cases depending on r , and show that $A_{(t+1)s+r+1}$ satisfies conditions (1) through (5) (with $n := (t+1)s+r+1$).

Case 1. Assume $0 \leq r < t$.

By our induction hypothesis, $A_{(t+1)s+r} \in V^{m+r}$. For each $x \in A_n$, choose one point $x' \in V^{m+r+1}$ such that $f(x') = x$. Define $A_{(t+1)s+r+1}$ to be the collections of all such x' corresponds to $x \in A_{(t+1)s+r}$.

Clearly, conditions (2) and (4) are satisfied by definition. Now we verify conditions (1), (3), and (5).

We first verify condition (1). By our induction hypothesis, $A_{(t+1)s+r} \subseteq A$ for some $A \in \bigvee_{i=0}^n f^{-i}(\mathcal{U}_m)$. Suppose that $A = \bigcap_{i=0}^{(t+1)s+r} f^{-i}(\widehat{U}_i^m)$ for some $\widehat{U}_i^m \in \mathcal{U}_m$ for each $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, (t+1)s+r\}$. Since $A_{(t+1)s+r+1} \subseteq V^{m+r+1}$, and $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ is a refining sequence, there exists $\widehat{U}^m \in \mathcal{U}_m$ such that $A_{(t+1)s+r+1} \subseteq V^{m+r+1} \subseteq \widehat{U}^m$. Thus

$$A_{(t+1)s+r+1} \subseteq \widehat{U}^m \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^{(t+1)s+r+1} f^{-i}(\widehat{U}_{i-1}^m) \in \bigvee_{i=0}^{(t+1)s+r+1} f^{-i}(\mathcal{U}_m).$$

So, condition (1) is verified.

Next, we verify condition (3). By definition, $\text{card}(A_{(t+1)s+r+1}) = \text{card}(A_{(t+1)s+r})$. When $0 \leq r < t$, we have $\lfloor \frac{(t+1)s+r+1}{t+1} \rfloor = s = \lfloor \frac{(t+1)s+r}{t+1} \rfloor$, and condition (3) is verified.

Then we verify condition (5). Suppose that there are $x, y \in A_{(t+1)s+r+1}$ with $x \neq y$, such that $\{x, y\} \subseteq B$ for some $B \in \bigvee_{i=0}^{(t+1)s+r+1} f^{-i}(\mathcal{U}_l)$. Suppose $B = \bigcap_{i=0}^{(t+1)s+r+1} f^{-i}(\widehat{U}_i^l)$ for some $\widehat{U}_i^l \in \mathcal{U}_l$ for each $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, (t+1)s+r+1\}$. By definition $f(x), f(y) \in A_{(t+1)s+r}$ and $f(x) \neq f(y)$. However,

$$\{f(x), f(y)\} \subseteq \bigcap_{i=0}^{(t+1)s+r} f^{-i}(\widehat{U}_{i+1}^l) \in \bigvee_{i=0}^{(t+1)s+r} f^{-i}(\mathcal{U}_l),$$

which contradicts the induction hypothesis. So, condition (5) is verified.

Case 2. Assume $r = t$, then $(t+1)s+r+1 = (t+1)(s+1)$.

By our induction hypothesis $A_{(t+1)s+t} \subseteq V^{m+t} \subseteq U_0^{m'}$. Fix an arbitrary number $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$. For each $x \in A_{(t+1)s+t}$, choose one point $x' \in \widetilde{U}_i$ such that $f(x') = x$. Define $A_{(t+1)(s+1)}^i$ to be the collection of all such x' corresponding to some $x \in A_{(t+1)s+t}$.

Then we define $A_{(t+1)(s+1)} := \bigcup_{i=1}^k A_{(t+1)(s+1)}^i$.

Clearly, conditions (2) and (4) are satisfied by definition, and condition (1) can be verified by the same process as in *Case 1*. It suffice to verify conditions (3) and (5).

First, we verify condition (3). By construction, $\text{card}(A_{(t+1)(s+1)}^i) = \text{card}(A_{(t+1)s+t})$. Since $A_{(t+1)(s+1)}^i \subseteq \widetilde{U}_i$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, and $\widetilde{U}_i \cap \widetilde{U}_j = \emptyset$ for each pair $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ with $i \neq j$, we have

$$\text{card}(A_{(t+1)(s+1)}) = k \cdot \text{card}(A_{(t+1)s+t}) = k^{\lfloor \frac{(t+1)s+t}{t+1} \rfloor + 1} = k^{s+1},$$

and condition (3) is verified.

Next, we verify condition (5). Suppose that there are $x, y \in A_{(t+1)(s+1)}$ with $x \neq y$ such that $\{x, y\} \subseteq B$ for some $B \in \bigvee_{a=0}^{(t+1)(s+1)} f^{-a}(\mathcal{U}_l)$. Suppose $B \subseteq U^l$ for some

$U^l \in \mathcal{U}$. By Proposition 3.11 (i), $\text{diam}(U^l) \leq C'd_0\theta^l$, so $d(x, y) < \delta$ since $l > \log_\theta \frac{\delta}{C'd_0}$. Then by (5.19) there is some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ such that $\{x, y\} \subseteq \tilde{U}_i$, and by construction $f(x) \neq f(y)$. However, since $\{x, y\} \subseteq B$ and $B \in \bigvee_{a=0}^{(t+1)(s+1)} f^{-a}(\mathcal{U}_l)$, we have $\{f(x), f(y)\} \subseteq S$ for some $S \in \bigvee_{a=0}^{(t+1)s+t} f^{-a}(\mathcal{U}_l)$, which contradicts condition (5) for $A_{(t+1)s+t}$ in the induction hypothesis.

The recursive construction and the inductive proof of the conditions are now complete. We note that A_n is a subset of X for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

The following properties straightforwardly follow from conditions (1), (3), and (5).

(i) $A_n \subseteq A$ for some $A \in \bigvee_{i=0}^n f_X^{-i}(\mathcal{W}_m)$.

(ii) $\text{card}(A_n) = k^{\lfloor \frac{n}{t+1} \rfloor}$.

(iii) For all $B \in \bigvee_{i=0}^n f_X^{-i}(\mathcal{W}_l)$ and $x, y \in A_n$ with $x \neq y$, we have $\{x, y\} \not\subseteq B$.

So for such $A \in \bigvee_{i=0}^n f_X^{-i}(\mathcal{W}_m)$ that contains A_n , if $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \bigvee_{i=0}^n f_X^{-i}(\mathcal{W}_l)$ satisfies $A \subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{B}$, then $\text{card}(\mathcal{B}) \geq \text{card}(A_n) = k^{\lfloor \frac{n}{t+1} \rfloor}$.

As a consequence, for each sufficiently large $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $t_m \in \mathbb{N}$, such that for each sufficiently large $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, by (5.1) in Definition 5.2, we have

$$(5.20) \quad H\left(\bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} f_X^{-i}(\mathcal{W}_l) \left| \bigvee_{j=0}^{n-1} f_X^{-j}(\mathcal{W}_m)\right.\right) \geq \log k^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{t_m+1} \rfloor}.$$

Thus for each sufficiently large $m \in \mathbb{N}$, by (5.2) in Definition 5.2 and (5.20), we have

$$\begin{aligned} h(f_X | \mathcal{W}_m) &= \lim_{l \rightarrow +\infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} H\left(\bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} f_X^{-i}(\mathcal{W}_l) \left| \bigvee_{j=0}^{n-1} f_X^{-j}(\mathcal{W}_m)\right.\right) \\ &\geq \liminf_{l \rightarrow +\infty} \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log k^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{t_m+1} \rfloor} = \frac{\log k}{t_m + 1} > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the map $f|_X$ is not h -expansive. \square

6. EQUILIBRIUM STATES FOR METRIC CXC SYSTEMS

In this section, we will establish the existence of an equilibrium state for a metric CXC system and a continuous potential.

6.1. Basic concepts. We start with a brief review of some concepts from dynamics. We refer the reader to [PU10] for a more detailed study of these concepts.

Let (Z, d) be a compact metric space and $g: Z \rightarrow Z$ a continuous map. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the *dynamical distance* is defined as

$$d_g^n(x, y) := \max\{d(g^k(x), g^k(y)) : k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}\},$$

for $x, y \in Z$. We can check that d_g^n is a metric on Z . A set $F \subseteq Z$ is (n, ϵ) -separated for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\epsilon > 0$ if for each pair of distinct points $x, y \in F$, we have $d_g^n(x, y) > \epsilon$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\epsilon > 0$, we use $F_n(\epsilon)$ to denote a maximal (in the sense of inclusion) (n, ϵ) -separated set.

For each real-valued continuous function $\psi \in C(Z)$, the following limits exist

$$P(g, \psi) := \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \sum_{x \in F_n(\epsilon)} \exp(S_n \psi(x)),$$

where $S_n \psi(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \psi(g^j(x))$. Note that $P(g, \psi)$ above is independent of the choices of $F_n(\epsilon)$ and is independent of d as long as the topology defined by d remains the same. We call $P(g, \psi)$ the *topological pressure* of g with respect to potential ψ , and the quantity $h_{\text{top}}(g) := P(g, 0)$ is called the *topological entropy* of g .

A *measurable partition* \mathcal{A} of Z is a finite or countably infinite collection $\mathcal{A} = \{A_j : j \in J\}$ of mutually disjoint Borel sets with $\bigcup \mathcal{A} = Z$, where J is a finite or countably infinite index set. For $x \in Z$ we denote by $\mathcal{A}(x)$ the unique element of \mathcal{A} such that $x \in \mathcal{A}(x)$. Let $\mathcal{A} = \{A_j : j \in J\}$ and $\mathcal{B} = \{B_k : k \in K\}$ be measurable partitions of Z , we say \mathcal{A} is a *refinement* of \mathcal{B} if for each $A_j \in \mathcal{A}$ there exist $B_k \in \mathcal{B}$ with $A_j \subseteq B_k$. The *common refinement* of \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} is defined as $\mathcal{A} \vee \mathcal{B} := \{A_j \cap B_k : A_j \in \mathcal{A}, B_k \in \mathcal{B}\}$, which is also a measurable partition. For a continuous map $g: Z \rightarrow Z$, we set $g^{-1}(\mathcal{A}) := \{g^{-1}(A_j) : A_j \in \mathcal{A}\}$, and denote for $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\mathcal{A}_g^n := \bigvee_{j=0}^{n-1} g^{-j}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{A} \vee g^{-1}(\mathcal{A}) \vee \dots \vee g^{-(n-1)}(\mathcal{A}).$$

Let $\mathcal{P}(Z)$ denote the set of Borel probability measures and $\mathcal{M}(Z, g)$ denote the set of g -invariant Borel probability measures on Z , by Krylov–Bogolyubov theorem $\mathcal{M}(Z, g) \neq \emptyset$. The entropy of a measurable partition \mathcal{A} is

$$H_\mu(\mathcal{A}) := \sum_{j \in J} -\mu(A_j) \log(\mu(A_j))$$

where $0 \log 0$ is defined to be 0. If $H_\mu(\mathcal{A}) < +\infty$, then the limit $h_\mu(g, \mathcal{A}) := \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} H_\mu(\mathcal{A}_g^n)$ exists, and the *measure-theoretic entropy* of g for μ is given by

$$h_\mu(g) := \sup\{h_\mu(g, \mathcal{A})\},$$

where \mathcal{A} ranges over all measurable partitions with $h_\mu(g, \mathcal{A}) < +\infty$. For each $\psi \in C(Z)$, the *measure-theoretic pressure* $P_\mu(g, \psi)$ of g for the measure μ and potential ψ is

$$P_\mu(g, \psi) := h_\mu(g) + \int \psi d\mu.$$

By the Variational Principle, we have for each $\psi \in C(Z)$

$$(6.1) \quad P(g, \psi) = \sup\{P_\mu(g, \psi) : \mu \in \mathcal{M}(Z, g)\}.$$

In particular, when ψ is the constant function 0, we have

$$(6.2) \quad h_{\text{top}}(g) = \sup\{h_\mu(g) : \mu \in \mathcal{M}(Z, g)\}.$$

A measure $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(Z, g)$ that attains the supremum in (6.1) is called an *equilibrium state* for the transformation g and potential ψ . A measure $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(Z, g)$ that attains the supremum in (6.2) is called a *measure of maximal entropy* for g .

6.2. Existence of equilibrium states. In this subsection, we show that equilibrium states exist for metric CXC systems and continuous potentials.

Let Z be a compact metric space and $g: Z \rightarrow Z$ a continuous map. First, we recall that $\mathcal{M}(Z, g)$ is weak* compact. We refer the reader to [PU10] for more details.

The following proposition gives a consequence of the asymptotic h -expansiveness. We refer the reader to [Mi76] for more details.

Proposition 6.1. *Let $g: Z \rightarrow Z$ be a continuous map on a compact metric space Z . If g is asymptotically h -expansive, then the map*

$$h_*(g): \mathcal{M}(Z, g) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \mu \mapsto h_\mu(g)$$

is upper semi-continuous with respect to the weak topology.*

Finally, we establish the existence of equilibrium states for metric CXC systems.

Proof of Theorem C. Let $f: (\mathfrak{X}_1, X) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{X}_0, X)$ and $\{\mathcal{U}_0\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ satisfy the Assumptions in Section 4, and $\psi \in C(X)$. Note that X is compact. Denote $f_X := f|_X$.

By Proposition 6.1 the map $h_*(f_X): \mathcal{M}(X, f_X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \mu \mapsto h_\mu(f_X)$ is upper semi-continuous. Then since $\psi \in C(X)$, the map

$$P_*(f_X, \psi): \mathcal{M}(X, f_X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \mu \mapsto P_\mu(X, f_X) = h_\mu(f_X) + \int \psi d\mu$$

is upper semi-continuous. Since $\mathcal{M}(X, f_X)$ is weak* compact, there exists $\nu \in \mathcal{M}(X, f_X)$ that attains the supremum in $\sup\{P_\mu(f_X, \psi) : \mu \in \mathcal{M}(X, f_X)\}$. By the Variational Principle, such $\nu \in \mathcal{M}(X, f_X)$ is an equilibrium state. \square

In particular, let ψ be the constant function 0, then we obtain the existence of measures of maximal entropy.

Corollary 6.2. *Let $f: (\mathfrak{X}_1, X) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{X}_0, X)$ and $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ satisfy the Assumptions in Section 4, then there exists at least one measure of maximal entropy for the map $f|_X$.*

7. LEVEL-2 LARGE DEVIATION PRINCIPLE AND EQUIDISTRIBUTION

In this section, we show a consequence of our previous results, namely, a level-2 large deviation principle for iterated preimages under additional assumptions, from which we obtain an equidistribution result.

7.1. Level-2 large deviation principle. Let Z be a compact metric space, and $\mathcal{P}(Z)$ be the set of Borel probability measures on Z equipped with the weak* topology. Note this topology is metrizable (see for example, [Co85, Theorem 5.1]). Let $I: \mathcal{P}(Z) \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ be a lower semi-continuous function.

A sequence $\{\Omega_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of Borel probability measures on $\mathcal{P}(Z)$ is said to satisfy a *level-2 large deviation principle with rate function I* if for each closed subset \mathfrak{F} of $\mathcal{P}(Z)$ and each open subset \mathfrak{G} of $\mathcal{P}(Z)$ we have

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log(\Omega_n(\mathfrak{F})) \leq -\inf\{I(x) : x \in \mathfrak{F}\},$$

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log(\Omega_n(\mathfrak{G})) \geq -\inf\{I(x) : x \in \mathfrak{G}\}.$$

We state a theorem due to Y. Kifer [Ki90, Theorem 4.3], reformulated by H. Comman and J. Rivera-Letelier [CRL11, Theorem C].

Theorem 7.1 (Kifer [Ki90], Comman & Rivera-Letelier [CRL11]). *Let Z be a compact metrizable space, and $g: Z \rightarrow Z$ be a continuous map. Fix $\phi \in C(Z)$, and let H be a dense vector subspace of $C(Z)$ with respect to the uniform norm. Let $I^\phi: \mathcal{P}(Z) \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ be the function defined by*

$$I^\phi(\mu) := \begin{cases} P(g, \phi) - \int \phi d\mu - h_\mu(g), & \text{if } \mu \in \mathcal{M}(Z, g); \\ +\infty, & \text{if } \mu \in \mathcal{P}(Z) \setminus \mathcal{M}(Z, g). \end{cases}$$

We assume the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) The function $h_\cdot(g): \mathcal{M}(Z, g) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\mu \mapsto h_\mu(g)$, is finite and upper semi-continuous.
- (ii) For each $\psi \in H$, there exists a unique equilibrium state for g and potential $\phi + \psi$.

Let $\{\Omega_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of Borel probability measures on $\mathcal{P}(Z)$ with the property that for each $\psi \in H$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \int_{\mathcal{P}(Z)} \exp \left(n \int \psi d\mu \right) d\Omega_n(\mu) = P(g, \phi + \psi) - P(g, \phi).$$

Then $\{\Omega_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfies a level-2 large deviation principle with rate function I^ϕ , and it converges in the weak* topology to the Dirac measure supported on the unique equilibrium state for g and potential ϕ . Furthermore, for each convex open subset \mathfrak{G} of $\mathcal{P}(Z)$ containing some invariant measure, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log(\Omega_n(\mathfrak{G})) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log(\Omega_n(\overline{\mathfrak{G}})) = -\inf_{\mathfrak{G}} I^\phi = -\inf_{\overline{\mathfrak{G}}} I^\phi.$$

7.2. The Additional Assumptions. We state below the assumptions under which we will establish a level-2 large deviation principle. We will repeatedly refer to such assumptions later.

Before we state these assumptions, we recall the notion of *strongly path-connectedness*. See [DPTUZ21, Definition 2.3].

Definition 7.2 (Strongly path-connected). A topological space \mathfrak{X} is *strongly path-connected* if, for any finite or countably infinite subset S of \mathfrak{X} , the space $\mathfrak{X} \setminus S$ is path-connected.

The Additional Assumptions.

- (1) $\mathfrak{X}_0, \mathfrak{X}_1$ are strongly path-connected.
- (2) the branch set B_f is finite.

These additional assumptions were adopted in [DPTUZ21].

7.3. Proof of Theorem D. The goal of this subsection is to establish Theorem D. We first recall the notion of geometric coding tree from [DPTUZ21] and then use it to establish some characterizations of the topological pressure before proving Theorem D at the end of this subsection.

In the following context, we assume that $f: (\mathfrak{X}_1, X) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{X}_0, X)$ and $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ satisfy the Assumptions in Section 4 and the Additional Assumptions in Subsection 7.2, and let ϕ be a real-valued Hölder continuous function on X . We denote $f_X := f|_X$ for convenience.

For each $x \in X$ and each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, put

$$W_n(x) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \delta_{f^i(x)}.$$

For a given sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of points in X , consider the following sequence $\{\Omega_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of Borel probability measures on $\mathcal{P}(X)$.

Iterated Preimages:

$$\Omega_n := \sum_{y \in f^{-n}(x_n)} \frac{\deg(f^n; y) \cdot \exp(S_n \phi(y))}{\sum_{z \in f^{-n}(x_n)} \deg(f^n; z) \cdot \exp(S_n \phi(z))} \delta_{W_n(y)},$$

where $S_n \phi(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \phi(f^i(x))$.

Remark 7.3. It is clear that the quantities $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \phi(f^i(x))$ and $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \phi(f_X^i(x))$ are identical. By Proposition 3.7, the quantities $\deg(f^n; x)$ and $\deg(f_X^n; x)$ are identical. Thus, we do not distinguish these quantities in the following context.

Our goal in this section is to establish a level-2 large deviation principle for $\{\Omega_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with rate function I^ϕ given in Theorem 7.1. We do this by applying Theorem 7.1. It suffices to verify that the conditions in Theorem 7.1 are satisfied.

We first verify that for each $\psi \in H$,

$$(7.1) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \int_{\mathcal{P}(X)} \exp \left(n \int \psi d\mu \right) d\Omega_n(\mu) = P(f_X, \phi + \psi) - P(f_X, \phi).$$

By results in [DPTUZ21] that, under the Additional Assumption in Subsection 7.2, the topological pressure of a metric CXC system and the shift map on a space of one-sided sequences can be related through a semiconjugacy. We will use this idea to verify (7.1).

7.3.1. Geometric coding tree and semiconjugacy. We construct a semiconjugacy from a shift map to f as in [DPTUZ21]. The construction is based on the idea of “geometric coding tree.” We refer the reader to [DPTUZ21] for more details.

Let $\Sigma := \{1, \dots, d\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ be the space of infinite one-sided sequences on d symbols, and $\sigma: \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ the shift map. We equip Σ with the standard metric $\rho(\xi, \eta) := 2^{-\min\{k \geq 0: \xi_k \neq \eta_k\}}$ for distinct $\xi = (\xi_0, \xi_1, \dots)$ and $\eta = (\eta_0, \eta_1, \dots)$ in Σ .

Suppose that $f: (\mathfrak{X}_1, X) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{X}_0, X)$ and $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ satisfy the Assumptions in Section 4 and the Additional Assumptions in Subsection 7.2. Pick $w \in X \setminus \text{post}(f)$, let

w_1, w_2, \dots, w_d be all of its preimages under f . For each w_i , choose a path γ_i in \mathfrak{X}_0 connecting w to w_i and avoiding $\text{post}(f)$. Such paths exist since \mathfrak{X}_1 and \mathfrak{X}_0 are strongly path-connected. Here, by a *path* γ in \mathfrak{X}_0 we mean a continuous map $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_0$.

We recall the path-lifting property (see for example, [DPTUZ21, Lemma 2.15]). Such path-lifting property will be used in the construction of the geometric coding tree.

Proposition 7.4. *Let $h: Y \rightarrow Z$ be a finite branched covering map, and γ be a continuous path in Z that is disjoint from the set of branch values V_h . Let $x = \gamma(0)$, and $\tilde{x} \in h^{-1}(x)$. Then there exists a continuous path $\tilde{\gamma}$ in Y such that $h(\tilde{\gamma}) = \gamma$ and $\tilde{\gamma}(0) = \tilde{x}$.*

Actually, such lifts are unique, which is well-known to experts. We include a proof for the convenience of the reader.

Lemma 7.5. *Let $h: Y \rightarrow Z$ be a finite branched covering map, and γ be a continuous path in Z that is disjoint from the set of branch values V_h . Let $x = \gamma(0)$, and $\tilde{x} \in h^{-1}(x)$. Let $\tilde{\gamma}_1$ and $\tilde{\gamma}_2$ be two paths in Y such that $h(\tilde{\gamma}_i) = \gamma$ and $\tilde{\gamma}_i(0) = \tilde{x}$, $i \in \{1, 2\}$, then $\tilde{\gamma}_1 = \tilde{\gamma}_2$.*

Proof. Consider $J := \{t \in [0, 1] : \tilde{\gamma}_1(t) = \tilde{\gamma}_2(t)\}$. Then $0 \in J$. It suffices to prove that J is an open and closed subset of $[0, 1]$.

Fix an arbitrary $t_0 \in J$, and denote $\tilde{x}_0 := \tilde{\gamma}_1(t_0) = \tilde{\gamma}_2(t_0)$. Since γ is disjoint from V_h , we get $\deg(h; \tilde{x}_0) = 1$. Hence, there exists a neighborhood U of \tilde{x}_0 such that $h|_U: U \rightarrow h(U)$ is a homeomorphism. Choose a small neighborhood $N_\epsilon(t_0) := (t_0 - \epsilon, t_0 + \epsilon) \cap [0, 1]$ of t_0 such that $\tilde{\gamma}_i(N_\epsilon(t_0)) \subseteq U$, $i \in \{1, 2\}$. Then since $h(\tilde{\gamma}_1(t)) = h(\tilde{\gamma}_2(t)) = \gamma(t)$ for each $t \in N_\epsilon(t_0)$, and $h|_U$ is injective, we get that $\tilde{\gamma}_1(t) = \tilde{\gamma}_2(t)$ for each $t \in N_\epsilon(t_0)$. Since t_0 is arbitrary, we know that J is open.

Fix an arbitrary sequence $\{t_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of points in J such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} t_n = t^* \in [0, 1]$. Then $\tilde{\gamma}_1(t_n) = \tilde{\gamma}_2(t_n)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\tilde{\gamma}_1$ and $\tilde{\gamma}_2$ are continuous, we have $\tilde{\gamma}_1(t^*) = \tilde{\gamma}_2(t^*)$. Thus $t^* \in J$. Since the sequence $\{t_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is arbitrary, J is closed.

Since J is open and closed, and $J \neq \emptyset$, we have $J = [0, 1]$. Now the proof is complete. \square

For each sequence $\xi = (\xi_0, \xi_1, \dots) \in \Sigma$, we define a sequence $\{z_n(\xi)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ of points in X inductively as follows. Put $z_0(\xi) := w_{\xi_0}$ and $\gamma_0(\xi) := \gamma_{\xi_0}$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, suppose that $z_{n-1}(\xi)$ is defined, let $\gamma_n(\xi)$ be a curve which is the branch of $f^{-n}(\gamma_{\xi_n})$ such that one of its ends is $z_{n-1}(\xi)$. Such lifts exist by Proposition 7.4, and are well-defined by Lemma 7.5. Define $z_n(\xi)$ as the other end of $\gamma_n(\xi)$.

By the proof of [DPTUZ21, Proposition 2.16], we have the following proposition, which gives a semiconjugacy from the shift map to f .

Proposition 7.6. *Suppose that $f: (\mathfrak{X}_1, X) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{X}_0, X)$ and $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ satisfy the Assumptions in Section 4 and the Additional Assumptions in Subsection 7.2. For each $\xi \in \Sigma$, let $z_n(\xi)$ be the sequence in X defined as above. Then the following statements hold:*

- (i) *The limit $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} z_n(\xi)$ exists in X . Define $\pi(\xi') := \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} z_n(\xi')$ for each $\xi' \in \Sigma$.*

- (ii) $f \circ \pi = \pi \circ \sigma$.
- (iii) The map $\pi: \Sigma \rightarrow X$ is surjective and Hölder continuous.

By [DPTUZ21, Lemma 4.2], we have the following proposition.

Proposition 7.7 (Das, Przytycki, Tiozzo, Urbański, and Zdunik [DPTUZ21]). *Suppose that $f: (\mathfrak{X}_1, X) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{X}_0, X)$ and $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ satisfy the Assumptions in Section 4 and the Additional Assumptions in Subsection 7.2. Denote $f_X = f|_X$. Let $\phi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Hölder continuous function, and π be the semiconjugacy given in Proposition 7.6. Then*

$$P(f_X, \phi) = P(\sigma, \phi \circ \pi).$$

The following proposition follows from [DPTUZ21, Theorem 1.1].

Proposition 7.8 (Das, Przytycki, Tiozzo, Urbański, and Zdunik [DPTUZ21]). *Suppose that $f: (\mathfrak{X}_1, X) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{X}_0, X)$ and $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ satisfy the Assumptions in Section 4 and the Additional Assumptions in Subsection 7.2. Let ϕ be a real-valued Hölder continuous function on X . Then there exists a unique equilibrium state μ_ϕ for the map $f|_X$ and potential ϕ .*

Then we establish some technical lemmas.

Lemma 7.9. *Suppose that $f: (\mathfrak{X}_1, X) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{X}_0, X)$ and $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ satisfy the Assumptions in Section 4 and the Additional Assumptions in Subsection 7.2. Let $\xi \in \Sigma$. Then for each pair of $m, k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \leq m$, we have $f^k(z_m(\xi)) = z_{m-k}(\sigma^k(\xi))$.*

Proof. We prove $f(z_m(\xi)) = z_{m-1}(\sigma(\xi))$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ by induction.

First, consider the case where $m = 1$. Then $\gamma_1(\xi)$ is the branch of $f^{-1}(\gamma_{\xi_1})$ such that one of its ends is $z_0(\xi) = w_{\xi_0}$. Then

$$f(z_1(\xi)) = f(\gamma_1(\xi)(1)) = \gamma_{\xi_1}(1) = w_{\xi_1} = z_0(\sigma(\xi)).$$

For an integer $m > 2$, we make the induction hypothesis that $f(z_{m-1}(\xi)) = z_{m-2}(\sigma(\xi))$. Since $\gamma_m(\xi)$ is the branch of $f^{-m}(\gamma_{\xi_m})$ such that one of its end is $z_{m-1}(\xi)$, we know that $f(\gamma_m(\xi))$ is the branch of $f^{-(m-1)}(\gamma_{\xi_m})$ such that one of its ends is $f(z_{m-1}(\xi)) = z_{m-2}(\sigma(\xi))$. Thus $f(\gamma_m(\xi))$ is identical to $\gamma_{m-1}(\sigma(\xi))$, and $f(z_m(\xi))$ is identical to $z_{m-1}(\sigma(\xi))$.

By induction, $f(z_m(\xi)) = z_{m-1}(\sigma(\xi))$ holds for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then it follows immediately that $f^k(z_m(\xi)) = z_{m-k}(\sigma^k(\xi))$ holds for each pair of $m, k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \leq m$, and the proof is complete. \square

Lemma 7.10. *Suppose that $f: (\mathfrak{X}_1, X) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{X}_0, X)$ and $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ satisfy the Assumptions in Section 4 and the Additional Assumptions in Subsection 7.2. Let $\xi = (\xi_0, \xi_1, \dots)$ and $\eta = (\eta_0, \eta_1, \dots)$ be in Σ . If $\xi_n \neq \eta_n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, then $z_m(\xi) \neq z_m(\eta)$ for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m \geq n$.*

Proof. Assume that $\xi_n \neq \eta_n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. We prove that $z_m(\xi) \neq z_m(\eta)$ for all $m \geq n$ by induction.

First, consider the case where $m = n$. Since $f^n(z_n(\xi)) = w_{\xi_n}$, $f^n(z_n(\eta)) = w_{\eta_n}$ and $\xi_n \neq \eta_n$, it is clear that $z_n(\xi) \neq z_n(\eta)$.

For an integer $m > n$, we make the induction hypothesis that $z_{m-1}(\xi) \neq z_{m-1}(\eta)$. Suppose $z_m(\xi) = z_m(\eta)$. Then $w_{i_m} = f^m(z_m(\xi)) = f^m(z_m(\eta))$ for some $i_m \in \{1, 2, \dots, d\}$. Then $\gamma_m(\xi)$ and $\gamma_m(\eta)$ are two paths such that $f^m(\gamma_m(\xi)) = f^m(\gamma_m(\eta)) = w_{i_m}$ and $\gamma_m(\xi)(1) = z_m(\xi) = z_m(\eta) = \gamma_m(\eta)(1)$. By Lemma 7.5, $\gamma_m(\xi) = \gamma_m(\eta)$. Thus $z_{m-1}(\xi) = z_{m-1}(\eta)$, which contradicts to our induction hypothesis. So $z_m(\xi) \neq z_m(\eta)$.
By induction, $z_m(\xi) \neq z_m(\eta)$ holds for all $m \geq n$. \square

Lemma 7.11. *Suppose that $f: (\mathfrak{X}_1, X) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{X}_0, X)$ and $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ satisfy the Assumptions in Section 4 and the Additional Assumptions in Subsection 7.2. Let π be the semiconjugacy given in Proposition 7.6. Then for all $x_0 \in X \setminus \text{post}(f)$, $\omega_0 \in \Sigma$, and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $x_0 = \pi(\omega_0)$, the map $\pi|_{\sigma^{-n}(\omega_0)}: \sigma^{-n}(\omega_0) \rightarrow f^{-n}(x_0)$ is bijective.*

Proof. Fix arbitrary $x_0 \in X \setminus \text{post}(f)$, $\omega_0 \in \Sigma$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\xi, \eta \in \sigma^{-n}(\omega_0)$ with $\xi \neq \eta$ and $x_0 = \pi(\omega_0)$ (see Proposition 7.6). By Lemma 7.9, for each integer $m \geq n$, it holds that $f^n(z_m(\xi)) = z_{m-n}(\omega_0) = f^n(z_m(\eta))$. On the other hand, since $\xi \neq \eta$, there exists $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ such that $\xi_k \neq \eta_k$. Then by Lemma 7.10, we know that $z_m(\xi) \neq z_m(\eta)$ holds for each integer $m \geq n$.

If $\pi(\xi) = \pi(\eta) = x \in X$, then the following statements hold:

- (1) $z_m(\xi) \neq z_m(\eta)$ for each $m \geq n$;
- (2) $\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} z_m(\xi) = \lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} z_m(\eta) = x$;
- (3) $f^n(z_m(\xi)) = z_{m-n}(\omega_0) = f^n(z_m(\eta))$;
- (4) $\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} z_{m-n}(\omega_0) = x_0 = f^n(x)$.

Thus we know that $\deg(f^n; x) \geq 2$, which contradicts to $x \in X \setminus \text{post}(f)$. Since ξ, η are arbitrary, the map $\pi|_{\sigma^{-n}(\omega_0)}: \sigma^{-n}(\omega_0) \rightarrow f^{-n}(x_0)$ is injective. Since $x_0 \in X \setminus \text{post}(f)$, we have $\text{card}(f^{-n}(x_0)) = d^n = \text{card}(\sigma^{-n}(\omega_0))$, and we get that the map $\pi|_{\sigma^{-n}(\omega_0)}$ is bijective. \square

The following two lemmas are standard for the full shifts; see for example, [PU10].

Lemma 7.12. *Let Σ be the space of infinite one-sided sequences of d symbols, and $\sigma: \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ the shift map. Let ϕ be a real-valued Hölder continuous function on Σ . Then for each $\omega_0 \in \Sigma$, we have*

$$P(\sigma, \phi) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \sum_{\omega \in \sigma^{-n}(\omega_0)} \exp(S_n \phi(\omega)).$$

Lemma 7.13. *Let Σ be the space of one-sided infinite sequences on d symbols, and $\sigma: \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ the shift map. Let ϕ be an α -Hölder continuous function on Σ . Then there exists $C_0 > 1$ such that for any $\xi_0, \eta_0 \in \Sigma$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\frac{1}{C_0} \leq \frac{\sum_{\xi \in \sigma^{-n}(\xi_0)} \exp(S_n \phi(\xi))}{\sum_{\eta \in \sigma^{-n}(\eta_0)} \exp(S_n \phi(\eta))} \leq C_0.$$

7.3.2. Characterizations of topological pressure. We first give some technical lemmas regarding the topological pressure of CXC maps and Hölder continuous potentials.

Lemma 7.14. *Suppose that $f: (\mathfrak{X}_1, X) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{X}_0, X)$ and $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ satisfy the Assumptions in Section 4 and the Additional Assumptions in Subsection 7.2. Denote $f_X := f|_X$. Let ϕ be a real-valued Hölder continuous function on X . Then for each $x_0 \in X \setminus \text{post}(f)$, we have*

$$P(f_X, \phi) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \sum_{x \in f^{-n}(x_0)} \exp(S_n \phi(x)).$$

Proof. Fix an arbitrary point $x_0 \in X \setminus \text{post}(f)$. Since the semiconjugacy π in Proposition 7.6 is surjective, there exists $\omega_0 \in \Sigma$ such that $x_0 = \pi(\omega_0)$.

By Lemma 7.11, $\pi|_{\sigma^{-n}(\omega_0)}$ is bijective, so we can calculate $P(f_X, \phi)$ using Proposition 7.7 and Lemma 7.12 as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} P(f_X, \phi) &= P(\sigma, \phi \circ \pi) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \sum_{\omega \in \sigma^{-n}(\omega_0)} \exp(S_n(\phi \circ \pi)(\omega)) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \sum_{x \in f^{-n}(x_0)} \exp(S_n \phi(x)). \end{aligned}$$

The lemma is now verified. \square

Lemma 7.15. *Suppose that $f: (\mathfrak{X}_1, X) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{X}_0, X)$ and $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ satisfy the Assumptions in Section 4 and the Additional Assumptions in Subsection 7.2. Let ϕ be an α -Hölder continuous function on X . Then there exists a constant $C_1 > 0$ such that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and each $U \in \mathcal{U}_n$, the inequality*

$$|S_n \phi(x) - S_n \phi(y)| \leq C_1$$

holds for all $x, y \in X \cap U$.

Proof. Fix arbitrary $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $U \in \mathcal{U}_n$, and $x, y \in U$.

By Proposition 3.11 (i), for each integer $i \in [0, n-1]$, we have $|f^i(x) - f^i(y)| \leq C' d_0 \theta^{n-i}$ since $f^i(x), f^i(y) \in f^i(U) \in \mathcal{U}_{n-i}$. Since ϕ is α -Hölder continuous, there exists a constant $C > 0$, such that $|\phi(x_1) - \phi(x_2)| \leq C|x_1 - x_2|^\alpha$ for all $x_1, x_2 \in X$. So for each integer $i \in [0, n-1]$,

$$|\phi(f^i(x)) - \phi(f^i(y))| \leq C(C' d_0 \theta^{n-i})^\alpha.$$

Thus

$$|S_n \phi(x) - S_n \phi(y)| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |\phi(f^i(x)) - \phi(f^i(y))| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} C(C' d_0)^\alpha \cdot (\theta^\alpha)^{n-i} \leq \frac{C(C' d_0 \theta)^\alpha}{(1 - \theta^\alpha)}.$$

By putting $C_1 := C(C' d_0 \theta)^\alpha / (1 - \theta^\alpha)$, we complete the proof of this lemma. \square

Lemma 7.16. *Suppose that $f: (\mathfrak{X}_1, X) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{X}_0, X)$ and $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ satisfy the Assumptions in Section 4 and the Additional Assumptions in Subsection 7.2. Let ϕ be an α -Hölder continuous function on X . Then there exists $C_2 > 1$ such that for all $U_0 \in \mathcal{U}_0$, $x_0, y_0 \in X \cap U_0$, and $n \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$C_2^{-1} \leq \mathcal{S}_n(x_0) / \mathcal{S}_n(y_0) \leq C_2,$$

where

$$(7.2) \quad \mathcal{S}_n(z) := \sum_{z' \in f^{-n}(z)} \deg(f^n; z') \cdot \exp(S_n \phi(z'))$$

for each $z \in X$.

Proof. Fix arbitrary $U_0 \in \mathcal{U}_0$, $x_0, y_0 \in X \cap U_0$, and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For each $z \in X$ and each $V \subseteq \mathfrak{X}_1$, write

$$\mathcal{S}_n(z, V) := \sum_{z' \in f^{-n}(z) \cap V} \deg(f^n; z') \cdot \exp(S_n \phi(z')).$$

Then $\mathcal{S}_n(z) = \sum_{U \in \mathcal{U}_n, f^n(U) = U_0} \mathcal{S}_n(z, U)$ for each $z \in \{x_0, y_0\}$. For each $U \in \mathcal{U}_n$ with $f^n(U) = U_0$, we have

$$\sum_{x \in f^{-n}(x_0) \cap U} \deg(f^n; x) = \deg(f^n|_U) = \sum_{y \in f^{-n}(y_0) \cap U} \deg(f^n; y),$$

since $f^n|_U: U \rightarrow U_0$ is an FBC map. Then by Lemma 7.15, there exists $C_1 > 0$ such that

$$\exp(-C_1) \leq \mathcal{S}_n(x_0, U) / \mathcal{S}_n(y_0, U) \leq \exp(C_1).$$

Thus we get

$$\exp(-C_1) \leq \frac{\sum_{U \in \mathcal{U}_n, f^n(U) = U_0} \mathcal{S}_n(x_0, U)}{\sum_{U \in \mathcal{U}_n, f^n(U) = U_0} \mathcal{S}_n(y_0, U)} \leq \exp(C_1).$$

By putting $C_2 := \exp(C_1)$, we complete the proof of this lemma. \square

Lemma 7.17. *Suppose that $f: (\mathfrak{X}_1, X) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{X}_0, X)$ and $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ satisfy the Assumptions in Section 4 and the Additional Assumptions in Subsection 7.2. Let ϕ be an α -Hölder continuous function on X . Then there exists $C_3 > 1$ such that for all $x_0, y_0 \in X$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$C_3^{-1} \leq \mathcal{S}_n(x_0) / \mathcal{S}_n(y_0) \leq C_3,$$

where $\mathcal{S}_n(\cdot)$ is defined in (7.2) in Lemma 7.16.

Proof. Fix arbitrary $x_0, y_0 \in X$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $U, V \in \mathcal{U}_0$ such that $x_0 \in U$, $y_0 \in V$. Since $\text{post}(f)$ is nowhere dense in X , there exist $u_0, v_0 \in X \setminus \text{post}(f)$ with $u_0 \in U$ and $v_0 \in V$.

By Lemma 7.16, we have $C_2^{-1} \leq \mathcal{S}_n(x_0) / \mathcal{S}_n(u_0) \leq C_2$ and $C_2^{-1} \leq \mathcal{S}_n(v_0) / \mathcal{S}_n(y_0) \leq C_2$ where $C_2 > 1$ is the constant from Lemma 7.16.

Choose $\xi_0, \eta_0 \in \Sigma$ such that $\pi(\xi_0) = u_0$ and $\pi(\eta_0) = v_0$, where π is given in Proposition 7.6. By Lemma 7.11, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_n(u_0) &= \sum_{u \in f^{-n}(u_0)} \exp(S_n \phi(u)) = \sum_{\xi \in \sigma^{-n}(\xi_0)} \exp(S_n \phi \circ \pi(\xi)), \\ \mathcal{S}_n(v_0) &= \sum_{v \in f^{-n}(v_0)} \exp(S_n \phi(v)) = \sum_{\eta \in \sigma^{-n}(\eta_0)} \exp(S_n \phi \circ \pi(\eta)). \end{aligned}$$

Then by Lemma 7.13, we have $C_0^{-1} \leq \mathcal{S}_n(u_0) / \mathcal{S}_n(v_0) \leq C_0$. Combining the inequalities above, we have

$$C_0^{-1} \cdot C_2^{-2} \leq \mathcal{S}_n(x_0) / \mathcal{S}_n(y_0) \leq C_0 \cdot C_2^2.$$

By putting $C_3 := C_0 \cdot C_2^2$ we complete the proof of this lemma. \square

A characterization of topological pressure is obtained in the following lemma.

Lemma 7.18. *Suppose that $f: (\mathfrak{X}_1, X) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{X}_0, X)$ and $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ satisfy the Assumptions in Section 4 and the Additional Assumptions in Subsection 7.2. Denote $f_X := f|_X$. Let ϕ be a real-valued Hölder continuous function on X . Then for each sequence $\{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of points in X ,*

$$P(f_X, \phi) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \sum_{x \in f^{-n}(x_n)} \deg(f^n; x) \cdot \exp(S_n \phi(x)).$$

Proof. By Lemma 7.17, there exists $C_3 > 1$ such that for all $x', x'' \in X$,

$$C_3^{-1} \leq \mathcal{S}_n(x') / \mathcal{S}_n(x'') \leq C_3,$$

where $\mathcal{S}_n(\cdot)$ is defined in (7.2) in Lemma 7.16. Fix an arbitrary $x_0 \in X \setminus \text{post}(f)$. Then for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\frac{1}{n} \log \sum_{x \in f^{-n}(x_0)} e^{S_n \phi(x)} - \frac{\log C_3}{n} \leq \frac{1}{n} \log(\mathcal{S}_n(x_n)) \leq \frac{1}{n} \log \sum_{x \in f^{-n}(x_0)} e^{S_n \phi(x)} + \frac{\log C_3}{n}.$$

So, by Lemma 7.14 the limit $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log(\mathcal{S}_n(x_n))$ exists and is equal to $P(f_X, \phi)$. \square

Now we are ready to prove our level-2 large deviation principle.

Proof of Theorem D. Suppose that $f: (\mathfrak{X}_1, X) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{X}_0, X)$ and $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ satisfy the Assumptions in Section 4 and the Additional Assumptions in Subsection 7.2. We denote $f_X := f|_X$ for clarity.

We apply Theorem 7.1 with $Z := X$, $g := f_X$, and $H := C^{0,\alpha}(X)$. By [He01, Theorem 6.8], the set of Lipschitz functions on X is dense in $C(X)$, thus H is dense in $C(X)$.

By Theorem A, f_X is asymptotically h -expansive, thus $h_*(f_X)$ is upper semi-continuous. Condition (i) in Theorem 7.1 is satisfied. By Proposition 7.8, for each $\psi \in H$, there exists a unique equilibrium state for f and potential $\phi + \psi$. Condition (ii) in Theorem 7.1 is satisfied.

By Lemma 7.18, for each $\psi \in H$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \int_{\mathcal{P}(X)} \exp\left(n \int \psi \, d\mu\right) d\Omega_n(\mu) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \left(\sum_{y \in f^{-n}(x_n)} \frac{\deg(f^n; y) \cdot e^{S_n \phi(y)}}{\sum_{z \in f^{-n}(x_n)} \deg(f^n; z) \cdot e^{S_n \phi(z)}} e^{\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \psi(f^i(y))} \right) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \left(\log \sum_{y \in f^{-n}(x_n)} e^{S_n(\phi+\psi)(y)} - \log \sum_{y \in f^{-n}(x_n)} e^{S_n \phi(y)} \right) \\ &= P(f_X, \phi + \psi) - P(f_X, \phi). \end{aligned}$$

Now that all conditions in Theorem 7.1 are satisfied, the result follows from Theorem 7.1. \square

7.4. Equidistribution with respect to the equilibrium state. We finish this section with an equidistribution result as a consequence of our level-2 large deviation principle.

First, we show a corollary of Theorem D, which gives a characterization of the measure-theoretic pressure.

Corollary 7.19. *Suppose that $f: (\mathfrak{X}_1, X) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{X}_0, X)$ and $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ satisfy the Assumptions in Section 4 and the Additional Assumptions in Subsection 7.2. We denote $f_X := f|_X$. Let $\phi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be Hölder continuous, and μ_ϕ be the unique equilibrium state.*

Let $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of points in X . Then the following identity holds for each $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(X, f_X)$ and each convex local basis G_μ of $\mathcal{P}(X)$ at μ :

$$h_\mu(f_X) + \int \phi d\mu = \inf \left\{ \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \left(\sum_{\substack{y \in f^{-n}(x_n), \\ W_n(y) \in \mathfrak{G}}} \deg(f^n; y) \cdot e^{S_n \phi(y)} \right) : \mathfrak{G} \in G_\mu \right\}.$$

Proof. Fix arbitrary $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(X, f_X)$ and a convex local basis G_μ at μ . By the upper semi-continuity of $h_\mu(f_X)$ and the definition of $I^\phi(\cdot)$ in (1.1) in Theorem D, we get

$$(7.3) \quad -I^\phi(\mu) = \inf_{\mathfrak{G} \in G_\mu} (\sup_{\mathfrak{G}} (-I^\phi)) = \inf_{\mathfrak{G} \in G_\mu} (-\inf_{\mathfrak{G}} I^\phi).$$

Then by (1.2) in Theorem D and (7.3), we have

$$-I^\phi(\mu) = \inf_{\mathfrak{G} \in G_\mu} \left\{ \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \frac{\sum_{y \in f^{-n}(x_n), W_n(y) \in \mathfrak{G}} \deg(f^n; y) \cdot e^{S_n \phi(y)}}{Z_n(\phi)} \right\},$$

where $Z_n(\phi) := \sum_{y \in f^{-n}(x_n)} \deg(f^n; y) \exp(S_n \phi(y))$. Then since $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} Z_n(\phi) = P(f_X, \phi)$ (see Lemma 7.18), the proof is complete. \square

We now prove the equidistribution result.

Proof of Theorem E. Suppose that $f: (\mathfrak{X}_1, X) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{X}_0, X)$ and $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ satisfy the Assumptions in Section 4 and the Additional Assumptions in Subsection 7.2. We denote $f_X := f|_X$ for clarity. Let $\phi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be Hölder continuous, and μ_ϕ be the unique equilibrium state.

We denote

$$Z_n^+(\mathfrak{G}) := \sum_{\substack{y \in f^{-n}(x_n), \\ W_n(y) \in \mathfrak{G}}} \deg(f^n; y) \cdot \exp(S_n \phi(y)),$$

$$Z_n^-(\mathfrak{G}) := \sum_{\substack{y \in f^{-n}(x_n), \\ W_n(y) \notin \mathfrak{G}}} \deg(f^n; y) \cdot \exp(S_n \phi(y))$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and each open set $\mathfrak{G} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$.

Let G_{μ_ϕ} be a convex local basis of $\mathcal{P}(X)$ at μ_ϕ . Fix an arbitrary convex open set $\mathfrak{G} \in G_{\mu_\phi}$.

By the uniqueness of the equilibrium state in Proposition 7.8, for each $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(X) \setminus \{\mu_\phi\}$, we get that

$$h_\mu(f_X) + \int \phi d\mu < P(f_X, \phi).$$

Then by Corollary 7.19, there exists an open neighborhood $\mathfrak{U}_\mu \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X) \setminus \{\mu_\phi\}$ of μ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} Z_n^+(\mathfrak{U}_\mu) < P(f_X, \phi).$$

Thus there exist numbers $a_\mu < P(f_X, \phi)$ and $N_\mu \in \mathbb{N}$, such that for each $n > N_\mu$,

$$Z_n^+(\mathfrak{U}_\mu) \leq \exp(na_\mu).$$

Since $\mathcal{P}(X)$ is weak* compact, so is $\mathcal{P}(X) \setminus \mathfrak{G}$. Thus there exists a finite set $\{\mu_i : i \in I\} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X) \setminus \mathfrak{G}$, where I is a finite index set, such that

$$\mathcal{P}(X) \setminus \mathfrak{G} \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I} \mathfrak{U}_{\mu_i}.$$

Put $a := \max\{a_{\mu_i} : i \in I\}$. Note that $a < P(f_X, \phi)$. Then we have

$$(7.4) \quad Z_n^-(\mathfrak{G}) \leq \sum_{i \in I} Z_n^+(\mathfrak{U}_{\mu_i}) \leq \text{card}(I) \exp(na)$$

for each $n > \max\{N_{\mu_i} : i \in I\}$.

Applying Corollary 7.19 with $\mu = \mu_\phi$, we get that

$$P(f_X, \phi) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log(Z_n^+(\mathfrak{G})).$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 7.18, we have

$$P(f_X, \phi) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log(Z_n(\phi)) \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log(Z_n^+(\mathfrak{G})),$$

so we get that

$$P(f_X, \phi) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log(Z_n^+(\mathfrak{G})).$$

Choose numbers $b \in (a, P(f_X, \phi))$ and $N \geq \max\{N_{\mu_i} : i \in I\}$ such that for each integer $n > N$,

$$(7.5) \quad Z_n^+(\mathfrak{G}) \geq \exp(nb).$$

Combining (7.4) with (7.5), we get

$$(7.6) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{Z_n^-(\mathfrak{G})}{Z_n^+(\mathfrak{G})} \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\text{card}(I) \exp(na)}{\exp(nb)} = 0.$$

Put

$$\nu'_n := \sum_{\substack{y \in f^{-n}(x_n), \\ W_n(y) \in \mathfrak{G}}} \frac{\deg(f^n; y) \cdot \exp(S_n \phi(y))}{Z_n^+(\mathfrak{G})} W_n(y),$$

where $W_n(y) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \delta_{f^i(y)}$. Then for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\nu_n = \frac{Z_n^+(\mathfrak{G})}{Z_n^+(\mathfrak{G}) + Z_n^-(\mathfrak{G})} \nu'_n + \sum_{\substack{y \in f^{-n}(x_n), \\ W_n(y) \notin \mathfrak{G}}} \frac{\deg(f^n; y) \cdot \exp(S_n \phi(y))}{Z_n^+(\mathfrak{G}) + Z_n^-(\mathfrak{G})} W_n(y).$$

By (7.6) we know that $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{Z_n^+(\mathfrak{G})}{Z_n^+(\mathfrak{G}) + Z_n^-(\mathfrak{G})} = 1$ and that the total variation

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \sum_{\substack{y \in f^{-n}(x_n), \\ W_n(y) \notin \mathfrak{G}}} \frac{\deg(f^n; y) \cdot \exp(S_n \phi(y))}{Z_n^+(\mathfrak{G}) + Z_n^-(\mathfrak{G})} W_n(y) \right\| \\ & \leq \frac{\sum_{y \in f^{-n}(x_n), W_n(y) \notin \mathfrak{G}} \deg(f^n; y) \cdot \exp(S_n \phi(y)) \|W_n(y)\|}{Z_n^+(\mathfrak{G}) + Z_n^-(\mathfrak{G})} \\ & \leq \frac{Z_n^-(\mathfrak{G})}{Z_n^+(\mathfrak{G}) + Z_n^-(\mathfrak{G})} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as n tends to 0. Thus a measure is a subsequential limit of $\{\nu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ if and only if it is a subsequential limit of $\{\nu'_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Note that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, ν'_n is a convex combination of measures in the convex set \mathfrak{G} , so $\nu'_n \in \mathfrak{G}$. Hence each subsequential limit of $\{\nu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ lies in $\overline{\mathfrak{G}}$.

Since $\mathfrak{G} \in G_{\mu_\phi}$ is arbitrary, we get that each subsequential limit of $\{\nu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is μ_ϕ . Therefore ν_n converges to μ_ϕ in the weak* topology as n tends to infinity. \square

REFERENCES

- [BM10] BONK, M. and MEYER, D., Expanding Thurston maps. Preprint, (arXiv:1009.3647v1), 2010.
- [BM17] BONK, M. and MEYER, D., *Expanding Thurston maps*, volume 225 of *Math. Surveys Monogr.*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2017.
- [Bo72] BOWEN, R., Entropy-expansive maps. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 164 (1972), 323–331.
- [Bo75] BOWEN, R., *Equilibrium states and the ergodic theory of Anosov diffeomorphisms*, volume 470 of *Lect. Notes in Math.*, Springer, Berlin, 1975.
- [BS03] BUZZI, J. and SARIG, O., Uniqueness of equilibrium measures for countable Markov shifts and multidimensional piecewise expanding maps. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* 23 (2003), 1383–1400.
- [Bur11] BURGNET, D., C^2 surface diffeomorphisms have symbolic extensions. *Invent. Math.* 186 (2011), 191–236.
- [Buz97] BUZZI, J., Intrinsic ergodicity for smooth interval maps. *Israel J. Math.* 100 (1997), 125–161.
- [CFP07] CANNON, J.W., FLOYD, W.J., and PARRY, W.R., Constructing subdivision rules from rational maps. *Conform. Geom. Dyn.* 11 (2007), 128–136.
- [CRL11] COMMAN, H. and RIVERA-LETELIER, J., Large deviation principles for non-uniformly hyperbolic rational maps. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* 31 (2011), 321–349
- [Co85] CONWAY, J.B., *A course in functional analysis*, Springer, New York, 1985.
- [DM09] DOWNAROWICZ, T. and MAASS, A., Smooth interval maps have symbolic extensions. *Invent. Math.* 176 (2009), 617–636
- [DN05] DOWNAROWICZ, T. and NEWHOUSE, S., Symbolic extensions and smooth dynamical systems. *Invent. Math.* 160 (2005), 453–499.
- [Do11] DOWNAROWICZ, T., *Entropy in Dynamical Systems*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2011.
- [DPTUZ21] DAS, T., PRZYTZYCKI, F., TIOZZO, G., URBAŃSKI, M., and ZDUNIK, A., Thermodynamic formalism for coarse expanding dynamical systems. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 384 (2021), 165–199.

- [He01] HEINONEN, J., *Lectures on analysis on metric spaces*. Springer, New York, 2001.
- [HP09] HAÏSSINSKY, P. and PILGRIM, K.M., Coarse expanding conformal dynamics. *Astérisque* 325 (2009).
- [HP10] HAÏSSINSKY, P. and PILGRIM, K.M., Examples of coarse expanding conformal maps. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* 32 (2012), no.7, 2403–2416.
- [KH95] KATOK, A. and HASSELBLATT, B., *Introduction to the modern theory of dynamical systems*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [Ki90] KIFER, Y., Large deviations in dynamical systems and stochastic processes. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 321 (1990), 505–524
- [Li15] LI, Z., Weak expansion properties and large deviation principles for expanding Thurston maps. *Adv. Math.* 285 (2015), 515–567.
- [MauU03] MAULDIN, D. and URBAŃSKI, M., *Graph directed Markov systems: geometry and dynamics of limit sets*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2003.
- [MayU10] MAYER, V. and URBAŃSKI, M., Thermodynamic formalism and multifractal analysis for meromorphic functions of finite order. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* 203 (2010), no. 954, vi+107 pp.
- [Mi73] MISIUREWICZ, M., Diffeomorphisms without any measure with maximal entropy. *Bull. Pol. Acad. Sci. Math.* 21 (1973), 903–910.
- [Mi76] MISIUREWICZ, M., Topological conditional entropy. *Studia Math.* 55 (1976), 175–200.
- [Ol03] OLIVEIRA, K., Equilibrium states for non-uniformly expanding maps. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* 23 (2003), 1891–1905.
- [Pr90] PRZYTYCKI, F., On the Perron-Frobenius-Ruelle operator for rational maps on the Riemann sphere and for Hölder continuous functions. *Bull. Braz. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* 20(2) (1990), 95–125.
- [PU10] PRZYTYCKI, F. and URBAŃSKI, M., *Conformal fractals: ergodic theory methods*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2010.
- [Ru89] RUELLE, D., The thermodynamic formalism for expanding maps. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 125 (1989), 239–262.
- [Wa82] WALTERS, P., *An introduction to ergodic theory*, Springer, New York, 1982.
- [Yu03] YURI, M., Thermodynamic formalism for countable to one Markov systems. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 335 (2003), 2049–2971.
- [Zi96] ZINSMEISTER, M., *Formalisme thermodynamique et systèmes dynamiques holomorphes*, Panoramas et Synthèses 4, Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 1996.

ZHIQIANG LI, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES & BEIJING INTERNATIONAL CENTER FOR MATHEMATICAL RESEARCH, PEKING UNIVERSITY, BEIJING 100871, CHINA.

Email address: zli@math.pku.edu.cn

HANYUN ZHENG, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, PEKING UNIVERSITY, BEIJING 100871, CHINA.

Email address: 1900013001@pku.edu.cn